

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

Liana Davis,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:25-cv-220

**Christopher Coopridier; Aid Access
GmbH; Rebecca Gomperts,**

Defendants.

NONPARTY AMY AMOROSO’S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA

On July 8, 2026, Mr. Coopridier’s attorneys subpoenaed Amy Amoroso to testify at a deposition. *See* Exhibit 3. The subpoena orders Ms. Amoroso to bring to the deposition:

All text messages, emails, and voice mails between you & Liana Davis from January 1, 2025 to the present that concern Liana Davis's pregnancies in 2025 and 2026, Christopher Coopridier, the events of April 3, 2025 and April 5–6, 2025, Marshall Bowling, Jeffrey Sparks, abortion drugs, criminal prosecutions of Coopridier, Jonathan Mitchell & this lawsuit.

Id. Ms. Amoroso is plaintiff Liana Davis’s half-sister who lives in Georgia and has not seen Ms. Davis for over three years. She knows nothing about whether Ms. Davis’s pregnancy loss resulted from a poisoning or a natural miscarriage. And all the sought-after communications between Ms. Davis and Ms. Amoroso can be obtained from Ms. Davis’s devices, which have been turned over to Array LLC for forensics imaging. The Court should quash the subpoena to testify because it subjects Ms. Amoroso to undue burden. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d)(3)(A)(iv).

THE SUBPOENA SUBJECTS MS. AMOROSO TO UNDUE BURDEN

Ms. Amoroso is Ms. Davis's half-sister through her father. *See* Amoroso Decl. ¶ 4 (attached as Exhibit 1). They did not grow up together and never met until both were adults. *See id.* Ms. Amoroso lives in Georgia and has not seen Ms. Davis in over three years. *See id.* ¶ 5. Ms. Amoroso has no knowledge relevant to whether Mr. Coopridier poisoned Ms. Davis with misoprostol and murdered her unborn child. *See id.* ¶ 6. Whatever benefits that Mr. Coopridier's attorneys hope to realize from this subpoena do not justify the severe burdens that the subpoena imposes on Ms. Amoroso.

I. THE SUBPOENA IMPOSES EXTREME BURDENS ON MS. AMOROSO, WHO MUST SPEND HOURS EVERY DAY CARING FOR HER FIANCÉ WHILE HE RECOVERS FROM A SERIOUS INJURY IN ADDITION TO HER WORK AND FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES

Ms. Amoroso is currently caring for her fiancé who recently suffered an accident at work in which he lost part of his foot, broke his ankle on both sides, and fractured his foot in multiple places. *See* Amoroso Decl. ¶ 13. She spends five hours per day administering antibiotics to her fiancé through a picc line (a home IV). *See id.* ¶ 14. He was hospitalized for three weeks and is now at home receiving antibiotics. *See id.* ¶ 13. Ms. Amoroso must rise at 5:30 A.M. each day to prepare the antibiotics for her fiancé and then administer the first infusion at 6:00 A.M. *See id.* ¶ 14. The infusion process lasts for more than an hour and she must stay in the house with him during that first infusion, which ends at approximately 7:15 A.M. *See id.* Ms. Amoroso also must administer two more infusions each day. *See id.* The second infusion runs from 2:00 P.M. – 3:15 P.M. *See id.* The third infusion runs from 10:00 P.M. – 11:15 P.M. *See id.*

In addition to the thrice-a-day infusions, which consume approximately five hours of Ms. Amoroso time each day, she must also drive and accompany her fiancé to three or four medical appointments each week. *See id.* ¶ 15. Every week they must visit: (1)

The infectious-disease specialists, who examine the wound, study his labs, and determine whether any changes need to be made to his antibiotics; (2) The orthopedic surgeon, who will determine whether and to what extent he can put weight on the injured foot and how the fractures are healing; (3) The wound-care specialists, who must ensure that the wound is healing properly and that Ms. Amoroso and her fiancé are cleaning it properly, as well determine what treatments it needs to continue healing; (4) The infusion center, which changes the dressing for his picc line each week. *See id.* Each of these weekly medical appointments requires a half-hour drive each way, as well as the appointment itself (which typically lasts for 30 minutes), plus time spent in the waiting room. *See id.* On average, each of these four weekly medical appointments takes two hours apiece of Ms. Amoroso's time. Ms. Amoroso must also help her fiancé when he takes a shower because he can't put weight on his injured foot. *See id.* ¶ 16.

In addition to caring for her severely injured fiancé, Ms. Amoroso has two small children that she cares for and for which she has approximately 90% custody. *See id.* ¶ 17. Ms. Amoroso is also getting married to her fiancé on August 1, 2026. *See id.* ¶ 18. She is in the midst of preparing for her wedding and has family coming into town this week for it. *See id.* Ms. Amoroso also holds a part-time job in addition to her current family responsibilities. *See id.* ¶ 19.

Forcing Ms. Amoroso to sit for a deposition under these circumstances imposes extreme burdens. *See id.* ¶ 20. She would have to find and train another person to administer infusions to her fiancé and transport and accompany him to his medical appointments. *See id.* ¶ 20. She would also need to find someone to care for her children, as her fiancé is currently disabled while he recovers from her injury and her ex-husband works full time. *See id.* ¶ 21.

II. THE BURDENS THAT THE SUBPOENA IMPOSED ON MS. AMOROSO CANNOT BE JUSTIFIED GIVEN HER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR INVOLVEMENT IN THE CASE

The burdens of the subpoena cannot be justified given Ms. Amoroso's peripheral involvement in Ms. Davis's life and her lack of relevant knowledge about the alleged murder. Although Ms. Amoroso is Ms. Davis's half-sister by blood, they did not grow up together and never even met until each of them became adults. *See* Amoroso Decl. ¶ 4. Ms. Amoroso lives in Georgia and has not seen Ms. Davis in over three years. *See id.* ¶ 5. She has no knowledge relevant to whether Ms. Davis's pregnancy loss was the result of poisoning by Mr. Coopridier. *See id.* ¶ 6.

Mr. Coopridier's attorneys wish to question Ms. Amoroso about conversations or communications that she might have had with Ms. Davis on April 3, 2025, or April 4, 2025, when Ms. Davis attended a carnival shortly before the alleged murder of her unborn child. *See* Exhibit 3. But Ms. Amoroso has no recollection of any conversations or communications that she might have had with Ms. Davis on April 3, 2025, or April 4, 2025. *See id.* ¶ 7. And she has little recollection of any other conversations or communications that she might have had with Ms. Davis about the pregnancy with Mr. Coopridier. *See id.* ¶ 8. A deposition of Ms. Amoroso would be a bootless exercise, and it would not yield any testimony relevant to Ms. Davis's claims or Mr. Coopridier's defenses.

The demand that Ms. Amoroso produce "text messages" and "email messages" between herself and Ms. Davis also imposes an undue burden because Mr. Coopridier's attorneys can obtain all of the requested text messages and e-mails from Ms. Davis's devices, which she has already turned over to Array LLC for forensics imaging.

Ms. Amoroso has no text messages that she has sent to received from Liana Davis because she deletes the text messages on her iPhone once a week (with the exceptions of her text messages with her fiancé). *See id.* ¶ 9. She also has no e-mails that she has sent to or received from Liana Davis within her possession, custody, or control. *See*

id. ¶ 10. Ms. Amoroso has only two voicemails from Ms. Davis that postdate January 1, 2025. *See id.* ¶ 11. The first of these e-mails was sent on February 2, 2026, and the full text of that voicemail reads as follows:

Hey, it's me. Um, I'm on my way to work and I'll be teaching and then I do have that court hearing today. It was not canceled, so it's totally frivolous, and I have no idea what they could be possibly asking for at this point, but it will be the 1st time I've ever taken the stand at court, and only the 2nd hearing I've ever attended period, so feel free to uh call back in the next 10 minutes, otherwise I'm gonna be totally busy until it's over. I'm sorry um, but yeah, I'm scared. I've no clue how today's gonna wind up. Okay, bye.

Amoroso Decl. ¶ 11; *see also* Exhibit 2. The second of these e-mails was sent on March 16, 2026, and the full text of that voicemail says:

Hey, it's me. I'm on my way home right now and I have big news. Um, I'm like in shock right now. It's actually good news but uh yeah. Not the voicemail variety. I definitely want to tell you so call me back when you can. Okay bye.

Amoroso Decl. ¶ 12; *see also* Exhibit 2. The “big news” that Ms. Davis refers to in this voicemail is that she had recently learned that she was pregnant with twins. *See* Amoroso Decl. ¶ 12.

There is no need to depose Ms. Amoroso, and Mr. Coopriders' lawyers are harassing Ms. Davis by dragging her family members into a case in which they have no involvement. The Court should quash the subpoena.

CONCLUSION

Ms. Amoroso's motion to quash the subpoena should be granted.

Respectfully submitted.

/s/ Jonathan F. Mitchell
JONATHAN F. MITCHELL
Texas Bar No. 24075463
S.D. Tex. Bar No. 1133287
Mitchell Law PLLC
111 Congress Avenue, Suite 400
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 686-3940 (phone)
(512) 686-3941 (fax)
jonathan@mitchell.law

Dated: July 26, 2026

Counsel for Movant Amy Amoroso

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on July 26, 2026, I served this document through CM/ECF upon:

MIKAL C. WATTS
Watts Law Firm LLP
811 Barton Springs #725
Austin, Texas 78704
(512) 479-0500 (phone)
(512) 479-0501 (fax)
mikal@wattsllp.com

BETH KLEIN
Beth Klein, P.C.
350 Market Street, Suite 310
Basalt, Colorado 81621
(303) 448-8884 (phone)
beth@bethklein.com

BARRY MOSCOWITZ
VICTORIA JIMENEZ
OLIVER HAMBY
Thompson Coe LLP
700 North Pearl Street, 25th Floor
Dallas, Texas 75201
(214) 871-8200 (phone)
(214) 871-8209 (fax)
bmoscowitz@thompsoncoe.com
vjimenez@thompsoncoe.com
ohamby@thompsoncoe.com

Counsel for Defendant Christopher Coopriider

/s/ Jonathan F. Mitchell
JONATHAN F. MITCHELL
Counsel for Movant Amy Amoroso

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

Liana Davis,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00220

**Christopher Coopridier; Aid Access
GmbH; Rebecca Gomperts,**

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF AMY AMOROSO

1. My name is Amy Amoroso. I am over the age of 18 and fully competent in all respects to make this declaration.

2. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration, and all of these facts are true and correct.

3. I am the half-sister of Liana Davis, the plaintiff in this litigation, and I submit this declaration in support of the motion to quash the subpoena that was served on me.

4. I am Ms. Davis's half-sister through our father. We did not grow up together and never met until both of us were adults.

5. I live in Georgia and have not seen Ms. Davis in over three years.

6. I have no knowledge relevant to whether Ms. Davis's pregnancy loss was the result of poisoning by Mr. Coopridier.

7. I have no recollection of any conversations or communications that I might have had with Ms. Davis on April 3, 2025, or April 4, 2025.

8. I have little recollection of any other conversations or communications that I might have had with Ms. Davis about her pregnancy with Mr. Coopridier.

9. I delete the text messages on my iPhone once a week, with the exceptions of my text messages with my fiancé. I therefore have no text messages that I have sent to or received from Liana Davis within my possession, custody, or control.

10. I have no e-mails that I have sent to or received from Liana Davis within my possession, custody, or control.

11. I have only two voicemails from Ms. Davis that postdate January 1, 2025. The first of these e-mails was sent on February 2, 2026, and the full text of that voicemail reads as follows:

Hey, it's me. Um, I'm on my way to work and I'll be teaching and then I do have that court hearing today. It was not canceled, so it's totally frivolous, and I have no idea what they could be possibly asking for at this point, but it will be the 1st time I've ever taken the stand at court, and only the 2nd hearing I've ever attended period, so feel free to uh call back in the next 10 minutes, otherwise I'm gonna be totally busy until it's over. I'm sorry um, but yeah, I'm scared. I've no clue how today's gonna wind up. Okay, bye.

I took a screenshot of the transcript of that voicemail, and it appears in Exhibit 2 to this motion.

12. The second of these e-mails was sent on March 16, 2026, and the full text of that voicemail says:

Hey, it's me. I'm on my way home right now and I have big news. Um, I'm like in shock right now. It's actually good news but uh yeah. Not the voicemail variety. I definitely want to tell you so call me back when you can. Okay bye.

I took a screenshot of the transcript of that voicemail, and it appears in Exhibit 2 to this motion. The "big news" that Ms. Davis refers to in this voicemail is that she had recently learned that she was pregnant with twins.

13. I am currently caring for my fiancé who recently suffered an accident at work in which he lost part of his foot, broke his ankle on both sides, and fractured his

foot in multiple places. He was hospitalized for three weeks and is now at home receiving antibiotics.

14. I spend five hours per day administering antibiotics to my fiancé through a picc line (a home IV). I wake up at 5:30 A.M. each day to prepare the antibiotics then administer the first infusion at 6:00 A.M. The infusion process lasts for more than an hour and I must stay in the house with him during that first infusion, which ends at approximately 7:15 A.M. I also must administer two more infusions each day. The second infusion runs from 2:00 P.M. – 3:15 P.M. The third infusion runs from 10:00 P.M. – 11:15 P.M.

15. In addition to the thrice-a-day infusions, I must also drive and accompany my fiancé to three or four medical appointments each week. Every week we must visit: (1) The infectious-disease specialists, who examine the wound, study his labs, and determine whether any changes need to be made to his antibiotics; (2) The orthopedic surgeon, who will determine whether and to what extent he can put weight on the injured foot and how the fractures are healing; (3) The wound-care specialists, who must ensure that the wound is healing properly and that we are cleaning it properly, as well determine what treatments it needs to continue healing; (4) The infusion center, which changes the dressing for my fiancé's picc line each week. Each of these weekly medical appointments requires a half-hour drive each way, as well as the appointment itself (which typically lasts for 30 minutes), plus time spent in the waiting room.

16. I must also help my fiancé when he takes a shower because he can't put weight on his injured foot.

17. In addition to caring for my severely injured fiancé, I have two small children that I care for and for which I have approximately 90% custody.

18. I am also getting married to my fiancé on August 1, 2026. I am in the midst of preparing for the wedding and have family coming into town this week for it.


19. I also hold a part-time job in addition to her current family responsibilities.

20. Forcing me to sit for a deposition under these circumstances imposes extreme burdens. I would have to find and train another person to administer infusions to my fiancé and transport and accompany him to his medical appointments.

21. I would also need to find someone to care for my children, as my fiancé is currently disabled while he recovers from her injury and my ex-husband works full time.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the facts stated in this declaration are true and correct.

Dated: 7/26/2026

Signed by:

6E55D4A3EEBA492...
AMY AMOROSO



Liana ›



Feb 2, 2026 at 09:48

00:00



-00:36



Transcript

Hey, it's me. Um, I'm on my way to work and I'll be teaching and then I do have that court hearing today. It was not canceled, so it's totally frivolous, and I have no idea what they could be possibly asking for at this point, but it will be the 1st time I've ever taken the stand at court, and only the 2nd hearing I've ever attended period, so feel free to uh call back in the next 10 minutes, otherwise I'm gonna be totally busy



Favorites



Recents



Contacts



Keypad



Voicemail

127

gonna wind up. Okay, bye.

Feb 2, 2026 at 09:48



00:00



Liana >

-00:36



Transcript

Hey, it's me. Um, I'm on my way to work and I'll be teaching and then I do have that court hearing today. It was not canceled, so it's totally frivolous, and I have no idea what they could be possibly asking for at this point, but it will be the 1st time I've ever taken the stand at court, and only the 2nd hearing I've ever attended period, so feel free to uh call back in the next 10 minutes, otherwise I'm gonna be totally busy until it's over. I'm sorry um, but yeah, I'm scared. I've no clue how today's gonna wind up. Okay, bye.



Favorites



Recents



Contacts



Keypad



Voicemail

127

**Liana** ›

Mar 16, 2026 at 18:05

00:00



-00:23



Transcript

Hey, it's me. I'm on my way home right now and I have big news. Um, I'm like in shock right now. It's actually good news but uh yeah. Not the voicemail variety. I I definitely want to tell you so call me back when you can. Okay bye.



Favorites



Recents



Contacts



Keypad



Voicemail

127

AO 88A (Rev. 12/20) Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Southern District of Texas

Liana Davis

Plaintiff

v.

Christopher Coopridier; Aid Access
GmbH; Rebecca Gomperts,*Defendant*

Civil Action No. 2:25-cv-220

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: AMI AMOROSO

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

☒ **Testimony:** YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organization, you must promptly confer in good faith with the party serving this subpoena about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment, and you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about these matters:

Place: The Law Firm of David Bell, 619 Greene Street,
Augusta, GA 30903, Augusta, Georgia.

Date and Time:

07/27/2026 10:00 am

The deposition will be recorded by this method: Video, Stenographic, and Zoom

☒ **Production:** You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and must permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: All text messages, emails, and voice mails between you & Liana Davis from January 1, 2025 to the present that concern Liana Davis's pregnancies in 2025 and 2026, Christopher Coopridier, the events of April 3, 2025 and April 5 - 6, 2025, Marshall Bowling, Jeffrey Sparks, abortion drugs, criminal prosecutions of Coopridier, Jonathan Mitchell & this lawsuit.

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 07/08/2025

CLERK OF COURT

OR

/s Beth A. Klein

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) Christopher Coopridier, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Beth Klein, S.D. Tex. Bar No. 3934133 Beth Klein, P.C., 350 Market Street, Suite 310, Basalt, CO 81621

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Civil Action No. 2:25-cv-220

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
on *(date)* _____.

☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named individual as follows: _____

_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: _____
_____.

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also
tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of
\$ _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)**(c) Place of Compliance.**

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) *Appearance Not Required.* A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) *Objections.* A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) *When Required.* On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) *When Permitted.* To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) *Specifying Conditions as an Alternative.* In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) *Documents.* A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) *Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified.* If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) *Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form.* The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) *Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information.* The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) *Information Withheld.* A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) *Information Produced.* If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

Liana Davis,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:25-cv-220

**Christopher Coopriders; Aid Access
GmbH; Rebecca Gomperts,**

Defendants.

**ORDER GRANTING NONPARTY AMI AMOROSO'S MOTION TO QUASH
SUBPOENA**

The motion to quash the subpoena served on nonparty Ami Amoroso is
GRANTED.

Dated: _____

DAVID S. MORALES
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE