

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

LIANA DAVIS,

Plaintiff,

V.

CHRISTOPHER COOPRIDER, AID
ACCESS GMBH & REBECCA GOMPERS,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:25-CV-220

**DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER COOPRIDER'S MOTION TO SEAL EXHIBIT TO
RESPONSE TO NON-PARTY MARY ANNA ZIARKO'S MOTION TO QUASH
SUBPOENA**

Defendant Christopher Coopriders files this Motion to Seal his Exhibit 1 to his Response to Non-Party Mary Anna Ziarko's Motion to Quash Subpoena [Dkt. 113], and in support thereof, will respectfully show the following:

1. As this Court is aware, although sealing documents placed into the judicial record is generally disfavored, the Court may undertake an analysis balancing the public's common law right of access against the interests favoring non-disclosure. *See June Med. Servs. v. Phillips*, 22 F.4th 512, 521 (5th Cir. 2022). Here, Defendant Coopriders seeks to file under seal one specific exhibit referenced in support of his recently filed Response to Non-Party Mary Anna Ziarko's Motion to Quash Subpoena [Dkt. 113]. The exhibit at issue is a declaration by another non-party, Devin Davis, disagreeing with and refuting aspects of Ms. Ziarko's and Plaintiff Liana Davis's contentions as to Ms. Ziarko's health. In particular, the declaration and its attached exhibits and incorporated media include sensitive and identifying information about Devin and Liana Davis's minor children. The declaration has very narrow relevance only to the limited issue of whether Ms. Ziarko's deposition should be permitted to go forward, and little to no relevance to the broader merits of this case.

Accordingly, the strong minor child privacy interests at issue fully outweigh the minimal public interest in disclosure of this information/materials.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Christopher Cooprider respectfully requests this Court maintain under seal Cooprider's Exhibit 1 to Docket No. 113, which Defendant Cooprider has separately filed under seal via the ECF system under Docket No. 114. Defendant also requests such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Craig Dupen

BETH KLEIN, P.C.

Beth Klein

Colorado Bar No. 17477

S.D. Tex. Bar No. 3934133

350 Market Street, Suite 310

Basalt, Colorado 81621

Email beth@bethklein.com

Phone 303-448-8884

- AND -

WATTS LAW FIRM, LLP

Mikal C. Watts

Texas State Bar No. 20981820

Federal Bar ID: #12419

Mikal@wattslp.com

811 Barton Springs #725

Austin, Texas 78704

Telephone: (512) 479-0500

Facsimile: (512) 479-0501

- AND -

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

Barry A. Moscovitz

Federal Bar No. 31054

State Bar No. 24004830

bmoscovitz@thompsoncoe.com

Craig Dupen
Federal Bar No. 1144807
State Bar No. 24065177
cdupen@thompsoncoe.com
700 N. Pearl Street, 25th Floor
Dallas, TX 75201
Telephone: (214) 871-8200
Fax: (214) 871-8209

Victoria L. Jimenez
Federal Bar No. 2522937
State Bar No. 24060021
vjimenez@thompsoncoe.com
4400 Post Oak Parkway, Suite 1000
Houston, Texas 77027
Telephone: (713) 403-8210
Fax: (713) 403-8299

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
CHRISTOPHER COOPRIDER**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 18, 2026, a copy of the foregoing pleading was filed electronically with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system. Notice of this filing will be sent to all counsel of record by operation of the court's electronic filing system.

By: /s/ Craig Dupen
Craig Dupen

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

Liana Davis,

Plaintiff,

v.

Christopher Coopridier,
Aid Access GmbH, &
Rebecca Gomperts,

Defendants.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Case No. 2:25-cv-220

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SEAL EXHIBIT

Before the Court is Defendant Christopher Coopridier's Motion to Seal Exhibit to Response to Non-Party Mary Anna Ziarko's Motion to Quash Subpoena, filed August 18, 2026, seeking to seal Docket No. 114. Having considered the Motion, responses if any, and arguments of counsel, if any, the Court finds that the Motion should be **GRANTED** and Docket No. 114 should remain sealed.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that Coopridier's Motion is, in all things, **GRANTED**.

SIGNED this ____ day of _____, 2026.

United States District Judge