

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION**

HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORPORATION, A
MUTUAL LEGAL RESERVE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ZOTEC PARTNERS, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:25-cv-00186-RWS

JOINT MOTION FOR UNOPPOSED PROPOSED DISCOVERY ORDER

Plaintiff Health Care Service Corporation (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Zotec Partners, LLC (“Defendant”) respectfully submit this Joint Motion attaching their Unopposed Proposed Discovery Order, pursuant to the Court’s March 10, 2026 Order (Dkt. No. 26) and hereby state as follows:

1. Pursuant to the Court’s March 10, 2026 Order (Dkt. No. 26), the parties’ submitted Proposed Discovery Order was guided by and reflects the Court’s sample discovery order for non-patent cases, with only a few changes agreed to by the parties.

2. The parties agreed to the following changes from the Court’s sample discovery order. The parties believe good cause exists for the following reasons in support of each change:

- a. The parties removed Paragraph 1(h) and (i) and the reference to “depositions of treating physicians” in Paragraph 4 from the Court’s sample discovery order because those provisions addressed disclosures and depositions for suits alleging physical or mental injury and related damages, which are not at issue in this case.

- b. The parties modified Paragraph 2 to reflect their collective beliefs that a HIPAA-Qualified Protective Order is necessary and are conferring regarding the same.
- c. The parties modified Paragraph 3 to extend the additional disclosures deadline by thirty (30) days, to seventy-five (75) days after the Scheduling Conference, instead of forty-five (45) days. The parties similarly modified Paragraph 5 to extend the privilege log deadline by fifty (50) days, to one hundred ten (110) days after the Scheduling Conference, instead of sixty (60) days, and modified certain time limits for privilege assertions and notifications with the Court. These agreed modifications are consistent with the parties' proposed Amended Docket Control Order, and they believe additional time is needed, beyond that allotted in the sample discovery order, to make these disclosures.
- d. The parties modified Paragraph 4 to (a) increase the number of interrogatories and requests for admission from 25 to 35; (b) increase the number of experts from 2 to 3 per side; and (c) allow for 30 additional hours of nonparty depositions per party.

Dated: March 31, 2026

By: /s/ Jamie R. Kurtz

PATTON, TIDWELL & CULBERTSON

Kelly B. Tidwell
kbt@texarkanalaw.com
Geoffrey P. Culbertson
gpc@texarkanalaw.com
2800 Texas Boulevard
PO Box 5398
Texarkana, TX 75505
Telephone: (903) 792-7080
Facsimile: (903) 792-8233

– and –

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

Jamie R. Kurtz
JKurtz@RobinsKaplan.com
Nathaniel J. Moore*
NMoore@RobinsKaplan.com
Kyle D. Nelson*
KNelson@RobinsKaplan.com
Jackie Fielding*
JFielding@RobinsKaplan.com
800 LaSalle Avenue, Suite 2800
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 349-8500
Facsimile: (612) 339-4181

*Admitted *pro hac vice*

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Health Care
Services Corporation*

Dated: March 31, 2026

By: /s/ Joshua D. Arters

POLSINELLI PC

Andrew F. Newmann
State Bar No. 24060331
4020 Maple Avenue, Suite 300
Dallas, Texas 75219
(214) 661-5506
(214) 481-4797 (f)
afnewman@polsinelli.com

Joshua D. Arters, Lead Attorney (*pro hac vice*)
501 Commerce Street, Suite 1300
Nashville, Tennessee 37203
(615) 259-1510
(615) 259-1573 (f)
jarters@polsinelli.com

*Counsel for Defendant
Zotec Partners, LLC*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 31, 2026, a true and correct copy of the above was served via email through the Eastern District of Texas's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Jamie R. Kurtz

Jamie R. Kurtz

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that counsel for Defendant and Plaintiff have complied with the meet and confer requirement in Local Rule CV-7(h) and conferred on March 30, 2026, regarding this Motion, and their respective positions are stated therein.

/s/ Jamie R. Kurtz

Jamie R. Kurtz

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION**

HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORPORATION, A
MUTUAL LEGAL RESERVE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ZOTEC PARTNERS, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:25-cv-00186-RWS

DISCOVERY ORDER

After a review of the pleaded claims and defenses in this action, in furtherance of the management of the court's docket under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, and after receiving the input of the parties to this action, it is ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

1. **Disclosures.** Except as provided by paragraph 1(j), within thirty (30) days after the Scheduling Conference, each party shall disclose to every other party the following information:
 - (a) the correct names of the parties to the lawsuit;
 - (b) the name, address, and telephone number of any potential parties;
 - (c) the legal theories and, in general, the factual bases of the disclosing party's claims or defenses (the disclosing party need not marshal all evidence that may be offered at trial);
 - (d) the name, address, and telephone number of persons having knowledge of relevant facts, a brief statement of each identified person's connection with the case, and a brief, fair summary of the substance of the information known by any such person;

- (e) any indemnity and insuring agreements under which any person or entity carrying on an insurance business may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment entered in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment;
- (f) any settlement agreements relevant to the subject matter of this action;
- (g) any witness statements described in TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.3(h);
- (h) for any testifying expert, by the date set by the court in the Docket Control Order, each party shall disclose to the other party or parties:
 - a. the expert's name, address, and telephone number;
 - b. the subject matter on which the expert will testify;
 - c. if the witness is retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony in the case or whose duties as an employee of the disclosing party regularly involve giving expert testimony:
 - 1. all documents, tangible things, reports, models, or data compilations that have been provided to, reviewed by, or prepared by or for the expert in anticipation of the expert's testimony, except to the extent protected by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4); and
 - 2. the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) and Local Rule CV-26.
 - d. for all other experts, the general substance of the expert's mental impressions and opinions and a brief summary of the basis for them or documents reflecting such information; and
 - e. Any party shall be excused from furnishing an expert report of treating physicians.

2. **Protective Orders.** The parties believe a HIPAA-Qualified Protective Order is necessary and are conferring regarding the same.
3. **Additional Disclosures.** Each party, within seventy-five (75) days after the Scheduling Conference, shall provide to every other party the following:
 - (a) a copy of all documents, data compilations, and tangible things in the possession, custody, or control of the party that are relevant to the pleaded claims or defenses involved in this action. By written agreement of all parties, alternative forms of disclosure may be provided in lieu of paper copies. For example, the parties may agree to exchange images of documents electronically or by means of computer disk; or the parties may agree to review and copy disclosure materials at the offices of the attorneys representing the parties instead of requiring each side to furnish paper copies of the disclosure materials;
 - (b) a complete computation of any category of damages claimed by any party to the action, making available for inspection and copying as under Rule 34, the documents or other evidentiary material on which such computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered; and
 - (c) those documents and authorizations described in Local Rule CV-34;
4. **Discovery Limitations.** Discovery is limited to the disclosures described in Paragraphs 1 and 3 together with **35 interrogatories, 35 requests for admissions**, the depositions of the parties, depositions on written questions of custodians of business records for third parties, up to **three (3) expert witnesses per side**, and thirty (30) additional hours of nonparty depositions per party. Any party may move to modify these limitations for good cause.
5. **Privileged Information.** There is no duty to disclose privileged documents or information.

However, the parties are directed to meet and confer concerning privileged documents or information after the Scheduling Conference. Within one hundred ten (110) days after the Scheduling Conference, the parties shall exchange privilege logs identifying the documents or information and the basis for any disputed claim of privilege in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the other parties to assess the applicability of the privilege or protection. Any party may move the court for an order compelling the production of any documents or information identified on any other party's privilege log. If such a motion is made, the party asserting privilege shall respond to the motion within the time period provided by Local Rule CV-7. The party asserting privilege shall then file with the Court within thirty (30) days of the filing of the motion to compel any proof in the form of declarations or affidavits to support their assertions of privilege, along with the documents over which privilege is asserted for *in camera* inspection. If the parties have no disputes concerning privileged documents or information, then the parties shall inform the court of that fact within sixty (60) days after the Scheduling Conference.

6. **Pre-trial disclosures.** Each party shall provide to every other party regarding the evidence that the disclosing party may present at trial as follows:
 - (a) The name and, if not previously provided, the address and telephone number, of each witness, separately identifying those whom the party expects to present at trial and those whom the party may call if the need arises.
 - (b) The designation of those witnesses whose testimony is expected to be presented by means of a deposition and, if not taken stenographically, a transcript of the pertinent portions of the deposition testimony.
 - (c) An appropriate identification of each document or other exhibit, including

summaries of other evidence, separately identifying those which the party expects to offer and those which the party may offer if the need arises.

These disclosures shall be made at least 30 days before trial. Within 14 days thereafter, unless a different time is specified by the court, a party may serve and file a list disclosing (1) any objections to the use under Rule 32(a) of a deposition designated by another party under subparagraph (B) and (2) any objections, together with the grounds therefor, that may be made to the admissibility of materials identified under subparagraph (C). Objections not so disclosed, other than objections under Rules 402 and 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, shall be deemed waived unless excused by the court for good cause shown.

7. **Signature.** The disclosures required by this order shall be made in writing and signed by the party or counsel and shall constitute a certification that, to the best of the signer's knowledge, information and belief, such disclosure is complete and correct as of the time it is made. If feasible, counsel shall meet to exchange disclosures required by this order; otherwise, such disclosures shall be served as provided by Fed. R. Civ. P. 5. The parties shall promptly file a notice with the court that the disclosures required under this order have taken place.
8. **Duty to Supplement.** After disclosure is made pursuant to this order, each party is under a duty to supplement or correct its disclosures immediately if the party obtains information on the basis of which it knows that the information disclosed was either incomplete or incorrect when made, or is no longer complete or true.
9. **Disputes.**
 - (a) Except in cases involving claims of privilege, any party entitled to receive disclosures may, after the deadline for making disclosures, serve upon a party

required to make disclosures a written statement, in letter form or otherwise, of any reason why the party entitled to receive disclosures believes that the disclosures are insufficient. The written statement shall list, by category, the items the party entitled to receive disclosures contends should be produced. The parties shall promptly meet and confer. If the parties are unable to resolve their dispute, then the party required to make disclosures shall, within fourteen (14) days after service of the written statement upon it, serve upon the party entitled to receive disclosures a written statement, in letter form or otherwise, which identifies (1) the requested items that will be disclosed, if any, and (2) the reasons why any requested items will not be disclosed. The party entitled to receive disclosures may thereafter file a motion to compel.

- (b) In addition to the requirements of Local Rule CV-7(h) and (i), within 72 hours of the Court setting any discovery motion for a hearing, each party's lead attorney (*see* Local Rule CV-11(a)) and local counsel shall meet and confer in person or by telephone, without the involvement or participation of other attorneys, in an effort to resolve the dispute without Court intervention. Counsel shall promptly notify the Court of the results of that meeting by filing a joint report of no more than 2 pages. Unless excused by the Court, each party's lead attorney shall attend any discovery motion hearing set by the Court (though the lead attorney is not required to argue the motion).
- (c) Counsel are directed to contact the chambers of the undersigned for any "hot-line" disputes before contacting the Discovery Hotline provided by Local Rule CV-26(e). If the undersigned is not available, the parties shall proceed in accordance with Local Rule CV-26(e).

10. **No Excuses.** A party is not excused from the requirements of this Discovery Order because it has not fully completed its investigation of the case, or because it challenges the sufficiency of another party's disclosures, or because another party has not made its disclosures. Absent court order to the contrary, a party is not excused from disclosure because there are pending motions to dismiss, to remand or to change venue.
11. **Filings.** Only upon request from chambers shall counsel submit to the court courtesy copies of any filings.