1	BRIAN NETTER		
2	Deputy Assistant Attorney General STEPHANIE HINDS		
3	United States Attorney MICHELLE R. BENNETT		
4	Assistant Branch Director REBECCA KOPPLIN, Cal. Bar No. 313970		
5	MICHAEL GERARDI DANIEL RIESS		
6	Trial Attorneys United States Department of Justice		
7	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 1100 L Street NW		
8	Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 514-3953		
9	Email: rebecca.m.kopplin@usdoj.gov Counsel for Federal Defendants		
10	Counsel for I cucrui Defendants		
11	IN THE UNITED OF A TH	EC DICTRICT COURT	
12	IN THE UNITED STATE		
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	OAKLAND I	DIVISION	
14)	
15	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,) Case No.: 4:17-cv-5783-HSG	
16	Plaintiffs,)) 	
17	V.) JOINT STATUS REPORT)	
18 19	XAVIER BECERRA, Secretary of Health and Human Services, <i>et al.</i> ,)))	
20	Defendants,)	
21	and,))	
22	THE LITTLE SISTERS OF THE POOR, ST. MARY'S HOME, et al.,)))	
23 24	Defendant-Intervenors)))	
25	On August 17, 2021, the Court stayed the	his case and ordered the parties to file status	
26	reports every three months. ECF No. 467. The	e parties report as follows:	
27	1. This case concerns the validity of tw	o rules which create a moral exemption, and	
expand a religious exemption, to the rules establishing the contracer			
	JOINT STATUS	S REPORT	

Case No.: 4:17-cv-5783

- requirement. *See* Religious Exemptions and Accommodations for Coverage of Certain Preventive Services Under the ACA, 83 Fed. Reg. 57,536 (Nov. 15, 2018); Moral Exemptions and Accommodations for Coverage of Certain Preventive Services Under the ACA, 83 Fed. Reg. 57,592 (Nov. 15, 2018).
- 2. The Court has before it fully briefed dispositive motions, *see* ECF Nos. 311, 366, 368, 370, as well as supplemental briefs addressing the Supreme Court's decision in *Little Sisters of the Poor Saints Peter & Paul Home v. Pennsylvania*, 140 S. Ct. 2367 (2020), *see* ECF Nos. 433, 435, 437, 438, 440.
- 3. On August 16, 2021, Federal Defendants announced that "[t]he Departments [of Health and Human Services, Treasury, and Labor] intend to initiate rulemaking within 6 months to amend the 2018 final regulations and obtaining public input will be included as part of the Departments' rulemaking process." CMS.Gov, Frequently Asked Questions, Affordable Care Act Implementation FAQs (Set 48) (Aug. 16, 2021) (available at https://perma.cc/2XH8-MDBX).
- 4. The Federal Defendants published a notice of proposed rulemaking on February 2, 2023, that would "amend regulations regarding coverage of certain preventive services under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, which requires non-grandfathered group health plans and non-grandfathered group or individual health insurance coverage to cover certain contraceptive services without cost sharing." U.S. Dep't of Treasury, U.S. Dept't of Labor, & Dep't of Health & Human Servs., *Coverage of Certain Preventive Services Under the Affordable Care Act* (Feb. 2, 2023) (available at https://perma.cc/L58Q-VY4Q). The comment period for the proposed rule closed on April 3, 2023. The Federal Defendants received over 44,000 comments to the proposed rule.
- 5. The Court held its most recent status conference in this matter on February 7, 2023. After the conference, the Court (1) ordered that the case should remain stayed and (2) directed the parties to attach to the next joint status report any

- comments on the notice of proposed rulemaking submitted by Plaintiffs or intervenors. ECF No. 489.
- 6. The parties filed a status report with the comments of the Plaintiffs and intervenors on the notice of proposed rulemaking on May 1, 2023. ECF No. 493.
- 7. The Federal Defendants can report that review and assessment of the comments to the proposed rule, including comments from Plaintiffs and Intervenors, is ongoing. Federal Defendants propose that the case remain stayed and that Federal Defendants continue to file status reports every 90 days to apprise the Court of the status of the rulemaking and their position on the need for a continued stay. The next status report would therefore be due on January 29, 2024.
- 8. Plaintiff States do not oppose the case remaining in its current posture.
- 9. Intervenor-Defendant March for Life concurs in the federal government's proposal of a continued stay.
- 10. Intervenor-Defendants The Little Sisters of the Poor maintain their position from prior filings that the stay should be lifted—at least as to the religious conscientious exemptions—and the Little Sisters' motion for summary judgment decided. Plaintiff States' lawsuit with respect to the religious exemptions was already futile, but it has become even more futile in light of the Ninth Circuit's recent en banc decision in *Fellowship of Christian Athletes v. San Jose Unified Sch. Dist. Bd. of Educ.*, 82 F.4th 664 (9th Cir. 2023) (en banc). In *FCA*, the Ninth Circuit recognized "three bedrock requirements of the Free Exercise Clause that the government may not transgress." *Id.* at 686. "First, a purportedly neutral generally applicable policy may not have a mechanism for individualized exemptions. Second, the government may not treat comparable secular activity more favorably than religious exercise. Third, the government may not act in a manner hostile to religious beliefs or inconsistent with the Free Exercise Clause's bar on even 'subtle departures from neutrality." *Id.* (cleaned up). What the Plaintiff States

Case 4:17-cv-05783-HSG Document 503 Filed 10/30/23 Page 4 of 8

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

have asked for in this case would violate all three of those bedrock requirements. Continuing to wait for the Federal Defendants' Godot thus serves no purpose and is deleterious to the rule of law. Indeed, as Intervenor-Defendants The Little Sisters recently explained to the Supreme Court, this lawsuit (and its now six-year pendency) epitomizes the brokenness of the federal regulatory process as it intersects with the civil rights of religious people. *See* Amicus Br. of The Little Sisters of the Poor, *Loper Bright Enterprises v. Raimondo*, No. 22-451, at 1-2 (filed July 24, 2023). No other area of civil rights litigation experiences the yearslong delays in this case, all based on the thinnest of regulatory reeds. Plaintiffs' and Federal Defendants' charade thus casts a negative light on this Court while allowing them to avoid any accountability. The Court should therefore resolve the challenges to the religious exemption.

4__

Case 4:17-cv-05783-HSG Document 503 Filed 10/30/23 Page 5 of 8

1	Dated: October 30, 2023	Respectfully submitted,
2		BRIAN NETTER
3		Deputy Assistant Attorney General
4		STEPHANIE HINDS United States Attorney
5		MICHELLE R. BENNETT
6		Assistant Branch Director
7		<u>/s/ Rebecca Kopplin</u> REBECCA KOPPLIN
8		Cal. Bar No. 313970 MICHAEL J. GERARDI
9		DANIEL RIESS Trial Attorneys
10		United States Department of Justice Civil Division
11		Federal Programs Branch 1100 L Street NW
		Washington, D.C. 20001
12		Telephone: (202) 514-3953 Email: rebecca.m.kopplin@usdoj.gov
13		Counsel for Federal Defendants
14		/s/ Mark L. Rienzi
15		Eric C. Rassbach – No. 288041 Mark L. Rienzi – <i>pro hac vice</i>
16		Lori H. Windham – pro hac vice
17		Diana Verm Thomson – <i>pro hac vice</i> Adèle Auxier Keim – <i>pro hac vice</i>
18		Daniel L. Chen – No. 312576
		The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty 1919 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite
19		400
20		Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 955-0095
21		Facsimile: (202) 955-0090
22		erassbach@becketlaw.org Counsel for Defendant-Intervenor The
23		Little Sisters of the Poor
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20	5 Joint Status R	EDODT
	Case No : 1.17-m	

JOINT STATUS REPORT Case No.: 4:17-cv-5783

Case 4:17-cv-05783-HSG Document 503 Filed 10/30/23 Page 6 of 8

1	
1	Respectfully submitted,
2	ROB BONTA
3	Attorney General of California KATHLEEN BOERGERS Supervising Deputy Attorney General
5	KATAKEE KANE Deputy Attorney General
6	
7	<u>/s/ Karli Eisenberg</u> Karli Eisenberg
8	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of California
9	William Tong
10	Attorney General of Connecticut
11	Maura Murphy Osborne Assistant Attorney General
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of Connecticut
13	Kathleen Jennings
14	Attorney General of Delaware Christian Douglas Wright
15	Director of Impact Litigation JESSICA M. WILLEY
	Deputy Attorney General
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of Delaware
17	Brian Schwalb
18	Attorney General of the District of Columbia
19	KATHLEEN KONOPKA
20	Deputy Attorney General, Public Advocacy Division
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff the District of Columbia
	Loio Kuhina
22	Attorney General of Hawaii
23	ERIN N. LAU Deputy Attorney General
24	Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of Hawaii
25	
26	KWAME RAOUL Attorney General of Illinois
27	HARPREET K. KHERA Deputy Bureau Chief,
28	Special Litigation Bureau
40	6 JOINT STATUS REPORT
	JOHNI DIATOS KELOKI

JOINT STATUS REPORT Case No.: 4:17-cv-5783

1	ELIZABETH MORRIS Assistant Attorney General,	
2	Special Litigation Bureau	
3	Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of Illinois	
4	Anthony G. Brown Attorney General of Maryland	
5	CAROLYN A. QUATTROCKI	
6	Deputy Attorney General STEVE M. SULLIVAN	
7	Solicitor General KIMBERLY S. CAMMARATA	
-	Director, Health Education	
8	and Advocacy Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	the State of Maryland	
10	Keith Ellison	
	Attorney General of Minnesota	
11	JACOB CAMPION Assistant Attorney General	
12	Attorney for Plaintiff	
13	the State of Minnesota, by and through its	
	Department of Human Services	
14	Letitia James	
15	Attorney General of New York	
16	LISA LANDAU Bureau Chief, Health Care Bureau	
17	Steven C. Wu	
1 /	Deputy Solicitor General Attorneys for Plaintiff	
18	the State of New York	
19	Joshua H. Stein	
20	Attorney General of North Carolina SRIPRIYA NARASIMHAN	
20	Deputy General Counsel	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of North Carolina	
22	· ·	
23	PETER F. NERONHA Attorney General of Rhode Island	
	Michael W. Field	
24	Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff	
25	the State of Rhode Island	
26	Charity R. Clark	
	Attorney General of Vermont	
27	ELEANOR SPOTTSWOOD Assistant Attorney General	
28	7	
	JOINT STATUS REPORT	

JOINT STATUS REPORT Case No.: 4:17-cv-5783

1	Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of Vermont
2	ROBERT F. FERGUSON
3	Attorney General of Washington JEFFREY T. SPRUNG
4	Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff
5	the State of Washington
6	Respectfully submitted,
7	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM Attorney General of Oregon
8	/s/ J. Nicole DeFever (as authorized on 5/1/2023) J. NICOLE DEFEVER,
10	CA Bar No. 191525 Senior Assistant Attorney General
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor the State of Oregon
12	
13	/s/ Kenneth J. Connelly
14	KENNETH J. CONNELLY, AZ Bar
15	No. 25420 Alliance Defending Freedom
16	15100 N. 90 th Street Scottsdale, AZ 85260
17	Telephone: (480) 444-0020
	Facsimile: (480) 444-0028 Email: kconnelly@ADFlegal.org
18	Counsel for Defendant-Intervenor March for Life Education and Defense
19	Fund
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	JOINT STATUS REPORT

Case No.: 4:17-cv-5783