1	BRIAN NETTER Deputy Assistant Attorney General			
2	STĒPHANIE HINDS			
3	United States Attorney MICHELLE R. BENNETT			
	Assistant Branch Director			
4	MICHAEL GERARDI, DC Bar No. 1017949 CHRISTOPHER R. HEALY			
5	REBECCA M. KOPPLIN DANIEL RIESS Trial Attorneys			
6				
7	United States Department of Justice			
	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 1100 L Street NW			
8	Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 616-0680			
9	Email: michael.j.gerardi@usdoj.gov Counsel for Federal Defendants			
10	Counsei for Federal Defendants			
11				
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13				
14	OAKLAND DIVISION			
15				
16	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,) Case No.: 4:17-cv-5783-HSG		
17	Plaintiffs,))		
18	v.) JOINT STATUS REPORT		
	VAVIED DECEDDA Socratory of			
19 20	XAVIER BECERRA, Secretary of Health and Human Services, et al.,))		
	Defendants,			
21	and,))		
22 23	THE LITTLE SISTERS OF THE POOR, ST. MARY'S HOME, et al.,			
24	Defendant-Intervenors))		
25	On August 17, 2021, the Court stayed this case and ordered the parties to file status			
26	reports every three months. ECF No. 467. The parties report as follows:			
27	1. This case concerns the validity of two rules which create a moral exemption, and			
28	I			
	Joint Status	REPORT		

Case No.: 4:17-cv-5783

- expand a religious exemption, to the rules establishing the contraceptive coverage requirement. *See* Religious Exemptions and Accommodations for Coverage of Certain Preventive Services Under the ACA, 83 Fed. Reg. 57,536 (Nov. 15, 2018); Moral Exemptions and Accommodations for Coverage of Certain Preventive Services Under the ACA, 83 Fed. Reg. 57,592 (Nov. 15, 2018).
- 2. The Court has before it fully briefed dispositive motions, *see* ECF Nos. 311, 366, 368, 370, as well as supplemental briefs addressing the Supreme Court's decision in *Little Sisters of the Poor Saints Peter & Paul Home v. Pennsylvania*, 140 S. Ct. 2367 (2020), *see* ECF Nos. 433, 435, 437, 438, 440.
- 3. On August 3, 2021, the parties filed a joint status report, in which Federal Defendants asked the Court to stay the case to permit the defendant agencies to evaluate the issues presented by this litigation, as well as their regulatory and policy options. ECF No. 462. The Court had once previously held the motions in abeyance. ECF No. 454. Plaintiffs and intervenor March for Life did not object to the request. Intervenor Little Sisters objected.
- 4. On August 16, 2021, Federal Defendants announced that "[t]he Departments [of Health and Human Services, Treasury, and Labor] intend to initiate rulemaking within 6 months to amend the 2018 final regulations and obtaining public input will be included as part of the Departments' rulemaking process." CMS.Gov, Frequently Asked Questions, Affordable Care Act Implementation FAQs (Set 48) (Aug. 16, 2021) (available at https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Fact-Sheets-and-FAQs#Affordable Care Act).
- 5. On August 17, 2021, the Court held a case management conference. The Court granted Federal Defendants' request to stay the case and directed "counsel... to e-file a joint status report every three months." ECF No. 467.
- 6. The Court held another status conference on May 17, 2022.
- 7. The Federal Defendants report that they have made available for public inspection

a notice of proposed rulemaking that would "amend regulations regarding coverage of certain preventive services under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, which requires non-grandfathered group health plans and non-grandfathered group or individual health insurance coverage to cover certain contraceptive services without cost sharing." U.S. Dep't of Treasury, U.S. Dept't of Labor, & Dep't of Health & Human Servs., Coverage of Certain Preventive Services Under the Affordable Care Act (Jan. 30, 2023), https://publicinspection.federalregister.gov/2023-01981.pdf (unpublished, public inspection version). The proposed rule is scheduled to be published on February 2, 2023. The comment period for the proposed rule will be open for sixty days after the publication of the rule.

- Federal Defendants propose that the case remain stayed and that Federal Defendants continue to file status reports every three months to apprise the Court of the status of the rulemaking and their position on the need for a continued stay. The next status report will therefore be due on May 1, 2023.
- 9. Plaintiff States do not oppose the proposal of the Federal Defendants.
- 10. Intervenor March for Life does not oppose the proposal of the Federal Defendants.
- 11. Intervenor Little Sisters of the Poor proposes that the case be re-opened now. The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking sets forth the federal government's proposal to "maintain the religious exemption from the November 2018 Religious Exemption final rules." Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Coverage of Certain Preventive Services, at 36 (emphasis added). If the plaintiff States still believe such a religious exemption is illegal—which they have claimed to this Court since 2017—then they should litigate that claim now. If the plaintiff States have had a change of heart and now agree with the federal government that a religious exemption is legal, and that governments can deliver contraceptives to women without the involvement of religious objectors, then the Court should just enter

judgment against them because they have conceded their case. The governments' proposed third way—essentially an indefinite stay in this Court so that they can keep the *political* issue alive into a second decade or a fourth presidential administration—is an abuse of this Court.

The States' failure to prosecute their case over the past several years stands in sharp contrast to their initial representations to this Court that a religious exemption was both (a) illegal and (b) so deeply and urgently harmful that this Court needed to act on an emergency basis, twice, to make sure no such exemption ever took effect, even for a minute. The intervening years of failure to prosecute, even while the supposedly dangerous religious exemption has been in effect, debunk those claims. Worse, original lead plaintiff Xavier Becerra—who once insisted that this Court needed to act urgently because religious exemptions were illegal and would stop governments from getting contraceptives to women—has now announced that a rule **retaining** the very same religious exemption in fact "works to ensure that the tens of millions of women across the country who have and will benefit from the ACA will be protected. It says to women across the country, we have your back." U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Servs., Biden-Harris Administration Proposes New Rules to Expand Access to Birth Control 30, 2023), https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2023/01/30/biden-harris-(Jan. administration-proposes-new-rules-expand-access-birth-control-coverage-underaffordable-care-act.html (quoting Secretary Becerra).

The religious exemption has been in effect for years, is in effect today, and the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking now proposes to keep it in effect. There is no further reason for delay. The Little Sisters respectfully request that this case be reopened and litigated or conceded to swift and final resolution.

24

25

Case 4:17-cv-05783-HSG Document 488 Filed 02/01/23 Page 5 of 8

1	Dated: February 1, 2023	Respectfully submitted,
2		BRIAN NETTER Deputy Assistant Attorney General
3 4		STEPHANIE HINDS United States Attorney
5		MICHELLE R. BENNETT Assistant Branch Director
6		
7		/s/ Michael J. Gerardi MICHAEL J. GERARDI DC Bar No. 1017949
8		CHRISTOPHER R. HEALY REBECCA M. KOPPLIN
9		DANIEL RIESS Trial Attorneys
10 11		United States Department of Justice Civil Division
		Federal Programs Branch 1100 L Street NW
12		Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 616-0680
13		Email: michael.j.gerardi@usdoj.gov Counsel for Federal Defendants
14		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
15		/s/ Mark L. Rienzi Eric C. Rassbach – No. 288041
16		Mark L. Rienzi – <i>pro hac vice</i> Lori H. Windham – <i>pro hac vice</i>
17		Diana Verm Thomson – pro hac vice
18		Adèle Auxier Keim – <i>pro hac vice</i> Daniel L. Chen – No. 312576
19		The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty 1919 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite
20		400 Washington, DC 20036
21		Telephone: (202) 955-0095
22		Facsimile: (202) 955-0090 erassbach@becketlaw.org
23		Counsel for Defendant-Intervenor The
24		Little Sisters of the Poor
25		
26		
27		
28		
40	Iorit	5 Status Report
		No.: 4:17-cv-5783

Case No.: 4:17-cv-5783

1		
2	Dated: November 1, 2022	Respectfully submitted,
3		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California KATHLEEN BOERGERS
4 5		Supervising Deputy Attorney General KATAKEE KANE Deputy Attorney General
6		
7		<u>/s/ Karli Eisenberg</u> Karli Eisenberg
8		Supervising Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of California
9		WILLIAM TONG
10		Attorney General of Connecticut MAURA MURPHY OSBORNE
11		Assistant Attorney General
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of Connecticut
13		KATHLEEN JENNINGS
14		Attorney General of Delaware CHRISTIAN DOUGLAS WRIGHT
15		Director of Impact Litigation JESSICA M. WILLEY
16		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff
17		the State of Delaware
18		BRIAN SCHWALB
		Attorney General of the District of Columbia
19		KATHLEEN KONOPKA Deputy Attorney General,
20		Public Advocacy Division Attorneys for Plaintiff the District of
21		Columbia
22		Loio Kuhina
23		Attorney General of Hawaii ERIN N. LAU
24		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff
		the State of Hawaii
25		KWAME RAOUL
26		Attorney General of Illinois HARPREET K. KHERA
27		Deputy Bureau Chief, Special Litigation Bureau
28		6
	JOINT STATUS REPORT	

JOINT STATUS REPORT Case No.: 4:17-cv-5783

1	ELIZABETH MORRIS
2	Assistant Attorney General, Special Litigation Bureau
2	Attorneys for Plaintiff
3	the State of Illinois
4	Anthony G. Brown Attorney General of Maryland
5	CAROLYN A. QUATTROCKI
6	Deputy Attorney General STEVE M. SULLIVAN
	Solicitor General
7	KIMBERLY S. CAMMARATA Director, Health Education
8	and Advocacy
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of Maryland
10	Keith Ellison
11	Attorney General of Minnesota JACOB CAMPION
	Assistant Attorney General
12	Attorney for Plaintiff the State of Minnesota,
13	by and through its
14	Department of Human Services
15	LETITIA JAMES
	Attorney General of New York LISA LANDAU
16	Bureau Chief, Health Care Bureau STEVEN C. WU
17	Deputy Solicitor General
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of New York
19	Joshua H. Stein
	Attorney General of North Carolina
20	Sripriya Narasimhan Deputy General Counsel
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff
22	the State of North Carolina
23	PETER F. NERONHA Attorney General of Rhode Island
	MICHAEL W. FIELD
24	Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff
25	the State of Rhode Island
26	CHARITY R. CLARK
27	Attorney General of Vermont ELEANOR SPOTTSWOOD
28	Assistant Attorney General
۷٥	JOINT STATUS REPORT
	JOINT STATUS REPORT

JOINT STATUS REPORT Case No.: 4:17-cv-5783

Case 4:17-cv-05783-HSG Document 488 Filed 02/01/23 Page 8 of 8

1		Attorneys for Plaintiff
2		the State of Vermont
3		ROBERT F. FERGUSON Attorney General of Washington
4		JEFFREY T. SPRUNG
		Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff
5		the State of Washington
6	Dated: November 1, 2022	Respectfully submitted,
7		ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM
8		Attorney General of Oregon
9		/s/ J. Nicole DeFever
10		(as authorized on 08/01/22) J. NICOLE DEFEVER,
		CA Bar No. 191525
11		Senior Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor
12		the State of Oregon
13		
14		/s/ Kenneth J. Connelly
15		KENNETH J. CONNELLY, AZ Bar
		No. 25420 Alliance Defending Freedom
16		15100 N. 90 th Street
17		Scottsdale, AZ 85260
18		Telephone: (480) 444-0020 Facsimile: (480) 444-0028
19		Email: kconnelly@ADFlegal.org
		Counsel for Defendant-Intervenor
20		March for Life Education and Defense Fund
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		8
		JOINT STATUS REPORT

Case No.: 4:17-cv-5783