

Judge Brian E. Murphy: ELECTRONIC ORDER entered DENYING 304 Defendants' Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending the Resolution of Any Appeal. Defendants have not established good cause that a stay is warranted, as opposed to continuing in the usual course of litigation. See *Marquis v. F.D.I.C.*, 965 F.2d 1148, 1155 (1st Cir. 1992) (“[S]tays cannot be cavalierly dispensed: there must be good cause for their issuance; they must be reasonable in duration; and the court must ensure that competing equities are weighed and balanced.”); see also *Contour Design, Inc. v. Chance Mold Steel Co.*, 649 F.3d 31, 34 (1st Cir. 2011) (“An appeal from the grant or denial of a preliminary injunction does not divest the trial court of jurisdiction or prevent it from taking other steps in the litigation while the appeal is pending.... The only restriction on the trial court’s power occurs if the appellate court enters an order staying the lower court until the appeal has been completed.’... the district court is free to carry forward and order permanent relief after the merits are resolved.” (quoting 11A Wright & Miller, *Federal Practice and Procedure* § 2962, at 438–39 (2d ed. 1995))). The Court also notes that the present motion was filed on April 23, 2026, while Defendants filed an appeal of the preliminary injunction order on April 30, 2026. (MBM) (Entered: 05/01/2026)