

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DO NO HARM et al.
Plaintiffs,
v.
REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY
OF CALIFORNIA et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:25-cv-4131-JWH-JDE
ORDER DENYING PROPOSED
AMENDED STIPULATED
PROTECTIVE ORDER (Dkt. 93)
DISCOVERY MATTER

On April 6, 2026, the parties submitted a proposed “amended” protective order, with a “Stipulation” stating the proposed “amended” protective order would change two items from the Protective Order the Court entered on November 14, 2025 (Dkt. 74, “Protective Order”): (1) add the United States as a party; and (2) “include language regarding the use of certain large language models and generative AI tools.” Stipulation (Dkt. 93) at 2. However, the proposed “Amended” Protective Order purports to change the Protective Order in other, material ways, changes that the Court finds are not supported by good cause. E.g., compare Dkt. 74, ¶ 2(a) with Dkt. 93-1, ¶ 2(a); Dkt. 74, ¶ 7(a) with Dkt. 93-1, ¶ 7(a). As such, the Stipulation (Dkt. 93) is DENIED.

DATED: April 7, 2026


JOHN D. EARLY
United States Magistrate Judge