

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

G. H., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BOARD
OF REGENTS, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 25-cv-01399-RFL

**ORDER GRANTING PETITION TO
APPROVE SETTLEMENT AND
COMPROMISE OF MINOR’S CLAIM**

Re: Dkt. No. 49-3


Plaintiffs have filed an unopposed petition to approve settlement and compromise of minor’s claim. (Dkt. No. 49-3.) “District courts have a special duty, derived from Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(c), to safeguard the interests of litigants who are minors.” *Robidoux v. Rosengren*, 638 F.3d 1177, 1181 (9th Cir. 2011); Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(c)(2) (providing that a district court “must appoint a guardian ad litem—or issue another appropriate order—to protect a minor or incompetent person who is unrepresented in an action”). When there is a proposed settlement in a suit involving a minor plaintiff, this “special duty requires a district court to ‘conduct its own inquiry to determine whether the settlement serves the best interests of the minor.’” *Robidoux*, 638 F.3d at 1181 (citation omitted). The court must review “whether the net amount distributed to each minor plaintiff in the settlement is fair and reasonable, in light of the facts of the case, the minor’s specific claim, and recovery in similar cases.” *Id.* at 1182.

Based on its review of Plaintiffs’ submissions, the Court finds that the proposed settlement is fair and reasonable, and serves the best interests of G.H. Accordingly, the

Court **GRANTS** the petition to approve settlement and compromise of minor's claim.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 1, 2026



RITA F. LIN
United States District Judge