

ORDER re: In Camera Review. Judge Farrish has reviewed the documents submitted in camera, and orders as follows. The defendants' attorney-client privilege objections to the production of the following documents or portions of documents are sustained: The redacted portion of PLHHC-BROWN-0010555; PLHHC-BROWN-0010858; PLHHC-BROWN-0010890; PLHHC-BROWN-0014676; PLHHC-BROWN-0014936; PLHHC-BROWN-0014937; PLHCC-BROWN-0017577; The redacted portion of PLHCC-BROWN-0021949; PLHCC-BROWN-0022133; The redacted portion of PLHCC-BROWN-0023237; The redacted portion of PLHCC-BROWN-0023257; The redacted portion of PLHCC-BROWN-0014298; PLHHC-BROWN-0014941; PLHCC-BROWN-0014942; PLHCC-BROWN-0017463; PLHCC-BROWN-0017768; PLHCC-BROWN-0023432. The plaintiffs' motion to compel is accordingly denied in part, that is, to the extent that it sought an order compelling production of these seventeen documents. The Court has questions about the defendants' privilege claims as to the following documents: PLHCC-BROWN-0009877; PLHCC-BROWN-0015411; PLHCC-BROWN-0015413; PLHCC-BROWN-0015599; PLHCC-BROWN-0015949; PLHHC-BROWN-0017464; PLHCC-BROWN-0042128. An oral argument via videoconference will be scheduled for Friday, August 14, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. EDT. A separate calendar entry will issue. Parties should come to the argument prepared to discuss the defendants' privilege claims as to these seven documents, and they should also be prepared to discuss how the remainder of the motion should be resolved, that is, the 113 challenged documents that were not submitted for in camera review. It is so ordered. Signed by Judge Thomas O. Farrish on 08/08/2026. (TOF) (Entered: 08/08/2026)