

ORDER denying 132 Motion to Seal. The motion does not satisfy the standard for sealing, even recognizing the relatively low weight to be accorded to the presumption of public access in the context of a discovery dispute. See *Conservation L. Found. v. Shell Oil Co.*, No. 3:21-cv-933, 2023 WL 5567614, at *1-3 (D. Conn. May 16, 2023) (explaining the standards for sealing judicial documents in the Second Circuit, and the weight to be accorded to the presumption of public access in various contexts). The Court afforded the defendants an opportunity to explain whether there are countervailing considerations that would outweigh the presumption of access (ECF No. 142), but they did not avail themselves of the opportunity. No sufficient justification for sealing having been offered by either party, the motion is denied, and the Clerk of the Court is respectfully directed to unseal the documents at ECF Nos. 134, 134-1, 134-2, 134-3, and 134-4. It is so ordered. Signed by Judge Thomas O. Farrish on 3/19/26. (Wood, R.) (Entered: 03/19/2026)