

RAÚL R. LABRADOR
ATTORNEY GENERAL

JAMES E. M. CRAIG, ISB #6365
Chief, Civil Litigation and
Constitutional Defense

BRIAN V. CHURCH, ISB #9391
Lead Deputy Attorney General
DAVID J. MYERS, ISB #6528
Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0010
Telephone: (208) 334-2400
Facsimile: (208) 854-8073
james.craig@ag.idaho.gov
brian.church@ag.idaho.gov
david.myers@ag.idaho.gov

Attorneys for Defendant

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO**

ST. LUKE'S HEALTH SYSTEM, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

RAÚL LABRADOR, Attorney General of
the State of Idaho,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:25-cv-00015-BLW

**NOTICE OF NON-OPPOSITION TO
PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF UNEXPIRED
SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES
[DKT. 78]**

The Attorney General hereby notifies the Court that he is not going to oppose Plaintiff's Second Motion for Extension of Unexpired Scheduling Order Deadlines. In reaching his decision regarding St. Luke's request for a 60-day shift in the deadlines, the Attorney General has considered the following:

1. The Attorney General is cognizant of the Court's prior order in which, following a contested motion, the Court shifted the significant deadlines. *See* Dkt. 69.
2. The Attorney General recognizes that the Court recently referred the competing motions for protective order to Chief Magistrate Judge Patricco. *See* Dkt. 73.
3. Although the Attorney General has provided significant discovery to St. Luke's (pages AG_000001 through AG_010719), he is awaiting significant discovery from St. Luke's (which has produced pages SLHS_0000001 through SLHS_00000131). Discovery from St. Luke's is imperative for the Attorney General to be able to defend the claims against him.

Having considered these factors, while recognizing the harm to the State that will continue from the injunction of the State's validly enacted law,¹ the Attorney General does not oppose St. Luke's motion. He hopes that once a protective order is in place that relevant records relating to the six women and others will be quickly made available so that this case may continue to advance on an orderly track.²

¹ "[A]ny time a State is enjoined by a court from effectuating statutes enacted by representatives of its people, it suffers a form of irreparable injury." *Maryland v. King*, 567 U.S. 1301, 1303, (2012) (alterations in original) (quoting *New Motor Vehicle Bd. of Cal. v. Orrin W. Fox Co.*, 434 U.S. 1345, 1351 (1977)).

² To that end, the Attorney General and St. Luke's will proceed through the meet-and-confer process on the outstanding discovery. The Attorney General is preparing a response to St. Luke's shortly-before-Christmas letter. He disagrees with St. Luke's characterization of his discovery responses but recognizes this is not a proper venue to trade barbs regarding discovery.

DATED: January 21, 2026

STATE OF IDAHO
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ *Brian V. Church*

BRIAN V. CHURCH

Lead Deputy Attorney General

DAVID J. MYERS

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT on January 21, 2026, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which sent a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following persons:

Wendy J. Olson
wendy.olson@stoel.com

Stephen L. Adams
sadams@gfidaholaw.com

Alaina Harrington
alaina.harrington@stoel.com

Chad Golder
cgolder@aha.org

Lindsay C. Harrison
lharrison@jenner.com

Attorneys for Proposed Amici American Hospital Association, America's Essential Hospitals, and the American Association of Medical Colleges

Jessica Ring Amunson
jamunson@jenner.com

Sophia W. Montgomery
smontgomery@jenner.com

Ruby C. Giaquinto
rgiaquinto@jenner.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff St. Luke's Health System

/s/ Brian V. Church

BRIAN V. CHURCH