

**David W. Cramer, OSB No. 113621**  
dcramer@mblglaw.com  
MARTIN BISCHOFF, LLP  
620 SW Fifth Street, Suite 300  
Portland, OR 97204  
Telephone: 503-914-2015

**Allon Kedem, *pro hac vice***  
allon.kedem@arnoldporter.com  
**Jeffrey L. Handwerker, *pro hac vice***  
jeffrey.handwerker@arnoldporter.com  
**R. Stanton Jones, *pro hac vice***  
stanton.jones@arnoldporter.com  
**Elisabeth S. Theodore, *pro hac vice***  
elisabeth.theodore@arnoldporter.com  
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP  
601 Massachusetts Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20001  
Telephone: 202-942-5000  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**Dan Rayfield**  
Attorney General  
**Carla A. Scott, OSB No. 054725**  
Senior Assistant Attorney General  
carla.a.scott@doj.oregon.gov  
**Shaunee Morgan, OSB No. 194256**  
Assistant Attorney General  
shaunee.morgan@doj.oregon.gov  
Department of Justice  
100 SW Market Street  
Portland, OR 97201  
Telephone: (971) 673-1880  
*Attorneys for Defendant*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF OREGON**

**EUGENE DIVISION**

PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH AND  
MANUFACTURERS OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANDREW STOLFI, in his official capacity as  
Director of the Oregon Department of  
Consumer and Business Services,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:19-cv-01996-AA

**JOINT MOTION TO STAY AND  
STATUS REPORT**

**CERTIFICATION**

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-1, counsel certify that they have conferred and have agreed to jointly seek the requested relief.

**MOTION AND JOINT STATUS REPORT**

This case involves a challenge to Oregon House Bill 4005 (the Disclosure Law) under the First Amendment, the Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment, and the dormant Commerce Clause. This Court previously granted summary judgment in favor of Plaintiff Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America (PhRMA) on its claims under the First Amendment and the Takings Clause, and denied summary judgment to both parties on PhRMA's claim under the dormant Commerce Clause.

On August 26, 2025, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued a decision reversing this Court's First Amendment and Takings Clause rulings. Following the Ninth Circuit's issuance of its mandate, this Court directed the parties to file, by December 1, "a joint status report proposing their plan and/or deadlines to move this case forward." ECF No. 86 (Nov. 5, 2025). This Court subsequently extended the deadline for this status report to January 5, 2026. ECF No. 93 (Dec. 17, 2025).

PhRMA intends to file a petition for a writ of certiorari, currently due January 21, 2026, seeking Supreme Court review of the Ninth Circuit's decision. That petition will not involve PhRMA's claim under the dormant Commerce Clause, which remains pending in this Court.

In light of PhRMA's forthcoming certiorari petition, and in response to this Court's directive to file a joint status report, PhRMA and Defendant have conferred and reached agreement regarding further proceedings on PhRMA's dormant Commerce Clause claim. As part of their agreement, the parties jointly request that this Court stay proceedings on that claim

pending the Supreme Court's disposition of PhRMA's certiorari petition. The parties have further agreed that, should the Supreme Court either deny certiorari or affirm the Ninth Circuit's decision on the merits, PhRMA will voluntarily dismiss without prejudice the dormant Commerce Clause claim.

A stay of PhRMA's dormant Commerce Clause claim pending ongoing appellate proceedings is amply justified by interests of judicial economy. *See Landis v. N. Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 255 (1936). In particular, and in light of the parties' agreement, a stay of the claim will minimize the unnecessary use of party and judicial resources, as the Supreme Court's disposition of the petition could render unnecessary any further proceedings on that claim.

### CONCLUSION

The Court should stay further proceedings on PhRMA's claim under the dormant Commerce Clause pending the Supreme Court's disposition of PhRMA's forthcoming petition for a writ of certiorari and, if certiorari is granted, its decision on the merits.

DATED: January 5, 2026

MARTIN BISCHOFF, LLP

/s/*David W. Cramer*

David W. Cramer, OSB No. 113621  
dcramer@mblglaw.com

Alon Kedem

alon.kedem@arnoldporter.com

Jeffrey L. Handwerker

jeffrey.handwerker@arnoldporter.com

R. Stanton Jones

stanton.jones@arnoldporter.com

Elisabeth S. Theodore

elisabeth.theodore@arnoldporter.com

ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

DAN RAYFIELD

Attorney General

/s/*Carla A. Scott*

Carla A. Scott, OSB No. 054725  
carla.a.scott@doj.oregon.gov  
Shaunee Morgan, OSB No. 194256  
shaunee.morgan@doj.oregon.gov  
Department of Justice  
100 SW Market Street  
Portland, OR 97201  
Telephone: (971) 637-1880  
*Attorneys for Defendant*