IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Case No. 21-691-MN
v.	)	
	)	
	)	
AVADEL PHARMACEUTICALS PLC, ET AL.,	)	
	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF AVADEL'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. ARGUMENT 1

A. The '963 Patent Is Improperly Listed Because It Does Not Claim a "Method of Using [a] Drug" 1

B. There Is No Genuine Claim Construction Dispute That Would Preclude Judgment on the Pleadings 6

C. This Issue Is Ripe for Adjudication 8

III. CONCLUSION 9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Amazon.com, Inc. v. Barnesandnoble.com, Inc.,
239 F.3d 1343 (Fed, Cir. 2001) 3

AstraZeneca Pharms. LP v. Apotex Corp.,
669 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 9

Bayer Schering Pharma AG v. Lupin, Ltd.,
676 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 6

Bio-Rad Labs, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n,
998 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2021) 3

Caraco Pharm. Labs., Ltd. v. Novo Nordisk A/S,
566 U.S. 399 (2012) 8

Cumberland Pharms. Inc. v. Sagent Agila LLC,
No. 12-825-LPS, 2013 WL 5913742 (D. Del. Nov. 1, 2013) 7

Eagle Pharms. Inc. v. Slayback Pharma LLC,
958 F.3d 1171 (Fed. Cir. 2020) 7

Fed. Express Corp. v. Holowecki,
552 U.S. 389 (2008) 6

Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp.,
626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 2

In re Kollar,
286 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 2

In re Lantus Direct Purchase Antitrust Litig.,
950 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 2020)..... 3, 4

Lyda v. CBS Corp.,
838 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 4

Max v. Republican Comm. of Lancaster Cnty.,
587 F.3d 198 (3d Cir. 2009) 7

Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. v. Microspherix LLC,
814 F. App'x 575 (Fed. Cir. 2020) 4

NTP, Inc. v. Rsch. in Motion, Ltd.,
418 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2005) 2

Ottah v. Fiat Chrysler,
884 F.3d 1135 (Fed. Cir. 2018) 7

Pacific Biosciences Labs, Inc. v. Nutra Luxe MD, LLC,
No. 2012 WL 12845607 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 21, 2012) 4

Purdue Pharm. Prods. L.P. v. Actavis Elizabeth, LLC,
No. 12-5311 (JLL), 2014 WL 2624787 (D.N.J. June 11, 2014) 4

Vanda Pharms. Inc. v. West-Ward Pharms. Int'l Ltd.,
887 F.3d 1117 (Fed. Cir. 2018) 9

Wolfington v. Reconstructive Orthopaedics Assocs. II PC,
935 F.3d 187 (3d Cir. 2019) 7

STATUTES

21 U.S.C. § 355(b)(1)(A)(viii) 1, 6

35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2) 8

REGULATIONS

21 C.F.R. § 314.53(b) 2

21 C.F.R. § 314.53(b)(1) 6

I. INTRODUCTION

Jazz's opposition to Avadel's Rule 12(c) motion makes clear that there is no genuine dispute that Jazz improperly listed the '963 patent in the Orange Book. Jazz brazenly asks the Court to ignore plain English and interpret claims that are unmistakably directed to ***computer systems*** as method claims. In order to do so, Jazz does not construe the claim terms but instead ***rewrites*** the claims to add verbs and omit nouns—a ploy that only serves to highlight the lack of any "method steps" in the claimed computer systems. Jazz doubles down on this facially absurd argument by insisting that the Court must undertake a full claim construction analysis before it can resolve whether the claims are directed to a system or a method. Not so—courts in this district have routinely ruled on Rule 12 motions where, as here, there was no plausible claim construction dispute. Finally, as a last ditch effort to avoid an order requiring it to correct its facially improper Orange Book listing, Jazz attempts to convince the Court that the parties' dispute is somehow not ripe for adjudication, despite Supreme Court precedent to the contrary.

Jazz's arguments underscore that the only plausible viewing of the facts is that the '963 patent is improperly listed, and this Court should grant Avadel's delisting motion on the pleadings.

II. ARGUMENT

A. The '963 Patent Is Improperly Listed Because It Does Not Claim a "Method of Using [a] Drug"

As Avadel explained in its opening brief, the '963 patent is unmistakably directed to a "computer-implemented ***system***."[1] D.I. 21 at 3-6. Because it does not claim a drug substance, drug product, or method of using a drug, the Hatch-Waxman Act and attendant regulations do not permit its listing in the Orange Book. *See* 21 U.S.C. § 355(b)(1)(A)(viii) (requiring that listed

[1] All emphases added except where otherwise noted.

patents are those (1) "for which a claim of patent infringement could reasonably be asserted;" ***and*** (2) claim "a drug substance (active ingredient)," "drug product (formulation or composition)," or "a ***method*** of using such drug); 21 C.F.R. § 314.53(b) (the patents to be listed "***consist*** of drug substance (active ingredient) patents, drug product (formulation and composition) patents, and method-of-use patents").

Method claims "consist[] of doing something, and therefore ha[ve] to be carried out or performed." *In re Kollar*, 286 F.3d 1326, 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2002); *NTP, Inc. v. Rsch. in Motion, Ltd.*, 418 F.3d 1282, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2005) ("The invention recited in a method claim is the ***performance*** of the recited steps."). Thus method claims have certain hallmarks, including the use of the word "method"; verbs describing actions to be performed; and steps to be taken in performing the claimed method. *See Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp.*, 626 F.3d 1197, 1204-05 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (determining that claims to a "system for execution by a server that serves as a gateway to a client . . ." were not method claims because they "[did] not require the performance of any method steps" and instead "recite[d] software components with specific purposes," such as "a logical engine for *preventing* execution") (emphasis in original). Not only do the claims of the '963 patent fail to recite "methods," they are entirely devoid of verbs describing actions to be performed or steps to be taken. They thus plainly fail to display any of the commonsense indicia of method claims.

Jazz's arguments to the contrary are unavailing. First, Jazz rewrites the unambiguous language of the claims to include "method steps" that do not exist. Jazz goes so far as to chart the purported "methods steps" found in the '963 patent claims. *See* D.I. 43 at 5. But even a cursory review of the actual claim language reveals that Jazz arrives at the "method steps" for the '963 patent by ***omitting*** the recitation of components of the "computer-implemented system" from the

claim language (*e.g.*, "prescriber fields" and "data processor") and ***substituting*** verbs describing method steps in place of the adjectives describing the system components (*e.g.*, "to identify," "to . . . reconcile," "to notify"). Thus, in Jazz's brief, the system element of "a ***data processor*** configured to . . . reconcile inventory of the prescription drug" is recast as an alleged method step of "***reconciling*** 'inventory of the prescription drug . . . .'" *See* D.I. 43 at 5 (*citing* claim 1). Similarly, "the system of claim 1, wherein the data processor selectively blocks shipment of the prescription drug" is contorted to the alleged method step of "block[ing] shipment of the prescription drug." *See Id.* (*citing* claim 2); *see also id.* at 5-6 (*citing* claims 1, 3, 13, 14).) But the claims are plain on their face and cannot be rewritten as Jazz suggests. *See Bio-Rad Labs, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, 998 F.3d 1320, 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2021) (rejecting patentee's argument because "it is premised on rewriting the claims" and patentee's "summary of the claim is not remotely close to what the claim says"); *Amazon.com, Inc. v. Barnesandnoble.com, Inc.*, 239 F.3d 1343, 1351 (Fed, Cir. 2001) ("A patent may not, like a nose of wax, be twisted one way to avoid [invalidity] and another to find infringement.") (citations and internal quotation marks omitted).

Second, Jazz ignores entirely the fact that the preambles of claims 1 and 23 (the independent claims at issue) expressly state that the claims are directed to a "computer-implemented system." *See* '963 patent at Claims 1, 23. Instead, Jazz cites language from the preamble stating that the "computer-implemented system" is intended for the "treatment of a narcoleptic patient." *See* D.I. 43 at 11-12. But courts have repeatedly rejected similar attempts to rely on such isolated claim fragments describing the intended use of a physical device or system to transform a non-method claim into a method-of-use claim. Thus, in *In re Lantus Direct Purchase Antitrust Litig.*, 950 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 2020), the Court reversed the trial court and found that it was improper to list a patent to a "<u>device</u> ***intended for use in an injector pen***," because it

neither "claim[ed] the pertinent drug or a method of using the drug." *In re Lantus*, 950 F.3d at 1, 7. Other courts have made it clear that language describing a particular use must be read in the full context of the claims, and the recitation of a therapeutic use to describe a system or device does not transform such non-method claims into method claims. *See, e.g.*, *Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. v. Microspherix LLC*, 814 F. App'x 575, 577 (Fed. Cir. 2020) (characterizing claims to a brachytherapy device ***for use in radiation treatment*** as "devices for treating cancers"); *Purdue Pharm. Prods. L.P. v. Actavis Elizabeth, LLC*, No. 12-5311 (JLL), 2014 WL 2624787, at *7-8 (D.N.J. June 11, 2014) (treating claims to "[a] solid unit dosage composition ***for the treatment of MOTN insomnia***" as "composition" claims); *Pacific Biosciences Labs, Inc. v. Nutra Luxe MD, LLC*, No. 2012 WL 12845607, at *3, *10 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 21, 2012) (describing a claim to "[a]n apparatus ***for treatment of acne***" as an "apparatus" claim).[2] The Court should reject Jazz's attempt to rely on select phrases to transform claims directed to "computer systems" that can be used for a specific application into claims "covering a method of safely using GHB." D.I. 43 at 11, *see also id.* at 1, 4-5, 13-14.

Third, Jazz's attempts to walk back its prior characterization of the '963 patent before the PTAB as "system" claims are unavailing. *See* D.I. 43 at 11.[3] Jazz's first example—in which Jazz stated that the claims were directed to "computer-implemented systems for treating a narcoleptic

[2] *Lyda v. CBS Corp.*, 838 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2016) is not to the contrary. D.I. 43 at 12. The method claims at issue were construed as such because the body of the claims recited "the performance of particular method steps." *Lyda*, 838 F.3d at 1339. Here, the claims of the '963 patent require components of a computers system, *e.g.*, a "computer database," "computer memories," and a "data processor," not actions to be performed.

[3] Jazz's contention that Avadel "suggest[ed]" that the '963 patent was directed to both a method and a system misunderstands Avadel's argument. D.I. 43 at 13 n.6. As Avadel explained in its opening brief, patent claims may either be method claims or composition claims, but not both. D.I. 21 at 6. Having repeatedly represented to the PTAB that the claims of the '963 patent were system claims, it cannot now take the position that they are method claims.

patient"—simply repeats the claim language which, on its face, describes a "computer-implemented *system*," not a method of use. D.I. 43 at 11. Jazz's remaining example—that Jazz explained that the "FDA would not have approved Xyrem without a method of restricting access to the drug" fares no better. *See id.*; *see also id.* at 8-9 (citing the fact that FDA approval of Xyrem required a system for controlling access to the drug). The FDA's requirement that Xyrem's use be regulated has no bearing on whether Jazz has patent claims covering its use.

Fourth, Jazz's arguments are flatly contradicted by the fact that it obtained six other patents relating to the REMS system, all of which included method claims. Notably, all six were also listed in the Orange Book, and all six were invalidated in their entirety by the PTAB. D.I. 21 at 3. Those patents provide a stark counterpoint to Jazz's contention that the '963 patent is directed to a method of use: when Jazz intended to claim methods of using GHB (including in connection with a computer system), it did so clearly and unequivocally, using claims that carry the hallmarks of method claims: expressly reciting a "method"; using verbs describing specific actions; and identifying steps to be performed in carrying out the method. *See, e.g.*, Ex. 1, U.S. Patent No. 7,765,106, cl. 1 ("A therapeutic ***method for treating a patient*** . . . comprising . . . ***receiving***, only into an exclusive central computer system, all prescriptions."); Ex. 2, U.S. Patent No. 8,457,988, cl. 1 ("A ***method of treatment*** of a narcoleptic patient . . . comprising . . . ***receiving*** in a computer processor all prescription requests"). But now that those claims are invalidated, Jazz is attempting to recover those invalidated method claims through the wholesale rewriting of the computer system claims of the '963 patent. The Court should reject these tactics.

Because the claims of the '963 patent are directed to computer systems, rather than methods of use, Jazz's contention that the FDA regulations "required Jazz to list the '963 patent in the Orange Book," is entirely unfounded. D.I. 43 at 8. Further, as explained in Avadel's opening brief

(D.I. 21 at 2), the FDA does not police whether particular patents should be listed in the Orange Book—"it simply lists those patents that are submitted by patent holders." *See Bayer Schering Pharma AG v. Lupin, Ltd.*, 676 F.3d 1316, 1324-25 (Fed. Cir. 2012) (finding non-infringement on the pleadings because defendants did not seek approval for the use protected by the listed method-of-use patent). Thus, the fact that Jazz listed the '963 patent is irrelevant to the question of whether it ***should*** have been listed, and Jazz cannot hide behind an FDA regulation that purportedly required such a listing.

Finally, Jazz contends that the '963 patent claims (even if they are not method-of-use claims) may be eligible to be listed in the Orange Book because they do not "fall[] within any of the[] prohibited categories" as set forth in 21 C.F.R. § 314.53(b)(1) (prohibiting listing of "[p]rocess patents, patents claiming packaging, patents claiming metabolites, and patents claiming intermediates"). D.I. 43 at 9. But Jazz ignores that, as described above, both the statute and attendant regulations require that the patent be "to a method of using the drug." 21 U.S.C. § 355(b)(1)(A)(viii); *see also* 21 C.F.R. § 314.53(b)(1). Jazz's interpretation would eviscerate such a requirement, and thus cannot be correct. *See Fed. Express Corp. v. Holowecki*, 552 U.S. 389, 401-02 (2008) (rejecting interpretation of regulation that would be in "tension with the structure and purpose" of the authorizing statute). The regulations simply state that process patents (such as methods of manufacturing) are not methods of ***using*** the drug.

B. There Is No Genuine Claim Construction Dispute That Would Preclude Judgment on the Pleadings

Jazz next argues that Avadel is precluded from a ruling on the pleadings because there is an outstanding claim construction dispute. D.I. 43 at 14. Jazz thus attempts to create a *per se* rule that as soon as a party alleges a claim construction dispute, this Court cannot grant Rule 12(c) relief. *Id.* No such rule exists, and courts have routinely rejected such arguments where the

purported claim construction issue is facially implausible. *See, e.g.*, *Ottah v. Fiat Chrysler*, 884 F.3d 1135, 1141-42 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (affirming dismissal because claims to a "'book holder' cannot plausibly be construed to include or be the equivalent of a camera holder, in view of the specification and the prosecution history"); *Cumberland Pharms. Inc. v. Sagent Agila LLC*, No. 12-825-LPS, 2013 WL 5913742, at *2 (D. Del. Nov. 1, 2013) (granting a motion to dismiss because "[n]o claim construction is necessary in order to determine that 'free from a chelating agent' means that a claimed composition may not include a chelating agent").

That is exactly the situation here. As discussed above, while Jazz asserts that there is a "claim construction dispute," it does not identify any specific term in need of construction, nor identify the construction that it would advocate for any such term, nor identify any factual evidence that the Court would need to evaluate in order to resolve the meaning of it. The Court is not required to entertain Jazz's facially implausible arguments. *See, e.g.*, *Max v. Republican Comm. of Lancaster Cnty.*, 587 F.3d 198, 200 (3d Cir. 2009) (to survive motion to dismiss, non-movant must "state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face") (citation omitted); *Wolfington v. Reconstructive Orthopaedics Assocs. II PC*, 935 F.3d 187, 195 (3d Cir. 2019) (analyzing Rule 12(c) motions "under the same standards that apply to a Rule 12(b)(6) motion"). Were the case otherwise, a plaintiff could simply manufacture a claim construction dispute, no matter how frivolous, and preclude a court from granting Rule 12(c) relief. Thus, while Jazz pled that the '963 patent claims are "method claims," it offers no legitimate reading of the claims in which they would be understood to be "method claims," nor does such a legitimate reading exist. In these circumstances, where there is no material issue of fact to be resolved, Avadel is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. *See Eagle Pharms. Inc. v. Slayback Pharma LLC*, 958 F.3d 1171, 1178 (Fed. Cir. 2020) (applying Third Circuit law to find that judgment on the pleading was

appropriate when proffered expert testimony "was merely 'an attempt to manufacture a factual dispute'").

C. This Issue Is Ripe for Adjudication

Finally, Jazz argues that this motion is not ripe for adjudication because (1) Avadel has not filed any patent certifications against the '963 patent; and (2) Jazz can assert the '963 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2) regardless of whether it is listed in the Orange Book (D.I. 43 at 15-16).

First, Avadel is not required to have filed a certification against the '963 patent in order to bring a motion for judgment on the pleadings for its delisting counterclaim. The Supreme Court addressed an analogous situation in *Caraco* when it considered whether an applicant could seek a counterclaim against a branded company to force correction of an improper method of use code without first certifying against the patent. *Caraco Pharm. Labs., Ltd. v. Novo Nordisk A/S*, 566 U.S. 399 (2012). The Court explained that in order to curb abuses associated with improper listings with the FDA, Congress "create[d] a mechanism, in the form of a legal counterclaim" for parties to challenge patent information a brand has submitted to the FDA. *Id.* at 408. Further, this counterclaim was available regardless of whether the defendant had certified against the listed patent. *Id*. The alternative, the Court noted, would mean that "the only option for generic manufacturers [challenging a listing] was to file a paragraph IV certification (triggering an infringement suit) and then wait out the usual 30-month period before the FDA could approve an ANDA." *Id.* While Avadel is not a generic applicant, it has been sued by Jazz on an improperly listed patent, and the Court's holding in *Caraco* applies here with equal force. That is a cognizable harm. Avadel's motion for judgment is not precluded by the lack of a certification against the '963 patent and is ripe for adjudication.

Second, Jazz argues that it could assert the '963 patent under the Hatch-Waxman Act regardless of its listing status. Yet, Jazz's own cases are inapposite, because in both cases, the

asserted patents ***had*** been listed. Thus, in *AstraZeneca*, the patentee alleged that the Defendants' "ANDA filings infringed its ***listed*** patents under § 271(e)(2), and nothing more was required to establish the district court's subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to § 1338(a)." *AstraZeneca Pharms. LP v. Apotex Corp.*, 669 F.3d 1370, 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2012) (determining that the district court had subject matter jurisdiction when patentee asserted listed patents against ANDA filers). Similarly, in *Vanda*, the asserted patent was listed in the Orange Book, and the dispute was whether relief under § 271(e)(4)(A) was available when the patent issued and was listed only after the ANDA was filed. *See Vanda Pharms. Inc. v. West-Ward Pharms. Int'l Ltd.*, 887 F.3d 1117, 1121, 1123 (Fed. Cir. 2018). Finally, that assertion is irrelevant in any event – Congress has prescribed a remedy for an improperly-listed patent, and Avadel has demonstrated that it is entitled to the very relief Congress has afforded under the statute.

Avadel's delisting counterclaim does not require a certification against the '963 patent, and nothing precludes the Court from deciding Avadel's Rule 12(c) motion for judgment on the pleadings.

III. CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, Avadel respectfully requests that the Court decide on the pleadings that Jazz's '963 patent was improperly listed and that it be removed from the Orange Book.

Dated: September 3, 2021

MCCARTER &ENGLISH, LLP

/s/ Daniel M. Silver

Daniel M. Silver (#4758)
Alexandra M. Joyce (#6423)
Renaissance Centre
405 N. King Street, 8th Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 984-6300
dsilver@mccarter.com
ajoyce@mccarter.com

Counsel for Defendants

Of Counsel:

Kenneth G. Schuler
Marc N. Zubick
Alex Grabowski
Sarah W. Wang
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
330 North Wabash Avenue, Suite 2800
Chicago, IL 60611
(312) 876-7700
kenneth.schuler@lw.com
marc.zubick@lw.com
alex.grabowski@lw.com
sarah.wang@lw.com

Herman Yue
Bornali Rashmi Borah
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
1271 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020
(212) 906-1200
Herman.Yue@lw.com
Rashmi.Borah@lw.com

Daralyn J. Durie
DURIE TANGRI LLP
217 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 365-6666
ddurie@durietangri.com

Kira A. Davis
Katherine E. McNutt
DURIE TANGRI LLP
953 East 3rd Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013
(213) 992-4499
kdavis@durietangri.com
kmcnutt@durietangri.com

EXHIBIT 1

US007765106B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Reardan et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 7,765,106 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: *Jul. 27, 2010**

(54) **SENSITIVE DRUG DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AND METHOD**

(75) Inventors: **Dayton T. Reardan**, Excelsior, MN (US); **Patti A. Engel**, Eagan, MN (US); **Bob Gagne**, St. Paul, MN (US)

(73) Assignee: **JPI Commercial, LLC**, Palo Alto, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1645 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/979,665**

(22) Filed: **Nov. 2, 2004**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2005/0090425 A1 Apr. 28, 2005

Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 10/322,348, filed on Dec. 17, 2002, now Pat. No. 7,668,730.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06Q 10/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **705/2**; 705/3

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 705/2, 705/3

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,556,342	A	1/1971	Guarr	
4,847,764	A	7/1989	Halvorson	
4,976,351	A	12/1990	Mangini et al.	
5,737,539	A	4/1998	Edelson et al.	
5,845,255	A	12/1998	Mayaud	705/3
5,924,074	A	7/1999	Evans	705/3
6,021,392	A	2/2000	Lester et al.	
6,045,501	A	4/2000	Elsayed et al.	
6,055,507	A	4/2000	Cunningham	
6,112,182	A	8/2000	Akers et al.	
6,315,720	B1	11/2001	Williams et al.	
6,347,329	B1	2/2002	Evans	
6,564,121	B1	5/2003	Wallace et al.	
6,687,676	B1	2/2004	Denny	
6,755,784	B2	6/2004	Williams et al.	
6,952,681	B2	10/2005	McQuade et al.	
7,058,584	B2	6/2006	Kosinski et al.	

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

NASCSA National Conference, (Nov. 2000),8 pages.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Gerald J. O'Connor
Assistant Examiner—Lena Najarian
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A drug distribution system and method utilizes a central pharmacy and database to track all prescriptions for a sensitive drug. Information is kept in the database regarding all physicians allowed to prescribe the sensitive drug, and all patients receiving the drug. Abuses are identified by monitoring data in the database for prescription patterns by physicians and prescriptions obtained by patients. Further verification is made that the physician is eligible to prescribe the drug by consulting a separate database, and optionally whether any actions are taken against the physician. Multiple controls beyond those for normal drugs are imposed on the distribution depending on the sensitivity of the drug.

8 Claims, 16 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,668,730	B2	2/2010	Reardon et al.
2001/0001144	A1	5/2001	Kapp
2001/0042050	A1	11/2001	Fletcher et al.
2001/0047281	A1	11/2001	Keresman, III et al.
2002/0010661	A1	1/2002	Waddington et al.
2002/0032581	A1	3/2002	Reitberg
2002/0032582	A1	3/2002	Feeney, Jr. et al.
2002/0042725	A1	4/2002	Mayaud
2002/0042762	A1	4/2002	McQuade et al.
2002/0052762	A1	5/2002	Kobylevsky et al.
2002/0161607	A1	10/2002	Subich
2002/0177232	A1	11/2002	Melker et al.
2003/0033168	A1	2/2003	Califano et al.
2003/0046110	A1	3/2003	Gogolak
2003/0050802	A1	3/2003	Jay et al.
2003/0093295	A1	5/2003	Lilly et al.
2003/0110060	A1	6/2003	Clementi
2003/0127508	A1	7/2003	Jones
2003/0144876	A1	7/2003	Kosinski et al.
2003/0160698	A1	8/2003	Andreasson et al.
2003/0197366	A1	10/2003	Kusterbeck
2003/0229519	A1	12/2003	Eidex et al.
2003/0233256	A1	12/2003	Cardenas et al.
2004/0008123	A1	1/2004	Carrender et al.
2004/0019567	A1	1/2004	Herceg et al.
2004/0019794	A1	1/2004	Moradi et al.
2004/0078237	A1	4/2004	Kaafarani et al.
2004/0107117	A1	6/2004	Denny
2004/0117126	A1	6/2004	Fetterman et al.
2004/0122712	A1	6/2004	Hill, Sr. et al.
2004/0122713	A1	6/2004	Hill, Sr. et al.
2004/0162740	A1	8/2004	Ericsson et al.
2004/0176985	A1	9/2004	Lilly et al.
2005/0216309	A1	9/2005	Reardan et al.
2005/0222874	A1	10/2005	Reardan et al.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Diversion Prevention Through Responsible Distribution", *NADDI Regional Training*, (May 2001),12 pages.
"Diversion Prevention Through Responsible Distribution", *NADDI Regional Training Tennessee*, (Jun. 2001),14 Pages.
"Diversion Prevention Through Responsible Distribution", *NADDI National Conference*, (Nov. 2001),15 pages.
"Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee", *Department of Health and Human Service Food and Drug Administration*.
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Holiday Inn, Bethesda, Maryland,(Jun. 6, 2001),7 pages.
"Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee", *Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research*, Holiday Inn, Bethesda, Maryland,(Jun. 6, 2001),7 pages.
"Preliminary Amendment Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.115 filed Jun. 17, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/104,013".
"System for Thalidomide Education and Prescribing Safety (S.T.E. P.S.) Starter Kit", *Celgene Corporation*, (2001),103 pgs.
"An Interview with Orphan Medical about Xyrem", http://www.talkaboutsleep.com/sleepdisorders/archives/Narcolepsy_xyrem_interview.htm, (Feb. 12, 2001), 3 pgs.
Ukens, C., "Specialty Pharmacy", *Drug Topics*, 144, (Jun. 5, 2000), 40-47.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Advisory Action mailed Feb. 5, 2007", 3 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Amendment and Response to Final Office Action mailed Jan. 17, 2007", 17 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Amendment and Response to Final Office Action mailed Feb. 29, 2006", 11 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Final Office Action mailed Oct. 18, 2006", 14 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Final Office Action mailed Dec. 29, 2005", 11 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Non Final Office Action mailed Jun. 17, 2005", 26 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Non Final Office Action mailed Jun. 29, 2005", 12 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Non Final Office Action Response mailed Aug. 8, 2006", 10 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Preliminary Amendment mailed Sep. 30, 2004", 11 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/731,915 Non Final Office Action mailed Oct. 5, 2004", 21 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/731,915, Non Final Office Action mailed Aug. 12, 2005", 22 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/731,915, Non Final Office Action Response mailed Feb. 2, 2005", 17 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Non Final Office Action mailed Jun. 19, 2006", 18 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Preliminary Amendment mailed Apr. 1, 2005", 6 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Non-Final Office Action mailed May 29, 2009", 21 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Appeal Brief filed May 21, 2007", 32 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Examiner Interview Summary mailed Oct. 21, 2009", 3 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Notice of Allowance mailed Dec. 31, 2009", 16 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Reply Brief filed Dec. 3, 2007", 4 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Response filed Sep. 29, 2005 to Non Final Office Action mailed Jun. 29, 2005", 19 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651 , Non-Final Office Action mailed Mar. 3, 2010", 19 Pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Final Office Action mailed Nov. 12, 2009", 14 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Response filed Feb. 9, 2010 to Final Office Action mailed Nov. 12, 2009", 11 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Response filed Sep. 17, 2009 to Non Final Office Action mailed May 29, 2009", 10 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,985, Non Final Office Action mailed Sep. 14, 2009", 22 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,985, Preliminary Amendment mailed Apr. 1, 2005", 7 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,985, Response filed Nov. 3, 2009 to Non Final Office Action mailed Sep. 14, 2009", 15 pgs.



FIG. 1


202
MD MAILS/FAXES IN Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM
204
AN INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST MAKE A COPY OF THE Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM (THE COPY IS STAMPED "COPY") AND THE ORIGINAL FAX IS THEN FORWARDED TO THE PHARMACY TEAM
206
MD MAILS/FAXES IN Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM
B
210
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST ENTERS THE PATIENT AND PHYSICIAN INFO INTO CHiPS
212
IS THE INFO COMPLETE?
NO
214
AN INTAKE REPRESENTATIVE WILL MAKE 1 ATTEMPT TO REACH THE MD TO OBTAIN THE MISSING INFORMATION
216
THE MISSING INFORMATION HAS NOT BEEN OBTAINED WITHIN 24 HOURS, THE Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM IS FAXED BACK TO THE MD WITH A REJECTION EXPLANATION LETTER
218
A NOTE IS ENTERED IN CHiPS THAT THE APPLICATION WAS REJECTED
YES
220
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST CONTACTS MD TO VERIFY RECEIPT & ACCURACY OF THE PATIENT'S Rx & THIS CONTACT IS RECORDED IN CHiPS
222
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST SENDS CONSENT FORM AND A COVER LETTER TO THE PATIENT
224
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST FAXES A STATEMENT OF MEDICAL NECESSITY TO THE MD TO COMPLETE
226
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST CONTACTS THE PATIENT'S INSURANCE PROVIDER TO VERIFY COVERAGE & BENEFITS
228
IS COVERAGE FOR XYREM APPROVED?
NO
YES
238
INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SUBMITS A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM (STAPLED TO THE "COPY" OF THE Rx ENROLLMENT FORM) TO THE PHARMACY TEAM AS NOTIFICATION TO PROCESS THE PATIENT'S Rx
A
232
SEE NORD PROCESS FOR PATIENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED OR UNDERINSURED
NO
230
IS THE PATIENT WILLING/ABLE TO PAY?
YES
234
THE PATIENT IS INFORMED OF THE COST OF THE PRODUCT AND IS GIVEN PAYMENT OPTIONS
236
ONCE PAYMENT IS RECEIVED, INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SUBMITS A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM (STAPLED TO THE "COPY" OF THE Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM) TO THE PHARMACY TEAM AS NOTIFICATION TO PROCESS THE PATIENT'S Rx
A

FIG. 2A


B
208
PHARMACY WORK FLOW
268
THE PATIENT IS SHIPPED A XYREM SUCCESS PACKET VIA 2-DAY USPS
270
HAVE THE MD.'S CREDENTIALS BEEN VERIFIED & APPROVED IN THE LAST 3 MONTHS?
NO
YES
272
THE PHARMACIST HOLDS THE PATIENT'S Rx UNTIL RECEIVING A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM FROM INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT
A
274
THE PHARMACIST VERIFIES THE MD.'S CREDENTIALS AND ENTERS ALL FINDINGS IN CHiPS
276
ARE THE MD.'S CREDENTIALS APPROVED?
NO
YES
280
THE PHYSICIAN IS INDICATED AS APPROVED IN THE PHYSICIAN SCREEN IN CHiPS
282
THE PHARMACIST HOLDS THE PATIENT'S Rx UNTIL RECEIVING A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM FROM INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT
A
IF ANY DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS ARE IDENTIFIED, THE DIR. OF PHARM. IS NOTIFIED AND TAKES ONE OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS:
- Rx IS PROCESSED AND THE PHARMACIST MONITORS THE MD.'S PROGRAM ACTIVITY
- Rx IS NOT PROCESSED AND THE MD IS NOTED AS UNAPPROVED
278
284
THE PHYSICIAN IS INDICATED AS UNAPPROVED IN CHiPS
286
THE MD IS CONTACTED BY A PHARMACIST & INFORMED THAT THE PATIENT'S Rx CANNOT BE PROCESSED
288
THE Rx AND ENROLLMENT FORM IS MAILED BACK TO THE MD ALONG WITH A COVER LETTER REITERATING THAT THE Rx CANNOT BE PROCESSED
290
A LETTER IS SENT TO THE PATIENT INDICATING THAT THE Rx CANNOT BE PROCESSED & THE PATIENT IS INSTRUCTED TO CONTACT HIS/HER PHYSICIAN
END

FIG. 2B


A
240
UPON RECEIPT OF THE COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM FROM INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT THE PHARMACY TECHNICIAN CONTACTS THE PATIENT TO COMPLETE THE "TECHNICIAN" SPECIFIED SECTIONS OF THE PATIENT COUNSELING CHECKLIST
242
WERE THE PROGRAM MATERIALS READ?
NO
244
THE RECEIPT OF THE MATERIALS IS CONFIRMED AND ANOTHER CALL IS SCHEDULED TO COUNSEL THE PATIENT BEFORE THE XYREM IS SHIPPED
YES
246
AFTER COMPLETING THE SPECIFIED SECTIONS OF THE CHECKLIST WITH THE PATIENT THE PHARMACY TECHNICIAN TRANSFERS THE CALLER TO THE PHARMACIST WHO REVIEWS THE ENTIRE CHECKLIST AND COMPLETES THE REMAINING "PHARMACIST" SPECIFIED SECTIONS
248
THE PHARMACIST INDICATES IN CHIPS THAT THE PATIENT COUNSELING CHECKLIST WAS SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED INCLUDING THE DATE COMPLETED
250
THE PHARMACIST SCHEDULE THE PATIENT'S SHIPMENT FOR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY OR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY THE PATIENT IS AVAILABLE TO SIGN FOR THE PACKAGE
252
THE SHIPMENT MUST BE SENT TO THE PATIENTS HOME ADDRESS UNLESS THE PATIENT IS TRAVELING OR HAS MOVED, IN WHICH CASE THE PHARMACIST WILL DETERMINE IF AN EXCEPTION WILL BE MADE. THE PATIENT OR THE PATIENT'S DESIGNEE MUST SIGN FOR THE PACKAGE UPON DELIVERY
254
THE PHARMACIST ENTERS THE Rx ORDER IN CHIPS, CREATING AN ORDER NUMBER
256
THE PHARMACIST VERIFIES THE Rx AND ATTACHES THE VERIFICATION LABEL TO THE HARD COPY Rx
258
A PICK TICKET IS GENERATED FOR THE ORDER & THE ORDER IS FORWARDED TO THE PHARMACY FOR FULFILLMENT
260
THE SHIPMENT IS CONFIRMED IN CHIPS
262
THE ORIGINAL Rx IS FILED WITH THE PHARMACY Rx'S IN NUMERICAL ORDER
264
THE ORDER IS SHIPPED BY USPS EXPRESS MAIL
266
ALL XYREM INVENTORY IS CYCLE COUNTED AND RECONCILED WITH THE CHIPS SYSTEM QUANTITIES BEFORE THE DAYS SHIPMENTS ARE SENT OUT
END

FIG. 2C


310
PHYSICIAN SUCCESS PROGRAM MATERIALS REQUEST
320
MD CALLS XYREM SUCCESS PROGRAM AT 1-866-XYREM88 TO REQUEST PROGRAM MATERIALS
330
MD DEMOGRAPHICS, DEA# AND DATE OF REQUEST ARE ENTERED INTO CHIPS
340
SDS REQUESTS MATERIALS TO BE SHIPPED TO THE MD VIA THE OMI FULFILLMENT WEBSITE AT WWW.PRAFULFILLMENT.COM
END
350

FIG. 3


402
2 POSSIBLE REFILL REQUEST PROCESSES
404
EACH WEEK A REFILL REPORT IS GENERATED OF PATIENTS WITH 10 DAYS OF PRODUCT REMAINING
B
408
A COPY OF THE REFILL REPORT IS PROVIDED TO INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT
410
NO SOONER THAN 8 DAYS BEFORE MEDICATION DEPLETION, A PHARMACY TECHNICIAN CONTACTS THE PATIENT TO COMPLETE THE PRE-DELIVERY CHECKLIST
412
IS THE PATIENT REACHED?
NO
414
A MESSAGE IS LEFT ONLY MENTIONING SDS & A RETURN NUMBER
416
A NOTE IS ENTERED IN THE CHiPS INDICATING THE DATE THE MESSAGE WAS LEFT FOR THE PATIENT
YES
418
THE PHARMACY TECHNICIAN SCHEDULES THE PATIENT'S SHIPMENT FOR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY OR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY THE PATIENT IS AVAILABLE TO SIGN FOR THE PACKAGE
420
THE PHARMACIST ENTERS THE Rx ORDER IN CHiPS, CREATING AN ORDER NUMBER
422
THE PHARMACIST VERIFIES THE Rx AND ATTACHES THE VERIFICATION LABEL TO THE HARD COPY Rx
424
THE SHIPMENT IS CONFIRMED IN CHiPS
426
ALL XYREM INVENTORY IS CYCLE COUNTED AND RECONCILED WITH THE CHiPS SYSTEM QUANTITIES BEFORE THE DAYS SHIPMENTS ARE SENT OUT
428
A PICK TICKET IS GENERATED FOR THE ORDER & THE ORDER IS FORWARDED TO THE PHARMACY FOR FULFILLMENT
END
430

FIG. 4A


B
406
THE PATIENT CALLS TO REQUEST AN EARLY REFILL
432
A NOTE CODE IS ENTERED IN CHIPS ON THE PATIENT SCREEN INDICATING THE EARLY REFILL REQUEST
434
A XYREM PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION & MANAGEMENT RISK DIVERSION REPORT IS COMPLETED & DOCUMENTED IN CHIPS. THE REPORT IS THEN FAXED TO OMI & THE ORIGINAL IS FILED IN A MONTHLY BATCH FILE
436
THE PHARMACIST EVALUATES THE PATIENT'S COMPLIANCE WITH THERAPY AND/OR POSSIBLE PRODUCT DIVERSION, MISUSE OR OVERUSE
438
THE PHARMACIST CONTACTS THE PRESCRIBING PHYSICIAN TO ALERT OF THE SITUATION AND CONFIRM IF THE PHYSICIAN APPROVES OF THE EARLY REFILL
440
DOES THE PHYSICIAN APPROVE?
NO
442
THE PATIENT MUST WAIT UNTIL THE NEXT SCHEDULED REFILL DATE TO RECEIVE ADDITIONAL PRODUCT
END
444
YES
446
THE PHARMACIST ENTERS A NOTE IN CHIPS IN THE PATIENT SCREEN THAT THE PHYSICIAN APPROVES THE REQUEST
448
THE PHARMACIST NOTIFIES AN INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST TO CONTACT THE PATIENT'S INSURANCE PROVIDER TO VERIFY COVERAGE FOR THE EARLY REFILL
450
WILL THE INSURANCE PROVIDER PAY?
NO
460
THE PATIENT MUST WAIT UNTIL THE NEXT SCHEDULED REFILL DATE TO RECEIVE ADDITIONAL PRODUCT
458
IS THE PATIENT WILL TO PAY?
NO
YES
462
THE PATIENT IS INFORMED OF THE COST OF THE PRODUCT AND IS GIVEN PAYMENT OPTIONS
464
ONCE PAYMENT IS RECEIVED THE ORDER IS RELEASED
YES
452
INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SUBMITS A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM TO THE PHARMACY TEAM AS NOTIFICATION THAT THE PATIENT'S REFILL REQUEST CAN BE PROCESSED
466
INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SUBMITS A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM TO THE PHARMACY TEAM AS NOTIFICATION THAT THE PATIENT'S REFILL REQUEST CAN BE PROCESSED
454
THE PHARMACY TECHNICIAN CONTACTS THE PATIENT TO SCHEDULE SHIPMENT OF THE PRODUCT FOR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY OR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY THE PATIENT IS AVAILABLE TO SIGN FOR THE PACKAGE
468
THE PHARMACY TECHNICIAN CONTACTS THE PATIENT TO SCHEDULE SHIPMENT OF THE PRODUCT FOR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY OR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY THE PATIENT IS AVAILABLE TO SIGN FOR THE PACKAGE
CONTINUE
456
CONTINUE
470

FIG. 4B


510
UPON DETERMINING THAT A PATIENT IS UNINSURED OR UNDERINSURED, A REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST EXPLAINS THE NORD PROGRAM TO THE PATIENT AND FAXES AN APPLICATION REQUEST FORM TO NORD FOR THE PATIENT
515
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST DOCUMENTS IN CHIPS THAT AN APPLICATION HAS BEEN REQUESTED THROUGH NORD
520
NORD MAILS A PAP APPLICATION TO THE PATIENT WITHIN 1 BUSINESS DAY
525
IS THE PATIENT APPROVED?
NO
530
NORD SENDS A DENIAL LETTER TO THE PATIENT
YES
545
NORD SENDS AN ACCEPTANCE LETTER TO THE PATIENT AND FAXES A VOUCHER TO SDS INDICATING THE PATIENT'S APPROVAL FOR THE PROGRAM
540
SDS DOCUMENTS IN CHIPS THAT THE PATIENT WAS DENIED BY NORD
550
AN INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST SUBMITS A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM TO THE PHARMACY TEAM AS NOTIFICATION THAT THE PATIENT HAS BEEN APPROVED FOR COVERAGE THROUGH NORD
A
555

FIG. 5



FIG. 6



FIG. 7



FIG. 8

900

PRESCRIPTION AND ENROLLMENT FORM

PRESCRIBER INFORMATION

PRESCRIBER'S NAME: _____ OFFICE CONTACT: _____
STREET ADDRESS: _____
CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP: _____
PHONE: _____ FAX: _____
LICENSE NUMBER: _____ DEA NUMBER: _____
MD SPECIALTY: _____

PRESCRIPTION FORM

PATIENT NAME: _____ SS#: _____ DOB: _____ SEX M / F
ADDRESS: _____
CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP: _____
Rx: XYREM ORAL SOLUTION (500 mg/mL) 180 Ml. BOTTLE QUANTITY: _____ MONTHS SUPPLY
SIG: TAKE _____ GMS P.O. DILUTED IN 60 mL WATER AT H.S. AND THEN AGAIN 2 1/2 TO 4 HOURS LATER
REFILLS (CIRCLE ONE): 0 1 2 (MAXIMUM OF 3 MONTH SUPPLY)
_____ DATE: _____/_____/_____
PRESCRIBER'S SIGNATURE

PHYSICIAN DECLARATION-PLEASE CHECK EACH BOX | TO BE COMPLETED AT INITIAL PRESCRIPTION ONLY

☐ I HAVE READ THE MATERIALS IN THE XYREM PHYSICIAN SUCCESS PROGRAM
☐ I VERIFY THAT THE PATIENT HAS BEEN EDUCATED WITH RESPECT TO XYREM PREPARATION, DOSING AND SCHEDULING.
☐ I UNDERSTAND THAT XYREM IS APPROVED FOR THE TREATMENT OF CATAPLEXY IN PATIENTS WITH NARCOLEPSY, AND THAT SAFETY OR EFFICACY HAS NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED FOR ANY OTHER INDICATION.
☐ I UNDERSTAND THAT THE SAFETY OF DOSES GREATER THAN 9gm/DAY HAS NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED

PATIENT INFORMATION

BEST TIME TO CONTACT PATIENT: ☐ DAY ☐ NIGHT
DAY #: _____ EVENING #: _____
INSURANCE COMPANY NAME: _____ PHONE #: _____
INSURED'S NAME: _____ RELATIONSHIP TO PATIENT: _____
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: _____ POLICY/GROUP NUMBER: _____
PRESCRIPTION CARD: ☐ NO ☐ YES IF YES, CARRIER: _____ POLICY #: _____ GROUP: _____
PLEASE ATTACH COPIES OF PATIENT'S INSURANCE CARDS

FAX COMPLETED FORM TO XYREM SUCCESS PROGRAM (TOLL-FREE) 1-866-470-1744
FOR INFORMATION, CALL THE XYREM TEAM (TOLL FREE) AT 1-866-XYREM88 (1-866-997-3688)

FIG. 9

PATIENT ASSISTANCE APPLICATION REQUEST FORM

DATE:

TO: PATIENT ASSISTANCE ORGANIZATION
FROM: SDS

FAX #: 203-798-2291

PLEASE SEND A XYREM PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM APPLICATION TO:

PATIENT NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

_____

_____

TELEPHONE: ( ) _____

PATIENT DOSAGE: _____ (GRAMS) TWICE NIGHTLY FOR A TOTAL DOSAGE OF _____ (GRAMS)

_____ BOTTLES (THREE MONTHS SUPPLY)

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

FIG. 10

SENSITIVE DRUG PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM 1100

VOUCHER REQUEST FOR MEDICATION

PATIENT INFORMATION
<FIRST NAME><LAST NAME>
<ADDRESS 1>
<ADDRESS 2>
<CITY, STATE ZIP CODE>

PHONE: <123-456-7890
DOB: 01/01/1900
SSN: 123-45-6789
DRUG ALLOTMENT: 100%
LRD: 03/01/2001

PHYSICIAN INFORMATION
<PHYSICIAN NAME>
<ADDRESS 1>
<ADDRESS 2>
<CITY, STATE ZIP CODE>

PHONE: <123-456-7890

CASE CODE: ********

FIRST SHIPMENT THIS YEAR

DRUG	QUANTITY
XYREEM 180ml btl	1

VALIDATION DATE: 03/01/2001
EXPIRATION DATE: 05/31/2001
ISSUE DATE: 03/15/2001

APPROVED _____

PHARMACY USE

NORD COPY

****

(DETACH HERE)

PATIENT INFORMATION
<FIRST NAME><LAST NAME>
<ADDRESS 1>
<ADDRESS 2>
<CITY, STATE ZIP CODE>

PHONE: <123-456-7890
DOB: 01/01/1900
SSN: 123-45-6789
DRUG ALLOTMENT: 100%
LRD: 03/01/2001

PHYSICIAN INFORMATION
<PHYSICIAN NAME>
<ADDRESS 1>
<ADDRESS 2>
<CITY, STATE ZIP CODE>

PHONE: <123-456-7890

CASE CODE: ********

FIRST SHIPMENT THIS YEAR

DRUG	QUANTITY
XYREM 180ml btl	1

VALIDATION DATE: 03/01/2001
EXPIRATION DATE: 05/31/2001
ISSUE DATE: 03/15/2001

APPROVED _____

PHARMACY USE

FIG. 11

SENSITIVE DRUG PHYSICIAN'S CERTIFICATE
OF MEDICAL NEED

PATIENT INFORMATION

DATE: _____

NAME: _____
LAST FIRST M

DATE OF BIRTH: _____

DRUG BEING PRESCRIBED: XYREM

DIAGNOSIS/CONDITION FOR WHICH DRUG IS BEING PRESCRIBED: _____

ICD-9: _____

PHYSICIAN INFORMATION

PHYSICIAN'S NAME (PLEASE PRINT): _____

PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: _____

PLEASE FAX BACK TO SENSITIVE DRUG SUCCESS PROGRAM: (1-800-TOLL FREE NUMBER)

FIG. 12

ACTIVITY REPORTS

	REPORT FREQUENCY		
	WEEKLY	MONTHLY	QUARTERLY
SALES			
Rx BY ZIP (NEW AND TOTAL)	X	X	X
Rx BY PHYSICIAN BY ZIP	X	X	
$ BY ZIP	X	X	X
REGULATORY			
# OF PHYSICIAN REGISTRIES		X	
# OF DENIED PHYSICIAN REGISTRIES AND REASON		X	
# OF COMPLETED PATIENT REGISTRIES		X	
# OF PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION & MANAGEMENT RISK DIVERSION REPORTS COMPLETED	X		
# OF CYCLE COUNTS PERFORMED & ACCURACY OF EACH		X	
QUALITY ASSURANCE			
# OF PRODUCT DEFECTS/COMPLAINTS REPORTED, TYPE AND LOT #		X	
CALL CENTER			
# OF CALLS RECEIVED		X	
# OF CALLS INITIATED		X	
# OF CALLS ANSWERED IN 30 SECONDS, ETC.		X	
PERCENTAGE OF CALLS ANSWERED IN 30 SECONDS		X	
# OF ABANDONED CALLS		X	
% OF ABANDONED CALLS		X	
AVERAGE CALL LENGTH		X	
PHARMACY			
# OF FAXED Rx/ENROLLMENT FORMS		X	
# OF MAILED Rx/ENROLLEMENT FORMS		X	
# OF RxS SHIPPED W/IN 1, 2, 3, 4 ETC. DAYS (FROM THE TIME INITIAL RECEIPT TO SHIPMENT OF Rx)		X	
# OF PATIENT SUCCESS PACKETS SHIPPED		X	

FIG. 13A

ACTIVITY REPORTS

PHARMACY		X	
# OF PHYSICIAN SUCCESS PACKETS SHIPPED		X	
# OF COMPLETED SHIPMENTS		X	
# OF INCOMPLETE SHIPMENTS AND REASON		X	
# OF SHIPPING ERRORS		X	
# OF PAP SHIPMENTS		X	
# OF PAP APPLICATIONS		X	
# OF PAP APPROVALS		X	
# OF CANCELED ORDERS		X	
# OF USPS ERRORS		X	
INVENTORY		X	
# OF RETURNED PRODUCTS AND REASON		X	
# OF OUTDATED BOTTLES OF PRODUCT		X	
INVENTORY COUNTS OF CONSIGNMENT & PRODUCTION INVENTORY		X	
# OF UNITS RECEIVED		X	
LOTS RECEIVED		X	
REIMBURSEMENT		X	
# OF PENDED AND WHY		X	
# OF APPROVALS		X	
# OF DENIALS		X	
# OF REJECTIONS		X	
PAYOR TYPES		X	

FIG. 13B

ACTIVITY REPORTS

PATIENT CARE		X	
# OF ADVERSE EVENTS REPORTED AND TYPE		X	
# OF ADVERSE EVENTS SENT TO OMI		X	
# OF DOSING PROBLEMS AND TYPE		X	
# OF NONCOMPLIANCE EPISODES AND REASON		X	
# OF PATIENT COUNSELED AND REASON		X	
# OF PATIENTS DISCONTINUED AND REASON		X	
PATIENT CARE		X	
# OF PATIENTS REFERRED TO PHYSICIAN AND REASON		X	
# OF ACTIVE PATIENTS		X	
# OF NEW PATIENTS		X	
# OF RESTART PATIENTS		X	
# OF DISCONTINUED PATIENTS AND REASON		X	
DRUG INFORMATION		X	
# OF DRUG INFORMATION REQUESTS AND TYPE		X	
# OF CALLS TRIAGED TO OMI		X	

FIG. 13C

SENSITIVE DRUG DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AND METHOD

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a divisional application of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/322,348, filed Dec. 17, 2002, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,668,730 which application is incorporated herein by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to distribution of drugs, and in particular to the distribution of sensitive drugs.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Sensitive drugs are controlled to minimize ensure that they are not abuse and adverse reactions. Such sensitive drugs are approved for specific uses by the Food and Drug Administration, and must be prescribed by a licensed physician in order to be purchased by consumers. Some drugs, such as cocaine and other common street drugs are the object of abuse and illegal schemes to distribute for profit. Some schemes include Dr. shopping, diversion, and pharmacy thefts. A locked cabinet or safe is a requirement for distribution of some drugs.

Certain agents, such as gamma hydroxy buterate (GHB) are also abused, yet also are effective for theraputic purposes such as treatment of daytime cataplexy in patients with narcolepsy. Some patients however, will obtain prescriptions from multiple doctors, and have them filled at different pharmacies. Still further, an unscrupulous physician may actually write multiple prescriptions for a patient, or multiple patients, who use cash to pay for the drugs. These patients will then sell the drug to dealers or others for profit.

There is a need for a distribution system and method that directly addresses these abuses. There is a further need for such a system and method that provides education and limits the potential for such abuse.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A drug distribution system and method utilizes a central pharmacy and database to track all prescriptions for a sensitive drug. Information is kept in a central database regarding all physicians allowed to prescribe the sensitive drug, and all patients receiving the drug. Abuses are identified by monitoring data in the database for prescription patterns by physicians and prescriptions obtained by patients. Further verification is made that the physician is eligible to prescribe the drug by consulting a separate database for a valid DEA license, and optionally state medical boards to determine whether any corrective or approved disciplinary actions relating to controlled substances have been brought against the physician. Multiple controls beyond those for traditional drugs are imposed on the distribution depending on the sensitivity of the drug.

Education is provided to both physician and patient. Prior to shipping the drug for the first time, the patient is contacted to ensure that product and abuse related educational materials have been received and/or read. The patient may provide the name of a designee to the central pharmacy who is authorized to accept shipment of the drug. Receipt of the initial drug shipment is confirmed by contacting the patient. Either a phone call or other communication to the patient within a set time after delivery may be made to ensure receipt. Further, a courier service's tracking system is used to confirm delivery in further embodiments. If a shipment is lost, an investigation is launched to find it.

In one embodiment, the drug may be shipped by the central pharmacy to another pharmacy for patient pick-up. The second pharmacy's ability to protect against diversion before shipping the drug must be confirmed. This ability may be checked through NTIS and State Boards of Pharmacy.

Prescription refills are permitted in the number specified in the original prescription. In addition, if a prescription refill is requested by the patient prior to the anticipated due date, such refills will be questioned. A lost, stolen, destroyed or spilled prescription/supply is documented and replaced to the extent necessary to honor the prescription, and will also cause a review or full investigation.

The exclusive central database contains all relevant data related to distribution of the drug and process of distributing it, including patient, physician and prescription information. Several queries and reports are run against the database to provide information which might reveal potential abuse of the sensitive drug, such as early refills.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. **1** is a block diagram of a computer system for use in implementing the system and method of the present invention.

FIGS. **2**A, **2**B and **2**C are a flowchart describing a method for sensitive drug distribution at least partially utilizing a computer system such as that shown in FIG. **1**.

FIG. **3** is a flowchart of a physician success program at least partially implemented on a computer system such as that shown in FIG. **1**.

FIGS. **4**A and **4**B are a flowchart describing a method for handling refill requests at least partially utilizing a computer system such as that shown in FIG. **1**.

FIG. **5** is a flowchart of a process for requesting special reimbursement when a patient is uninsured or underinsured at least partially utilizing a computer system as that shown in FIG. **1**.

FIG. **6** is a flowchart of a process for inventory control at least partially utilizing a computer system such as that shown in FIG. **1**.

FIG. **7** is a block diagram of database fields.

FIG. **8** is a block diagram showing a list of queries against the database fields.

FIG. **9** is a copy of one example prescription and enrollment form.

FIG. **10** is a copy of one example of a NORD application request form for patient financial assistance.

FIG. **11** is a copy of one example voucher request for medication for use with the NORD application request form of FIG. **10**.

FIG. **12** is a copy of certificate of medical need.

FIGS. **13**A, **13**B and **13**C are descriptions of sample reports obtained by querying a central database having fields represented in FIG. **7**.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

In the following description, reference is made to the accompanying drawings that form a part hereof, and in which is shown by way of illustration specific embodiments in which the invention may be practiced. These embodiments are described in sufficient detail to enable those skilled in the art to practice the invention, and it is to be understood that other embodiments may be utilized and that structural, logical

and electrical changes may be made without departing from the scope of the present invention. The following description is, therefore, not to be taken in a limited sense, and the scope of the present invention is defined by the appended claims.

The functions or algorithms described herein are implemented in software or a combination of software and human implemented procedures in one embodiment. The software comprises computer executable instructions stored on computer readable media such as memory or other type of storage devices. The term "computer readable media" is also used to represent carrier waves on which the software is transmitted. Further, such functions correspond to modules, which are software, hardware, firmware of any combination thereof. Multiple functions are performed in one or more modules as desired, and the embodiments described are merely examples. The software is executed on a digital signal processor, ASIC, microprocessor, or other type of processor operating on a computer system, such as a personal computer, server or other computer system.

A sensitive drug is one which can be abused, or has addiction properties or other properties that render the drug sensitive. One example of such a drug is sodium oxybate, also known as gamma hydroxy butyrate (GHB $C_4H_7NaO_3$) which is useful for treatment of cataplexy in patients with narcolepsy. GHB is marketed under the trademark of Xyrem® (sodium oxybate oral solution), which trademark can be used interchangeably with GHB herein. Sensitive drugs also include narcotics or other drugs which require controls on their distribution and use to monitor behaviors to prevent abuse and adverse side effects.

In one embodiment, Xyrem® is subject to a restricted distribution program. One aspect of the program is to educate physicians and patients about the risks and benefits of Xyrem, including support via ongoing contact with patients and a toll free helpline. Initial prescriptions are filled only after a prescriber and patient have received and read the educational materials. Further, patient and prescribing physician registries are maintained and monitored to ensure proper distribution.

In a further embodiment, bulk sodium oxybate is manufactured at a single site, as is the finished drug product. Following manufacture of the drug product, it is stored at a facility compliant with FDA Schedule III regulations, where a consignment inventory is maintained. The inventory is owned by a company, and is managed by a central pharmacy, which maintains the consignment inventory. Xyrem® is distributed and dispensed through a primary and exclusive central pharmacy, and is not stocked in retail pharmacy outlets. It is distributed by overnight carriers, or by US mail in one embodiment to potentially invoke mail fraud laws if attempts of abuse occur.

FIG. **1** is a simplified block diagram of a computer system **100**, such as a personal computer for implementing at least a portion of the methods described herein. A central processing unit (CPU) **110** executes computer programs stored on a memory **120**. Memory **120** in one embodiment comprises one or more levels of cache as desired to speed execution of the program and access to data on which the programs operate. The CPU is directly coupled to memory **120** in one embodiment. Both CPU **110** and memory **120** are coupled to a bus **130**. A storage **140**, I/O **150** and communications **160** are also coupled to the bus **130**. Storage **140** is usually a long term storage device, such as a disk drive, tape drive, DVD, CD or other type of storage device. In one embodiment, storage **140** is used to house a database for use with the present invention. I/O **150** comprises keyboards, sound devices, displays and other mechanisms by which a user interacts with the computer system **100**. Communications **160** comprises a network, phone connection, local area network, wide area network or other mechanism for communicating with external devices. Such external devices comprise servers, other peer computers and other devices. In one embodiment, such external device comprises a database server that is used in place of the database on storage **140**. Other computer system architectures capable of executing software and interacting with a database and users may also be used. Appropriate security measures such as encryption are used to ensure confidentiality. Further, data integrity and backup measures are also used to prevent data loss.

FIGS. **2**A, **2**B and **2**C represent an initial prescription order entry process for a sensitive drug, such as Xyrem. At **202**, a medical doctor (MD) sends a Rx/enrollment form via mail, fax, email or other means to an intake/reimbursement specialist at **204**, who makes a copy of the RX/enrollment form that is stamped "copy". The original fax is forwarded to a pharmacy team. The enrollment form contains prescriber information, prescription information, checkboxes for the prescriber indicating they have read materials, educated the patient, understand the use in treatment, and understand certain safety information, and also contains patient information.

The prescriber information contains standard contact information as well as license number, DEA number and physician specialty. Patient and prescription information includes name, social security number, date of birth, gender, contact information, drug identification, patient's appropriate dosage, and number of refills allowed, along with a line for the prescriber's signature. Patient insurance information is also provided.

There are two workflows involved at the pharmacy team, intake reimbursement **206** and pharmacy workflow **208**, which may proceed in parallel or serially. The intake work flow **206** starts with an intake reimbursement specialist entering the patient and physician information into an application/database referred to as CHIPS, which is used to maintain a record of a client home infusion program (CHIP) for Xyrem®. A check is made to ensure the information is complete at **212**. If not, at **214**, an intake representative attempts to reach the MD or prescriber to obtain the missing information. If the missing information has not been obtained within a predetermined period of time, such as 24 hours at **216**, the Rx/Enrollment form is sent back to the MD with a rejection explanation. A note is entered in CHIPS that the application was rejected.

If the information is complete at **212**, the MD is contacted at **220** to verify receipt and accuracy of the patient's Rx. This contact is recorded in CHIPS. The intake and reimbursement specialist then sends a consent form and a cover letter to the patient at **224**. The insurance provider is contacted at **226** to verify coverage and benefits. At **228**, a determination is made regarding coverage for the drug. If it is not available, it is determined at **230** whether the patient is willing and able to pay. If not, a process is performed for handling patients who are uninsured or underinsured. In one embodiment, the process is referred to as a NORD process.

If the patient is willing and able to pay at **230**, the patient is informed of the cost of the product and is given payment options at **234**. At **236**, once payment is received, the intake reimbursement specialist submits a coverage approval form with the enrollment form to the pharmacy team as notification to process the patient's prescription. If coverage is approved at **228**, the intake reimbursement specialist also submits the coveral approval form with the enrollment form to the pharmacy team as notification to process the patient's prescription. Processing of the prescription is described below.

Upon receipt and initial processing of the prescription enrollment form and sending an original to the pharmacy work flow block **208**, the patient is shipped a Xyrem® success packet via mail. In one embodiment, the Xyrem® success packet contains educational material for a patient that advises of the proper use, care and handling of the drug and consequences of diversion at **268**. The medical doctor's credentials are checked to determine if the physician has a current DEA license to prescribe controlled substances and if he or she has had any actions related to misuse/misprescribing of controlled drugs against him or her, within a predetermined time, such as three months at **270**. If they have, a pharmacist holds the prescription until receiving a coverage approval form from the intake reimbursement specialist at **272**.

If the credentials have not been recently checked, the pharmacist verifies the credentials and enters all findings in the database at **274**. If the credentials are approved at **276**, the physician is indicated as approved in a physician screen populated by information from the database at **280**. The prescription is then held pending coverage approval at **282**.

If any disciplinary actions are identified, as referenced at block **278**, management of the pharmacy is notified and either approves processing of the prescription with continued monitoring of the physician, or processing of the prescription is not performed, and the physician is noted in the database as unapproved at **284**. The enrollment form is then mailed back to the physician with a cover letter reiterating that the prescription cannot be processed at **288**. The patient is also sent a letter at **290** indicating that the prescription cannot be processed and the patient is instructed to contact their physician.

Actual filling of the approved prescription begins with receipt of the coverage approval form as indicated at **240**. The patient is contacted by the pharmacy, such as by a technician to complete a technician section of a patient counseling checklist. If a pharmacist verifies that the program materials were not read at **242**, the receipt of the material is confirmed at **244** and another call is scheduled to counsel the patient before the drug is shipped.

If the program materials, were read at **242**, the checklist is completed at **246** and the technician transfers the patient to the pharmacist who reviews the entire checklist and completes remaining pharmacist specified sections. At **248**, the pharmacists indicates in the database that the patient counseling and checklist was successfully completed, indicating the date completed.

At **250**, the pharmacist schedules the patient's shipment for the next business day or the next business day that the patient or designee is able to sign for the package. Further, as indicated at **252**, the shipment must be sent to the patient's home address unless the patient is traveling or has moved. In that event, the pharmacist may determine that an exception may be made. The patient or the patient's designee who is at least 18 years old, must sign for the package upon delivery.

At **254**, the pharmacist enters the prescription order in the database, creating an order number. The pharmacist then verifies at **256** the prescription and attaches a verification label to the hard copy prescription. At **258**, a pick ticket is generated for the order and the order is forwarded to the pharmacy for fulfillment. The shipment is confirmed in the database at **260**, and the order is shipped by USPS Express Mail. Use of the US mail invokes certain criminal penalties for unauthorized diversion. Optionally, other mail services may be used. Potential changes in the law may also bring criminal penalties into play. Following shipment, the patient is called by the central pharmacy to confirm that the prescription was received.

As noted at **266**, for the sensitive drug, Xyrem, all inventory is cycle counted an reconciled with the database system quantities before shipments for the day are sent. This provides a very precise control of the inventor.

A physician success program materials request process begins at **310** in FIG. **3**. At **320**, the MD calls to the central pharmacy to request program materials. A special phone number is provided. MD demographics, DEA number, and data or request are entered into the database at **330**. At **340**, a request is made to ship the materials to the MD via a fulfillment website, or other mechanism. The request process ends at **350**.

A refill request process begins at **302** in FIGS. **4**A and **4**B. There are two different paths for refills. A first path beginning at **404** involves generating a report from the central database of patients with a predetermined number of days or product remaining. A second path beginning at **406** is followed when a patient calls to request an early refill.

In the first path, a copy of the report is provided to an intake reimbursement specialist at **408**. No sooner than 8 days before the medication depletion, a pharmacy technician contacts the patient at **410** to complete the pre-delivery checklist. At **412**, if the patient is not reached, a message is left mentioning the depletion, and a return number at **414**. A note is also entered into the database indicating the date the message was left at **416**.

If the patient is reached at **412**, the next shipment is scheduled at **418**, the prescription is entered into the database creating an order at **420**, the pharmacist verifies the prescription and attaches a verification label at **422** and the shipment is confirmed in the database at **424**. Note at **426** that the inventory is cycle counted and reconciled with the database quantities before the shipments for a day or other time period are sent. A pick ticket is generated for the order and the order is forwarded for fulfillment at **428**, with the first path ending at **430**.

The second path, beginning at **406** results in a note code being entered into the database on a patient screen indicating an early refill request at **432**. The pharmacist evaluates the patient's compliance with therapy or possible product diversion, misuse or over-use at **436**. In one embodiment, cash payers are also identified. The pharmacist then contacts the prescribing physician to alert them of the situation and confirm if the physician approves of the early refill at **438**. If the physician does not approve as indicated at **440**, the patient must wait until the next scheduled refill date to receive additional product as indicated at **442**, and the process ends at **444**.

If the physician approves at **440**, the pharmacist enters a note in the database on a patient screen that the physician approves the request at **446**. The pharmacist notifies an intake reimbursement specialist to contact the patient's insurance provider to verify coverage for the early refill at **448**. If the insurance provider will pay as determined at **450**, the specialist submits the coverage approval form as notification that the refill may be processed at **452**. At **454**, the pharmacy technician contacts the patient to schedule shipment of the product for the next business day, and the process of filling the order is continued at **456** by following the process beginning at **240**.

If the insurance provider will not pay at **450**, it is determined whether the patient is willing and/or able to pay at **458**. If not, the patient must wait until the next scheduled refill date to receive additional product at **460**. If it was determined at **458** that the patient was willing and able to pay, the patient is informed of the cost of the product and is given payment options at **462**. Once payment is received as indicated at **464**, the specialist submits a coverage approval form to the pharmacy team as notification that the refill request can be pro-

cessed at **466**. At **468**, the pharmacy technician contacts the patient to schedule shipment. The process of filling the order is continued at **470** by following the process beginning at **240**.

A process, referred to as a NORD process in one embodiment is used to determine whether donated, third party funds are available for paying for prescriptions where neither insurance will, nor the patient can pay. The process begins at **510** upon determining that a patient is uninsured or underinsured. A reimbursement specialist explains the NORD program to the patient and faxes an application request form to NORD for the patient. At **515**, the intake reimbursement specialist documents in the database that an application has been received through NORD. At **520**, NORD mails an application to the patient within one business day.

A determination is made at **525** by NORD whether the patient is approved. If not, at **530**, NORD sends a denial letter to the patient, and it is documented in the database at **540** that the patient was denied by NORD. If the patient is approved, NORD sends an acceptance letter to the patient and faxes a voucher to the central pharmacy (SDS in one embodiment) to indicate the approval at **545**. At **550**, an intake reimbursement specialist submits a coverage approval form to the pharmacy team as notification that the patient has been approved for coverage. The process of filling the order is continued at **555** by following the process beginning at **240**.

An inventory control process is illustrated in FIG. **6** beginning at **610**. Each week, a responsible person at the central pharmacy, such as the director of the pharmacy transfers inventory for the week's shipments to a segregated warehouse location for production inventory. At **620**, a purchase order is generated for the inventory transferred to the production location and is sent, such as by fax, to a controller, such as the controller of the company that obtained approval for distribution and use of the sensitive drug. At **630**, the controller invoices the central pharmacy for the product moved to production. The process ends at **640**.

The central database described above is a relational database running on the system of FIG. **1**, or a server based system having a similar architecture coupled to workstations via a network, as represented by communications **160**. The database is likely stored in storage **140**, and contains multiple fields of information as indicated at **700** in FIG. **7**. The organization and groupings of the fields are shown in one format for convenience. It is recognized that many different organizations or schemas may be utilized. In one embodiment, the groups of fields comprise prescriber fields **710**, patient fields **720**, prescription fields **730** and insurance fields **740**. For purposes of illustration, all the entries described with respect to the above processes are included in the fields. In further embodiments, no such groupings are made, and the data is organized in a different manner.

Several queries are illustrated at **800** in FIG. **8**. There may be many other queries as required by individual state reporting requirements. A first query at **810** is used to identify prescriptions written by physician. The queries may be written in structured query language, natural query languages or in any other manner compatible with the database. A second query **820** is used to pull information from the database related to prescriptions by patient name. A third query **830** is used to determine prescriptions by frequency, and a n^{th} query finds prescriptions by dose at **840**. Using query languages combined with the depth of data in the central database allows many other methods of investigating for potential abuse of the drugs. The central database ensures that all prescriptions, prescribers and patients are tracked and subject to such investigations. In further embodiments, the central database may be distributed among multiple computers provided a query operates over all data relating to such prescriptions, prescribers and patients for the drug.

An example of one prescription and enrollment form is shown at **900** in FIG. **9**. As previously indicated, several fields are included for prescriber information, prescription information and patient information.

FIG. **10** is a copy of one example NORD application request form **1000** used to request that an application be sent to a patient for financial assistance.

FIG. **11** is a copy of one example application **1100** for financial assistance as requested by form **1000**. The form requires both patient and physician information. Social security number information is also requested. The form provides information for approving the financial assistance and for tracking assistance provided.

FIG. **12** is a copy of one example voucher request for medication for use with the NORD application request form of FIG. **10**. In addition to patient and physician information, prescription information and diagnosis information is also provided.

FIGS. **13**A, **13**B and **13**C are descriptions of sample reports obtained by querying a central database having fields represented in FIG. **7**. The activities grouped by sales, regulatory, quality assurance, call center, pharmacy, inventory, reimbursement, patient care and drug information. Each report has an associated frequency or frequencies. The reports are obtained by running queries against the database, with the queries written in one of many query languages.

While the invention has been described with respect to a Schedule III drug, it is useful for other sensitive drugs that are DEA or Federally scheduled drugs in Schedule II-V, as well as still other sensitive drugs where multiple controls are desired for distribution and use.

The invention claimed is:

1. A therapeutic method for treating a patient with a prescription drug that is effective for therapeutic purposes, but is also a drug that has potential to be abused, misused, or diverted, comprising:

receiving, only into an exclusive central computer system, all prescriptions for any and all patients being prescribed the prescription drug and from any and all doctors allowed to prescribe the prescription drug, the prescriptions containing information identifying the patient, the prescription drug, and various credentials of the medical doctor who is prescribing the prescription drug;

requiring entering of the information into an exclusive computer database associated with the exclusive central computer system for analysis of potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug, such that all prescriptions for the prescription drug are processed for authorization only using the exclusive central computer system and the exclusive computer database;

controlling the distribution of said prescription drug using the exclusive central computer system that tracks all prescriptions of said prescription drug and analyzes for the potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug by determining current and anticipated patterns of potential prescription abuse, misuse, or diversion of said prescription drug from periodic reports generated by the exclusive central computer system and the exclusive computer database based on prescription data from a medical doctor, wherein said prescription data contain information identifying the patient, the drug prescribed, and credentials of the doctor; and selecting multiple controls for distribution using said exclusive central computer system, the controls selected

from the group consisting of communicating prescriptions from a physician to the exclusive central computer system; identifying the physician's name, license, and DEA (Drug Enforcement Agency) registration information; verifying the prescription; obtaining patient information; verifying the physician is eligible to prescribe the prescription drug by consulting the National Technical Information Services to determine whether the physician has an active DEA number and to check on whether any actions are pending against the physician; providing comprehensive printed materials to the physician; contacting the patient's insurance company if any; verifying patient registry information; providing comprehensive education information to the patient; verifying the patient has received and/or reviewed the educational materials; verifying the home address of the patient; shipping via US postal service or a commercial shipping service; receiving the name of an at least 18 year old designee to receive the drug; confirming receipt of an initial shipment of the drug to the patient; returning the drug to a pharmacy after two attempts to deliver; launching an investigation when a shipment is lost; shipping to another pharmacy for delivery; requiring manufacture at a single location; authorizing release of inventory in a controlled manner; questioning early refills; flagging repeat instances of lost, stolen, destroyed, or spilled prescriptions; limiting the prescription to a one month supply; requiring rewriting of the prescription periodically; and making the database available to the DEA for checking for abuse, misuse, or diversion patterns in the data, for cash payments, and for inappropriate questions;

authorizing the filling, using the exclusive central computer system, of a prescription for the prescription drug that has been subjected to said multiple controls and has been approved for shipment to the patient;

noting, based on one or more of the analysis of the potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug and the periodic reports, that there is a potential for abuse, misuse, or diversion by the patient to whom the prescription drug is prescribed; and

delivering the prescription drug to the patient in order to treat the patient with the prescription drug.

2. The method of claim **1** , wherein the controls for distribution are communicating prescriptions from a physician to the exclusive central computer system; identifying the physician's name, license, and DEA (Drug Enforcement Agency) registration information; verifying the prescription; obtaining patient information; verifying patient registry information; providing comprehensive education information to the patient; verifying the patient has received and/or reviewed the educational materials; or requiring rewriting of the prescription periodically.

3. A therapeutic method for treating a narcoleptic patient with sodium oxybate for daytime cataplexy comprising:

receiving, only into an exclusive central computer system, all prescriptions for any and all patients being prescribed sodium oxybate and from any and all medical doctors allowed to prescribe sodium oxybate, the prescriptions containing information relating to the patient, sodium oxybate, and various credentials of the medical doctor who is prescribing the sodium oxybate;

requiring entering of the information into an exclusive computer database associated with the exclusive central computer system for analysis of potential abuse, misuse, or diversion, such that all prescriptions for sodium oxybate are processed for authorization only using the exclusive central computer system and the exclusive computer database;

controlling the distribution of sodium oxybate using the exclusive central computer system that tracks all prescriptions of sodium oxybate and analyzes for the potential abuse, misuse, or diversion by determining current and anticipated patterns of potential prescription abuse, misuse, or diversion of sodium oxybate from periodic reports generated by the exclusive central computer system based on prescription data from a medical doctor, wherein said prescription data contain information identifying the patient, sodium oxybate as the drug prescribed, and credentials of the doctor; and selecting multiple controls for distribution using said exclusive central computer system, the controls selected from the group consisting of communicating prescriptions from a physician to the exclusive central computer system; identifying the physician's name, license, and DEA (Drug Enforcement Agency) registration information; verifying the prescription; obtaining patient information; verifying the physician is eligible to prescribe sodium oxybate by consulting the National Technical Information Services to determine whether the physician has an active DEA number and to check on whether any actions are pending against the physician; providing comprehensive printed materials to the physician; contacting the patient's insurance company if any; verifying patient registry information; providing comprehensive education information to the patient; verifying the patient has received and/or reviewed the educational materials; verifying the home address of the patient; shipping via US postal service or a commercial shipping service; receiving the name of an at least 18 year old designee to receive the drug; confirming receipt of an initial shipment of the drug to the patient; returning the drug to a pharmacy after two attempts to deliver; launching an investigation when a shipment is lost; shipping to another pharmacy for delivery; requiring manufacture at a single location; authorizing release of inventory in a controlled manner; questioning early refills; flagging repeat instances of lost, stolen, destroyed, or spilled prescriptions; limiting the prescription to a one month supply; requiring rewriting of the prescription periodically; and making the database available to the DEA for checking for abuse, misuse, or diversion patterns in the data, for cash payments, and for inappropriate questions;

authorizing the filling, using the exclusive central computer system, of a prescription for sodium oxybate that has been subjected to said multiple controls and has been approved for shipment to the patient;

noting, based on one or more of the analysis of the potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug and the periodic reports, that there is a potential for abuse, misuse, or diversion by the patient to whom the prescription drug is prescribed; and

delivering the sodium oxybate to the patient in order to treat the patient with the sodium oxybate.

4. The method of claim **3**, wherein the controls for distribution are communicating prescriptions from a physician to the exclusive central computer system; identifying the physician's name, license, and DEA (Drug Enforcement Agency) registration information; verifying the prescription; obtaining patient information; verifying patient registry information; providing comprehensive education information to the

patient; verifying the patient has received and/or reviewed the educational materials; or requiring rewriting of the prescription periodically.

5. A therapeutic method for treating a patient with a prescription drug that is effective for therapeutic purposes, but is also a drug that has potential to be abused, misused, or diverted, comprising:

receiving, only into an exclusive computer database in a computer system, from any and all medical doctors allowed to prescribe the prescription drug and any and all patients being prescribed the prescription drug, all prescriptions for the prescription drug, the prescriptions containing information identifying the patient, the prescription drug, and various credentials of the medical doctor who is prescribing the prescription drug;

requiring entering of the information into the exclusive computer database for analysis of potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug, such that all prescriptions for the prescription drug are processed for authorization only via the exclusive computer database;

controlling the distribution of said prescription drug with the computer system that tracks all prescriptions of said prescription drug and analyzes for the potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug by determining current and anticipated patterns of potential prescription abuse, misuse, or diversion of said prescription drug from periodic reports generated by the computer system based on prescription data from a medical doctor, wherein said prescription data contain information identifying the patient, the drug prescribed, and credentials of the doctor; and selecting multiple controls for distribution of the prescription drug, the controls selected from the group consisting of communicating prescriptions from a physician to the exclusive computer database; identifying the physician's name, license, and DEA (Drug Enforcement Agency) registration information; verifying the prescription; obtaining patient information; verifying the physician is eligible to prescribe the prescription drug by consulting the National Technical Information Services to determine whether the physician has an active DEA number and to check on whether any actions are pending against the physician; providing comprehensive printed materials to the physician; contacting the patient's insurance company if any; verifying patient registry information; providing comprehensive education information to the patient; verifying the patient has received and/or reviewed the educational materials; verifying the home address of the patient; shipping via US postal service or a commercial shipping service; receiving the name of an at least 18 year old designee to receive the drug; confirming receipt of an initial shipment of the drug to the patient; returning the drug to a pharmacy after two attempts to deliver; launching an investigation when a shipment is lost; shipping to another pharmacy for delivery; requiring manufacture at a single location; authorizing the release of inventory in a controlled manner; questioning early refills; flagging repeat instances of lost, stolen, destroyed, or spilled prescriptions; limiting the prescription to a one month supply; requiring rewriting of the prescription periodically; and making the database available to the DEA for checking for abuse, misuse, or diversion patterns in the data, for cash payments, and for inappropriate questions;

authorizing the filling, using the exclusive computer database, of a prescription for the prescription drug that has been subjected to said multiple controls and has been approved for shipment to the patient;

noting, based on one or more of the analysis of the potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug and the periodic reports, that there is a potential for abuse, misuse, or diversion by the patient to whom the prescription drug is prescribed; and

delivering the prescription drug to the patient in order to treat the patient with the prescription drug.

6. The method of claim **5**, wherein the controls for distribution are communicating prescriptions from a physician to the exclusive computer database; identifying the physician's name, license, and DEA (Drug Enforcement Agency) registration information; verifying the prescription; obtaining patient information; verifying patient registry information; providing comprehensive education information to the patient; verifying the patient has received and/or reviewed the educational materials; or requiring rewriting of the prescription periodically.

7. A therapeutic method for treating a patient with a prescription drug that is effective for therapeutic purposes, but is also a drug that has potential to be abused, misused, or diverted, comprising:

receiving, only into an exclusive central computer system, all prescriptions for any and all patients being prescribed the prescription drug and any and all medical doctors allowed to prescribed the prescription drug, the prescriptions containing information identifying the patient, the prescription drug, and various credentials of the medical doctor who is writing the prescription;

requiring entering of the information into an exclusive computer database associated with the exclusive central computer system for analysis of potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug, such that all prescriptions for the prescription drug are processed for authorization only using the exclusive central computer system and the exclusive computer database;

controlling the distribution of said prescription drug using the exclusive central computer system that tracks all prescriptions of said prescription drug and analyzes for the potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug by determining current and anticipated patterns of potential prescription abuse, misuse, or diversion of said prescription drug from periodic reports generated by the exclusive central computer system and the exclusive computer database based on prescription data from a medical doctor, wherein said prescription data contain information identifying the patient, the drug prescribed, and credentials of the doctor; and selecting multiple controls for distribution using the exclusive central computer system, the controls selected from the group consisting of communicating prescriptions from a physician to the exclusive central computer system; identifying the physician's name, license, and DEA (Drug Enforcement Agency) registration information; verifying the prescription; obtaining patient information;

verifying the physician is eligible to prescribe the prescription drug by consulting the National Technical Information Services to determine whether the physician has an active DEA number and to check on whether any actions are pending against the physician; providing comprehensive printed materials to the physician; contacting the patient's insurance company if any; verifying patient registry information; providing comprehensive education information to the patient; verifying the patient has received and/or reviewed the educational materials;

verifying the home address of the patient; shipping via US postal service or a commercial shipping service; receiving the name of an at least 18 year old designee to receive the drug; confirming receipt of an initial shipment of the drug to the patient; returning the drug to a pharmacy after two attempts to deliver; launching an investigation when a shipment is lost; shipping to another pharmacy for delivery; requiring manufacture at a single location; authorizing release of inventory in a controlled manner; questioning early refills; flagging repeat instances of lost, stolen, destroyed, or spilled prescriptions; limiting the prescription to a one month supply; requiring rewriting of the prescription periodically; and making the database available to the DEA for checking for abuse, misuse, or diversion patterns in the data, for cash payments, and for inappropriate questions;

authorizing the filling, using the exclusive central computer system, of a prescription for the prescription drug that has been subjected to said multiple controls and has been approved for shipment to the patient;

noting, based on one or more of the analysis of the potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug and the periodic reports, that there is a potential for abuse, misuse, or diversion by the patient to whom the prescription drug is prescribed; and

delivering the prescription drug to the patient in order to treat the patient with the prescription drug.

8. The method of claim **7**, wherein the controls for distribution are communicating prescriptions from a physician to the exclusive central computer system; identifying the physician's name, license, and DEA (Drug Enforcement Agency) registration information; verifying the prescription; obtaining patient information; verifying patient registry information; providing comprehensive education information to the patient; verifying the patient has received and/or reviewed the educational materials; or requiring rewriting of the prescription periodically.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 7,765,106 B2
APPLICATION NO. : 10/979665
DATED : July 27, 2010
INVENTOR(S) : Dayton T. Reardan et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

In column 12, lines 20-67, column 13, lines 1-20, column 14, lines 1-7, in Claim 7, delete "7. A therapeutic method for treating a patient with a prescription drug that is effective for therapeutic purposes, but is also a drug that has potential to be abused, misused, or diverted, comprising:

receiving, only into an exclusive central computer system, all prescriptions for any and all patients being prescribed the prescription drug and any and all medical doctors allowed to prescribed the prescription drug, the prescriptions containing information identifying the patient, the prescription drug, and various credentials of the medical doctor who is writing the prescription;

requiring entering of the information into an exclusive computer database associated with the exclusive central computer system for analysis of potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug, such that all prescriptions for the prescription drug are processed for authorization only using the exclusive central computer system and the exclusive computer database;

controlling the distribution of said prescription drug using the exclusive central computer system that tracks all prescriptions of said prescription drug and analyzes for the potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug by determining current and anticipated patterns of potential prescription abuse, misuse, or diversion of said prescription drug from periodic reports generated by the exclusive central computer system and the exclusive computer database based on prescription data from a medical doctor, wherein said prescription data contain information identifying the patient, the drug prescribed, and credentials of the doctor; and selecting multiple controls for distribution using the exclusive central computer system, the controls selected from the group consisting of communicating prescriptions from a physician to the exclusive central computer system; identifying the physician's name, license, and DEA (Drug Enforcement Agency) registration information; verifying the prescription; obtaining patient information;

verifying the physician is eligible to prescribe the prescription drug by consulting the National Technical Information Services to determine whether the physician has an active DEA number and to check on whether any actions are pending against the physician; providing comprehensive printed materials to the physician; contacting the patient's insurance company if any; verifying patient registry information; providing comprehensive education information to the patient; verifying the patient has received and/or reviewed the educational materials; verifying the home address of the patient; shipping via US postal service or a commercial shipping service; receiving the name of an at least 18 year old designee to receive the drug;

Signed and Sealed this

Twenty-third Day of November, 2010



David J. Kappos
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

confirming receipt of an initial shipment of the drug to the patient; returning the drug to a pharmacy after two attempts to deliver; launching an investigation when a shipment is lost; shipping to another pharmacy for delivery; requiring manufacture at a single location; authorizing release of inventory in a controlled manner; questioning early refills; flagging repeat instances of lost, stolen, destroyed, or spilled prescriptions; limiting the prescription to a one month supply; requiring rewriting of the prescription periodically; and making the database available to the DEA for checking for abuse, misuse, or diversion patterns in the data, for cash payments, and for inappropriate questions;

authorizing the filling, using the exclusive central computer system, of a prescription for the prescription drug that has been subjected to said multiple controls and has been approved for shipment to the patient;

noting, based on one or more of the analysis of the potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug and the periodic reports, that there is a potential for abuse, misuse, or diversion by the patient to whom the prescription drug is prescribed; and

delivering the prescription drug to the patient in order to treat the patient with the prescription drug." and

insert -- 7. A therapeutic method for treating a patient with a prescription drug that is effective for therapeutic purposes, but is also a drug that has potential to be abused, misused, or diverted, comprising:

receiving, only into an exclusive central computer system, all prescriptions for any and all patients being prescribed the prescription drug and any and all medical doctors allowed to prescribed the prescription drug, the prescriptions containing information identifying the patient, the prescription drug, and various credentials of the medical doctor who is writing the prescription;

requiring entering of the information into an exclusive computer database associated with the exclusive central computer system for analysis of potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug, such that all prescriptions for the prescription drug are processed for authorization only using the exclusive central computer system and the exclusive computer database;

controlling the distribution of said prescription drug using the exclusive central computer system that tracks all prescriptions of said prescription drug and analyzes for the potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug by determining current and anticipated patterns of potential prescription abuse, misuse, or diversion of said prescription drug from periodic reports generated by the exclusive central computer system and the exclusive computer database based on prescription data from a medical doctor, wherein said prescription data contain information identifying the patient, the drug prescribed, and credentials of the doctor; and selecting multiple controls for distribution using the exclusive central computer system, the controls selected from the group consisting of communicating prescriptions from a physician to the exclusive central computer system; identifying the physician's name, license, and DEA (Drug Enforcement Agency) registration information; verifying the prescription; obtaining patient information; verifying the physician is eligible to prescribe the prescription drug by consulting the National Technical Information Services to determine whether the physician has an active DEA number and to check on whether any actions are pending against the physician; providing comprehensive printed materials to the physician; contacting the patient's insurance company if any; verifying patient registry information; providing comprehensive education information to the patient; verifying the patient has received and/or reviewed the educational materials; verifying the home address of the patient; shipping via US postal service or a commercial shipping service; receiving the name of an at least 18 year old designee to receive the drug; confirming receipt of

an initial shipment of the drug to the patient; returning the drug to a pharmacy after two attempts to deliver; launching an investigation when a shipment is lost; shipping to another pharmacy for delivery; requiring manufacture at a single location; authorizing release of inventory in a controlled manner; questioning early refills; flagging repeat instances of lost, stolen, destroyed, or spilled prescriptions; limiting the prescription to a one month supply; requiring rewriting of the prescription periodically; and making the database available to the DEA for checking for abuse, misuse, or diversion patterns in the data, for cash payments, and for inappropriate questions;

authorizing the filling, using the exclusive central computer system, of a prescription for the prescription drug that has been subjected to said multiple controls and has been approved for shipment to the patient;

noting, based on one or more of the analysis of the potential abuse, misuse, or diversion of the prescription drug and the periodic reports, that there is a potential for abuse, misuse, or diversion by the patient to whom the prescription drug is prescribed; and

delivering the prescription drug to the patient in order to treat the patient with the prescription drug. --, therefor.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 7,765,106 B2
APPLICATION NO. : 10/979665
DATED : July 27, 2010
INVENTOR(S) : Dayton T. Reardan et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Delete the Title Page showing an illustrative figure, and substitute the attached Title Page therefor.

Delete Sheet 2 of 16 showing Fig. 2A, and substitute the attached sheet therefor.

On Sheet 10 of 16, in Figure 9, line 23, after "ESTABLISHED" insert -- . --.

In column 1, line 27, delete "buterate" and insert -- butyrate --, therefor.

In column 1, line 28, delete "theraputic" and insert -- therapeutic --, therefor.

In column 4, line 65, delete "coveral" and insert -- coverage --, therefor.

Signed and Sealed this
Fifteenth Day of February, 2011

David J. Kappos

David J. Kappos
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

(12) **United States Patent**
Reardan et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 7,765,106 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: *Jul. 27, 2010**

(54) **SENSITIVE DRUG DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AND METHOD**

(75) Inventors: **Dayton T. Reardan**, Excelsior, MN (US); **Patti A. Engel**, Eagan, MN (US); **Bob Gagne**, St. Paul, MN (US)

(73) Assignee: **JPI Commercial, LLC**, Palo Alto, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1645 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/979,665**

(22) Filed: **Nov. 2, 2004**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2005/0090425 A1 Apr. 28, 2005

Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 10/322,348, filed on Dec. 17, 2002, now Pat. No. 7,668,730.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06Q 10/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **705/2**; 705/3

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 705/2, 705/3

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,556,342	A	1/1971	Guarr	
4,847,764	A	7/1989	Halvorson	
4,976,351	A	12/1990	Mangini et al.	
5,737,539	A	4/1998	Edelson et al.	
5,845,255	A	12/1998	Mayaud	705/3
5,924,074	A	7/1999	Evans	705/3
6,021,392	A	2/2000	Lester et al.	
6,045,501	A	4/2000	Elsayed et al	
6,055,507	A	4/2000	Cunningham	
6,112,182	A	8/2000	Akers et al.	
6,315,720	B1	11/2001	Williams et al	
6,347,329	B1	2/2002	Evans	
6,564,121	B1	5/2003	Wallace et al	
6,687,676	B1	2/2004	Denny	
6,755,784	B2	6/2004	Williams et al.	
6,952,681	B2	10/2005	McQuade et al	
7,058,584	B2	6/2006	Kosinski et al	

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

NASCSA National Conference, (Nov. 2000),8 pages.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Gerald J. O'Connor
Assistant Examiner—Lena Najarian
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*— Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A drug distribution system and method utilizes a central pharmacy and database to track all prescriptions for a sensitive drug. Information is kept in the database regarding all physicians allowed to prescribe the sensitive drug, and all patients receiving the drug. Abuses are identified by monitoring data in the database for prescription patterns by physicians and prescriptions obtained by patients. Further verification is made that the physician is eligible to prescribe the drug by consulting a separate database, and optionally whether any actions are taken against the physician. Multiple controls beyond those for normal drugs are imposed on the distribution depending on the sensitivity of the drug.

8 Claims, 16 Drawing Sheets




202
MD MAILS/FAXES IN Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM
204
AN INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST MAKE A COPY OF THE Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM (THE COPY IS STAMPED "COPY") AND THE ORIGINAL FAX IS THEN FORWARDED TO THE PHARMACY TEAM
206
MD MAILS/FAXES IN Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM
B
210
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST ENTERS THE PATIENT AND PHYSICIAN INFO INTO CHIPS
212
IS THE INFO COMPLETE?
NO
YES
214
AN INTAKE REPRESENTATIVE WILL MAKE 1 ATTEMPT TO REACH THE MD TO OBTAIN THE MISSING INFORMATION
216
THE MISSING INFORMATION HAS NOT BEEN OBTAINED WITHIN 24 HOURS, THE Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM IS FAXED BACK TO THE MD WITH A REJECTION EXPLANATION LETTER
218
A NOTE IS ENTERED IN CHIPS THAT THE APPLICATION WAS REJECTED
220
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST CONTACTS MD TO VERIFY RECEIPT & ACCURACY OF THE PATIENT'S Rx & THIS CONTACT IS RECORDED IN CHIPS
222
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST SENDS CONSENT FORM AND A COVER LETTER TO THE PATIENT
224
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST FAXES A STATEMENT OF MEDICAL NECESSITY TO THE MD TO COMPLETE
226
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST CONTACTS THE PATIENT'S INSURANCE PROVIDER TO VERIFY COVERAGE & BENEFITS
228
IS COVERAGE FOR XYREM APPROVED?
NO
YES
238
INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SUBMITS A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM (STAPLED TO THE "COPY" OF THE Rx ENROLLMENT FORM) TO THE PHARMACY TEAM AS NOTIFICATION TO PROCESS THE PATIENT'S Rx
A
232
SEE NORD PROCESS FOR PATIENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED OR UNDERINSURED
NO
230
IS THE PATIENT WILLING/ABLE TO PAY?
YES
234
THE PATIENT IS INFORMED OF THE COST OF THE PRODUCT AND IS GIVEN PAYMENT OPTIONS
236
ONCE PAYMENT IS RECEIVED, INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SUBMITS A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM (STAPLED TO THE "COPY" OF THE Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM) TO THE PHARMACY TEAM AS NOTIFICATION TO PROCESS THE PATIENT'S Rx
A

FIG. 2A

EXHIBIT 2

US008457988B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Reardan et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 8,457,988 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent: *Jun. 4, 2013**

(54) **SENSITIVE DRUG DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AND METHOD**

(75) Inventors: **Dayton T. Reardan**, Shorewood, MN (US); **Patti A. Engel**, Eagan, MN (US); **Bob Gagne**, St. Paul, MN (US)

(73) Assignee: **Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.**, Palo Alto, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **13/595,757**

(22) Filed: **Aug. 27, 2012**

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Division of application No. 13/013,680, filed on Jan. 25, 2011, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 12/704,097, filed on Feb. 11, 2010, now Pat. No. 7,895,059, which is a continuation of application No. 10/322,348, filed on Dec. 17, 2002, now Pat. No. 7,668,730.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06Q 10/00 (2012.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
USPC **705/2**; 705/3; 600/300

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC 705/2, 3; 600/300
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,556,342	A	1/1971	Guarr
4,847,764	A	7/1989	Halvorson
4,976,351	A	12/1990	Mangini et al.
5,737,539	A	4/1998	Edelson et al.
5,845,255	A	12/1998	Mayaud
5,924,074	A	7/1999	Evans
6,021,392	A	2/2000	Lester et al.
6,045,501	A	4/2000	Elsayed et al.
6,055,507	A	4/2000	Cunningham
6,112,182	A	8/2000	Akers et al.
6,315,720	B1	11/2001	Williams et al.
6,347,329	B1	2/2002	Evans
6,561,977	B2	5/2003	Williams et al.
6,564,121	B1	5/2003	Wallace et al.
6,755,784	B2	6/2004	Williams et al.
6,952,681	B2	10/2005	McQuade et al.
7,058,584	B2	6/2006	Kosinski et al.
7,668,730	B2	2/2010	Reardan et al.
7,765,106	B2	7/2010	Reardan et al.
7,765,107	B2	7/2010	Reardan et al.
7,797,171	B2	9/2010	Reardan et al.

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

NASCSA National Conference, Orphan Medical, Inc., (Nov. 2000), 8 pgs.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Lena Najarian
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Schwegman Lundberg & Woessner, P.A.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A drug distribution system and method utilizes a central pharmacy and database to track all prescriptions for a sensitive drug. Information is kept in the database regarding all physicians allowed to prescribe the sensitive drug, and all patients receiving the drug. Abuses are identified by monitoring data in the database for prescription patterns by physicians and prescriptions obtained by patients. Further verification is made that the physician is eligible to prescribe the drug by consulting a separate database, and optionally whether any actions are taken against the physician. Multiple controls beyond those for normal drugs are imposed on the distribution depending on the sensitivity of the drug.

15 Claims, 16 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,895,059	B2	2/2011	Reardan et al.
2001/0001144	A1	5/2001	Kapp
2001/0042050	A1	11/2001	Fletcher et al.
2001/0047281	A1	11/2001	Keresman, III et al.
2002/0010661	A1	1/2002	Waddington et al.
2002/0032581	A1	3/2002	Reitberg
2002/0032582	A1	3/2002	Feeney, Jr. et al.
2002/0042725	A1	4/2002	Mayaud
2002/0042762	A1	4/2002	McQuade et al.
2002/0052762	A1	5/2002	Kobylevsky et al.
2002/0161607	A1	10/2002	Subich
2002/0177232	A1	11/2002	Melker et al.
2003/0033168	A1	2/2003	Califano et al.
2003/0046110	A1	3/2003	Gogolak
2003/0050802	A1	3/2003	Jay et al.
2003/0074225	A1	4/2003	Borsand et al.
2003/0093295	A1	5/2003	Lilly et al.
2003/0110060	A1	6/2003	Clementi
2003/0127508	A1	7/2003	Jones
2003/0144876	A1	7/2003	Kosinski et al.
2003/0160698	A1	8/2003	Andreasson et al.
2003/0197366	A1	10/2003	Kusterbeck
2003/0229519	A1	12/2003	Eidex et al.
2003/0233256	A1	12/2003	Cardenas et al.
2004/0008123	A1	1/2004	Carrender et al.
2004/0019567	A1	1/2004	Herceg et al.
2004/0019794	A1	1/2004	Moradi et al.
2004/0078237	A1	4/2004	Kaafarani et al.
2004/0107117	A1	6/2004	Denny
2004/0117126	A1	6/2004	Fetterman et al.
2004/0122712	A1	6/2004	Hill, Sr. et al.
2004/0122713	A1	6/2004	Hill, Sr. et al.
2004/0162740	A1	8/2004	Ericsson et al.
2004/0176985	A1	9/2004	Lilly et al.
2005/0090425	A1	4/2005	Reardan et al.
2005/0216309	A1	9/2005	Reardan et al.
2005/0222874	A1	10/2005	Reardan et al.
2010/0138237	A1	6/2010	Reardan et al.
2011/0119085	A1	5/2011	Reardan et al.
2012/0209623	A1	8/2012	Reardan et al.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"An Interview with Orphan Medical about Xyrem", http://www.talkaboutsleep.com/sleepdisorders/archives/Narcolepsy_xyrem_interview.htm, (Feb. 12, 2001), 3 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Advisory Action mailed Feb. 5, 2007", 3 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Appeal Brief filed May 21, 2007", 32 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Examiner Interview Summary mailed Oct. 21, 2009", 3 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Final Office Action mailed Oct. 18, 2006", 14 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Final Office Action mailed Dec. 29, 2005", 11 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Non Final Office Action mailed Jun. 17, 2005", 26 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Non Final Office Action mailed Jun. 19, 2006", 18 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Non Final Office Action mailed Jun. 29, 2005", 12 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Notice of Allowance mailed Dec. 31, 2009", 16 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Preliminary Amendment mailed Sep. 30, 2004", 11 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Reply Brief filed Dec. 3, 2007", 4 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Response filed Jan. 17, 2007 to Final Office Action mailed Oct. 18, 2006", 17 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Response filed Mar. 29, 2009 to Final Office Action mailed Dec. 29, 2005", 11 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Response filed Aug. 8, 2006 to Non Final Office Action mailed Jun. 19, 2006", 10 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/322,348, Response filed Sep. 29, 2005 to Non Final Office Action mailed Jun. 29, 2005", 19 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/731,915, Non Final Office Action mailed Aug. 12, 2005", 22 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/731,915, Non Final Office Action mailed Oct. 5, 2004", 21 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/731,915, Non Final Office Action Response mailed Feb. 2, 2005", 17 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/979,665, Non-Final Office Action mailed Nov. 17, 2009", 19 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/979,665, Notice of Allowance mailed Apr. 30, 2010", 8 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/979,665, Preliminary Amendment filed Jun. 22, 2006", 7 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/979,665, Preliminary Amendment mailed Nov. 2, 2004", 3 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/979,665, Response filed Mar. 11, 2010 to Non Final Office Action mailed Nov. 17, 2009", 13 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/979,665, Response filed Jul. 14, 2009 to Restriction Requirement mailed Jun. 25, 2009", 8 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 10/979,665, Restriction Requirement mailed Jun. 25, 2009", 7 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Examiner Interview Summary mailed May 27, 2010", 3 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Final Office Action mailed Nov. 12, 2009", 14 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Non-Final Office Action mailed Mar. 3, 2010", 19 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Non-Final Office Action mailed May 29, 2009", 21 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Notice of Allowance mailed Jul. 23, 2010", 9 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Preliminary Amendment mailed Apr. 1, 2005", 6 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Response filed Feb. 9, 2010 to Final Office Action mailed Nov. 12, 2009", 11 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Response filed Jun. 3, 2010 to Non Final Office Action mailed Mar. 3, 2010", 12 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,651, Response filed Sep. 17, 2009 to Non Final Office Action mailed May 29, 2009", 10 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,985, Non Final Office Action mailed Sep. 14, 2009", 22 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,985, Notice of Allowance mailed Mar. 10, 2010", 11 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,985, Preliminary Amendment mailed Apr. 1, 2005", 7 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,985, Response filed Nov. 3, 2009 to Non Final Office Action mailed Sep. 14, 2009", 15 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 11/097,985, Supplemental Notice of Allowability mailed Jun. 29, 2010", 3 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 12/704,097, Non-Final Office Action mailed Sep. 24, 2010", 5 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 12/704,097, Notice of Allowance mailed Dec. 21, 2010", 8 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 12/704,097, Response filed Nov. 4, 2010 to Non Final Office Action mailed Sep. 24, 2010", 12 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 13/013,680, Response filed Jun. 12, 2012 to Restriction Requirement mailed Dec. 14, 2011", 9 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 13/013,680, Restriction Requirement mailed Dec. 14, 2011", 7 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 13/013,680, Preliminary Amendment filed Jun. 13, 2012", 4 pgs.
"Civil Docket", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Roxane Laboratories, Inc.*, (United States District Court, District of New Jersey Civil Action No. 2:10-CV-06108-ES-CLW), (Nov. 22, 2010), 15 pgs.
"Complaint for Patent Infringement", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Roxane Laboratories, Inc.*, (United States District Court, District of New Jersey Civil Action No. 10-6108 (ES) (CLW)), (Nov. 22, 2010), 14 pgs.
"Diversion Prevention Through Responsible Distribution", NADDI Regional Training, (May 2001), 12 pages.
"Diversion Prevention Through Responsible Distribution", NADDI Regional Training Tennessee, (Jun. 2001), 14 Pages.
"Diversion Prevention Through Responsible Distribution", NADDI National Conference, (Nov. 2001), 15 pages.

"Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s Opening Markman Brief", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Roxane Laboratories, Inc.*, United States District Court, District of New Jersey Civil Action No. 10-6108 (ES) (CLW), (Dec. 5, 2011), 34 pgs.
"Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s Responsive Markman Brief", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Roxane Laboratories, Inc.*, (United States District Court, District of New Jersey Civil Action No. 10-6108 (ES) (CLW)), (Feb. 21, 2012), 41 pgs.
"Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Roxane Laboratories, Inc.*, (United States District Court, District of New Jersey Civil Action No. 10-6108 (ES) (CLW)), (Oct. 21, 2011), 31 pgs.
"Letter dated Oct. 14, 2010 from Randall S. Wilson (Roxane Labs) to Bruce C. Cozadd (Jazz Pharmaceuticals)", Re: Patent Notice Pursuant to Section 505(b)(3)(B) [21 USC Sec. 355(b)(3)(B)], (Oct. 14, 2010), 11 pgs.
"Letter from Theodora McCormick to Magistrate Judge Cathy L. Weldor", (w/ Exhibits), (Feb. 27, 2012), 60 pgs.
"Letter from Theodora McCormick to Magistrate Judge Cathy L. Weldor", (w/ Exhibits), (Mar. 19, 2012), 104 pgs.
"Letter from Theodora McCormick to Magistrate Judge Cathy L. Weldor", (Mar. 29, 2012), 4 pgs.
"Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee", Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Holiday Inn, Bethesda, Maryland, (Jun. 6, 2001), 7 pages.
"Preliminary Amendment pursuant to 37 CFR Sec. 1.115", U.S. Appl. No. 11/104,013, filed Apr. 12, 2005, 3 pgs.
"Reply to Counterclaims", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Roxane Laboratories, Inc.*, United States District Court, District of New Jersey Civil Action No. 10-6108 (SDW) (MCA), (Feb. 7, 2011), 37 pgs.
"Reply to Counterclaims", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Roxane Laboratories, Inc.*, (United States District Court, District of New Jersey Civil Action No. 11-660 (SDW) (MCA) Lead Action CV-10-6108), (Apr. 18, 2011), 6 pgs.
"Roxane Laboratories, Inc.'s Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims to Plaintiffs Complaint", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Roxane Laboratories, Inc.*, United States District Court, District of New Jersey Civil Action No. 10-6108 (ES) (CLW), (Dec. 29, 2010), 21 pgs.
"Roxane Laboratories, Inc.'s Initial Invalidity and Noninfringement Contentions Pursuant to Local Patent Rule 3.6", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Roxane Laboratories, Inc.*, (United States District Court, District of New Jersey Civil Action No. 2:10-cv-06108 (SDW) (MCA)), (Apr. 14, 2011), 317 pgs.
"Roxane Laboratories, Inc.'s Opening Markman Brief in Support of Its Claim Constructions", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Roxane Laboratories, Inc.*,)United States District Court, District of New Jersey Civil Action No. 2.10-cv-06108 (ES) (CLW)), (Dec. 5, 2011), 37 pgs.
"Roxane Laboratories, Inc.'s Responsive Markman Brief in Support of Its Claim Constructions", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Roxane Laboratories, Inc.*, (United States District Court, District of New Jersey Civil Action No. 2:10-cv-06108 (ES) (CLW)), (Feb. 21, 2012), 27 pgs.
"System for Thalidomide Education and Prescribing Safety (S.T.E. P.S.) Starter Kit", Celgene Corporation, (2001), 103 pgs.
Ukens, C., "Specialty Pharmacy", Drug Topics, 144, (Jun. 5, 2000), 40-47.
"U.S. Appl. No. 13/592,202, Response filed Feb. 15, 2013 to Restriction Requirement mailed Jan. 16, 2013", 8 pgs.
"U.S. Appl. No. 13/592,202, Restriction Requirement mailed Jan. 16, 2013", 6 pgs.
"Briefing Booklet for the Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee Meeting", Orphan Medical, Inc., (Jun. 6, 2001), 353 pgs.
"Civil Cover Sheet", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC*, (United States District Court, District of New Jersey, (Jan. 18, 2013), 2 pgs.
"Complaint for Patent Infringement", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* v. *Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC*, (United States District Court, District of New Jersey, (Jan. 18, 2013), 17 pgs.
"Controlled Substances Act", Drugs of Abuse, U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration, (1997), 9 pgs.
"Detailed Factual and Legal Basis of Non-Infringement and/or Invalidity", Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC, (Dec. 12, 2012), 3 pgs.
"Detailed Factual and Legal Basis of Non-Infringement and/or Invalidity", Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC, (Dec. 7, 2012), 6 pgs.
"Exhibits A-D", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals* v *Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC*, (Jan. 18, 2013), 151 pgs.
"Exhibits D-G", *Jazz Pharmaceuticals* v *Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC*, (Jan. 18, 2013), 123 pgs.
"Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 7.1 Disclosure Statement", (Jan. 18, 2013), 2 pgs.
"Making Good in Your Own Mail-Order Business", Changing Times—The Kiplinger Magazine, (Oct. 1980), 66-68.
"Markman Opinion, filed Sep. 14, 2012, in the case of *Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Plaintiff,* v. *Roxane Laboratories, Inc., Defendant* (United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, Civil 10-6108 ES)", (Sep. 14, 2012), 43 pgs.
"Notice of Electronic Filing: Civil Inital Pleadings (Attorney/Credit Card) USE CASE 33-1", US District Court, District of new Jersey [LIVE], (Jan. 18, 2013), 2 pgs.
"Notice of Paragraph IV Certification Concerning ANDA 203631 for Sodiium Oxybate Oral Solution, 500 mg/mL", Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC, (Dec. 7, 2012), 4 pgs.
"Notice of Paragraph IV Certification Concerning ANDA 203631 for Sodiium Oxybate Oral Solution. 500 mg/mL", Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC, (Dec. 12, 2012), 4 pgs.
"Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee—Transcript", Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Holiday Inn, Bethesda, Maryland, (Jun. 6, 2001), 381 pgs.
"Roxane Laboratories, Inc.'s Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint", (Jan. 4, 2013), 8 pgs.
"Roxane Laboratories, Inc.'s Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims to Plaintiff's Complaint", (Dec. 29, 2010), 21 pgs.
"Roxane Laboratories, Inc.'s Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims to Plaintiff's Complaint", (Mar. 9, 2011), 13 pgs.
"Roxane Laboratories, Inc.'s Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims to Plaintiff's Complaint", (Jun. 1, 2011), 12 pgs.
"Roxane Laboratories, Inc.'s Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims to Plaintiff's Complaint", (Nov. 9, 2012), 18 pgs.
"Xyrem Prescription and Distribution Process-Video Script", (Feb. 2, 2001), 10 pgs.
Deutsch, Sheryl, "The Verification and Information-Gathering Process", The Credentialing Handbook, Aspen Publishers, Inc., (1999), 231-275.
Mani, Ranjit, "Preliminary Clinical Safety Review of NDA No. 21196", Orphan Medical, Inc., (May 3, 2001), 122 pgs.



FIG. 1


202
MD MAILS/FAXES IN Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM
204
AN INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST MAKE A COPY OF THE Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM (THE COPY IS STAMPED "COPY") AND THE ORIGINAL FAX IS THEN FORWARDED TO THE PHARMACY TEAM
206
MD MAILS/FAXES IN Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM
B
210
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST ENTERS THE PATIENT AND PHYSICIAN INFO INTO CHIPS
212
IS THE INFO COMPLETE?
NO
214
AN INTAKE REPRESENTATIVE WILL MAKE 1 ATTEMPT TO REACH THE MD TO OBTAIN THE MISSING INFORMATION
216
THE MISSING INFORMATION HAS NOT BEEN OBTAINED WITHIN 24 HOURS, THE Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM IS FAXED BACK TO THE MD WITH A REJECTION EXPLANATION LETTER
218
A NOTE IS ENTERED IN CHIPS THAT THE APPLICATION WAS REJECTED
YES
220
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST CONTACTS MD TO VERIFY RECEIPT & ACCURACY OF THE PATIENT'S Rx & THIS CONTACT IS RECORDED IN CHIPS
222
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST SENDS CONSENT FORM AND A COVER LETTER TO THE PATIENT
224
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST FAXES A STATEMENT OF MEDICAL NECESSITY TO THE MD TO COMPLETE
226
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST CONTACTS THE PATIENT'S INSURANCE PROVIDER TO VERIFY COVERAGE & BENEFITS
228
IS COVERAGE FOR XYREM APPROVED?
NO
232
SEE NORD PROCESS FOR PATIENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED OR UNDERINSURED
230
IS THE PATIENT WILLING/ABLE TO PAY?
NO
YES
234
THE PATIENT IS INFORMED OF THE COST OF THE PRODUCT AND IS GIVEN PAYMENT OPTIONS
236
ONCE PAYMENT IS RECEIVED, INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SUBMITS A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM (STAPLED TO THE "COPY" OF THE Rx/ENROLLMENT FORM) TO THE PHARMACY TEAM AS NOTIFICATION TO PROCESS THE PATIENT'S Rx
YES
238
INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SUBMITS A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM (STAPLED TO THE "COPY" OF THE Rx ENROLLMENT FORM) TO THE PHARMACY TEAM AS NOTIFICATION TO PROCESS THE PATIENT'S Rx
A
A

FIG. 2A


B
208
PHARMACY WORK FLOW
268
THE PATIENT IS SHIPPED A XYREM SUCCESS PACKET VIA 2-DAY USPS
270
HAVE THE MD.'S CREDENTIALS BEEN VERIFIED & APPROVED IN THE LAST 3 MONTHS?
NO
274
THE PHARMACIST VERIFIES THE MD.'S CREDENTIALS AND ENTERS ALL FINDINGS IN CHIPS
IF ANY DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS ARE IDENTIFIED, THE DIR. OF PHARM. IS NOTIFIED AND TAKES ONE OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS:
- Rx IS PROCESSED AND THE PHARMACIST MONITORS THE MD.'S PROGRAM ACTIVITY
-- Rx IS NOT PROCESSED AND THE MD IS NOTED AS UNAPPROVED
278
YES
272
THE PHARMACIST HOLDS THE PATIENT'S Rx UNTIL RECEIVING A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM FROM INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT
276
ARE THE MD.'S CREDENTIALS APPROVED?
NO
284
THE PHYSICIAN IS INDICATED AS UNAPPROVED IN CHIPS
286
THE MD IS CONTACTED BY A PHARMACIST & INFORMED THAT THE PATIENT'S Rx CANNOT BE PROCESSED
288
THE Rx AND ENROLLMENT FORM IS MAILED BACK TO THE MD ALONG WITH A COVER LETTER REITERATING THAT THE Rx CANNOT BE PROCESSED
290
A LETTER IS SENT TO THE PATIENT INDICATING THAT THE Rx CANNOT BE PROCESSED & THE PATIENT IS INSTRUCTED TO CONTACT HIS/HER PHYSICIAN
END
YES
280
THE PHYSICIAN IS INDICATED AS APPROVED IN THE PHYSICIAN SCREEN IN CHIPS
282
THE PHARMACIST HOLDS THE PATIENT'S Rx UNTIL RECEIVING A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM FROM INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT
A
A

FIG. 2B


A
240
UPON RECEIPT OF THE COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM FROM INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT THE PHARMACY TECHNICIAN CONTACTS THE PATIENT TO COMPLETE THE "TECHNICIAN" SPECIFIED SECTIONS OF THE PATIENT COUNSELING CHECKLIST
242
WERE THE PROGRAM MATERIALS READ?
NO
244
THE RECEIPT OF THE MATERIALS IS CONFIRMED AND ANOTHER CALL IS SCHEDULED TO COUNSEL THE PATIENT BEFORE THE XYREM IS SHIPPED
YES
246
AFTER COMPLETING THE SPECIFIED SECTIONS OF THE CHECKLIST WITH THE PATIENT THE PHARMACY TECHNICIAN TRANSFERS THE CALLER TO THE PHARMACIST WHO REVIEWS THE ENTIRE CHECKLIST AND COMPLETES THE REMAINING "PHARMACIST" SPECIFIED SECTIONS
248
THE PHARMACIST INDICATES IN CHIPS THAT THE PATIENT COUNSELING CHECKLIST WAS SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED INCLUDING THE DATE COMPLETED
252
THE SHIPMENT MUST BE SENT TO THE PATIENTS HOME ADDRESS UNLESS THE PATIENT IS TRAVELING OR HAS MOVED, IN WHICH CASE THE PHARMACIST WILL DETERMINE IF AN EXCEPTION WILL BE MADE. THE PATIENT OR THE PATIENT'S DESIGNEE MUST SIGN FOR THE PACKAGE UPON DELIVERY
250
THE PHARMACIST SCHEDULE THE PATIENT'S SHIPMENT FOR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY OR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY THE PATIENT IS AVAILABLE TO SIGN FOR THE PACKAGE
254
THE PHARMACIST ENTERS THE Rx ORDER IN CHIPS, CREATING AN ORDER NUMBER
256
THE PHARMACIST VERIFIES THE Rx AND ATTACHES THE VERIFICATION LABEL TO THE HARD COPY Rx
258
A PICK TICKET IS GENERATED FOR THE ORDER & THE ORDER IS FORWARDED TO THE PHARMACY FOR FULFILLMENT
260
THE SHIPMENT IS CONFIRMED IN CHIPS
262
THE ORIGINAL Rx IS FILED WITH THE PHARMACY Rx'S IN NUMERICAL ORDER
266
ALL XYREM INVENTORY IS CYCLE COUNTED AND RECONCILED WITH THE CHIPS SYSTEM QUANTITIES BEFORE THE DAYS SHIPMENTS ARE SENT OUT
264
THE ORDER IS SHIPPED BY USPS EXPRESS MAIL
END

FIG. 2C


310
PHYSICIAN SUCCESS PROGRAM MATERIALS REQUEST
320
MD CALLS XYREM SUCCESS PROGRAM AT 1-866-XYREM88 TO REQUEST PROGRAM MATERIALS
330
MD DEMOGRAPHICS, DEA# AND DATE OF REQUEST ARE ENTERED INTO CHP'S
340
SDS REQUESTS MATERIALS TO BE SHIPPED TO THE MD VIA THE OMI FULFILLMENT WEBSITE AT WWW.PRAFULFILLMENT.COM
END
350

FIG. 3


402
2 POSSIBLE REFILL REQUEST PROCESSES
404
EACH WEEK A REFILL REPORT IS GENERATED OF PATIENTS WITH 10 DAYS OF PRODUCT REMAINING
B
408
A COPY OF THE REFILL REPORT IS PROVIDED TO INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT
410
NO SOONER THAN 8 DAYS BEFORE MEDICATION DEPLETION, A PHARMACY TECHNICIAN CONTACTS THE PATIENT TO COMPLETE THE PRE-DELIVERY CHECKLIST
412
IS THE PATIENT REACHED?
NO
414
A MESSAGE IS LEFT ONLY MENTIONING SOS & A RETURN NUMBER
YES
418
THE PHARMACY TECHNICIAN SCHEDULES THE PATIENT'S SHIPMENT FOR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY OR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY THE PATIENT IS AVAILABLE TO SIGN FOR THE PACKAGE
416
A NOTE IS ENTERED IN THE CHIPS INDICATING THE DATE THE MESSAGE WAS LEFT FOR THE PATIENT
420
THE PHARMACIST ENTERS THE Rx ORDER IN CHIPS, CREATING AN ORDER NUMBER
422
THE PHARMACIST VERIFIES THE Rx AND ATTACHES THE VERIFICATION LABEL TO THE HARD COPY Rx
424
THE SHIPMENT IS CONFIRMED IN CHIPS
426
ALL XYREM INVENTORY IS CYCLE COUNTED AND RECONCILED WITH THE CHIPS SYSTEM QUANTITIES BEFORE THE DAYS SHIPMENTS ARE SENT OUT
428
A PICK TICKET IS GENERATED FOR THE ORDER & THE ORDER IS FORWARDED TO THE PHARMACY FOR FULFILLMENT
END
430

FIG. 4A


B
406
THE PATIENT CALLS TO REQUEST AN EARLY REFILL
432
A NOTE CODE IS ENTERED IN CHIPS ON THE PATIENT SCREEN INDICATING THE EARLY REFILL REQUEST
434
A XYREM PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION & MANAGEMENT RISK DIVERSION REPORT IS COMPLETED & DOCUMENTED IN CHIPS. THE REPORT IS THEN FAXED TO OMI & THE ORIGINAL IS FILED IN A MONTHLY BATCH FILE
436
THE PHARMACIST EVALUATES THE PATIENT'S COMPLIANCE WITH THERAPY AND/OR POSSIBLE PRODUCT DIVERSION, MISUSE OR OVERUSE
438
THE PHARMACIST CONTACTS THE PRESCRIBING PHYSICIAN TO ALERT OF THE SITUATION AND CONFIRM IF THE PHYSICIAN APPROVES OF THE EARLY REFILL
440
DOES THE PHYSICIAN APPROVE?
NO
442
THE PATIENT MUST WAIT UNTIL THE NEXT SCHEDULED REFILL DATE TO RECEIVE ADDITIONAL PRODUCT
END
444
YES
446
THE PHARMACIST ENTERS A NOTE IN CHIPS IN THE PATIENT SCREEN THAT THE PHYSICIAN APPROVES THE REQUEST
448
THE PHARMACIST NOTIFIES AN INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST TO CONTACT THE PATIENT'S INSURANCE PROVIDER TO VERIFY COVERAGE FOR THE EARLY REFILL
450
WILL THE INSURANCE PROVIDER PAY?
NO
460
THE PATIENT MUST WAIT UNTIL THE NEXT SCHEDULED REFILL DATE TO RECEIVE ADDITIONAL PRODUCT
458
IS THE PATIENT WILL TO PAY?
NO
YES
462
THE PATIENT IS INFORMED OF THE COST OF THE PRODUCT AND IS GIVEN PAYMENT OPTIONS
464
ONCE PAYMENT IS RECEIVED THE ORDER IS RELEASED
YES
452
INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SUBMITS A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM TO THE PHARMACY TEAM AS NOTIFICATION THAT THE PATIENT'S REFILL REQUEST CAN BE PROCESSED
466
INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SUBMITS A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM TO THE PHARMACY TEAM AS NOTIFICATION THAT THE PATIENT'S REFILL REQUEST CAN BE PROCESSED
454
THE PHARMACY TECHNICIAN CONTACTS THE PATIENT TO SCHEDULE SHIPMENT OF THE PRODUCT FOR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY OR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY THE PATIENT IS AVAILABLE TO SIGN FOR THE PACKAGE
468
THE PHARMACY TECHNICIAN CONTACTS THE PATIENT TO SCHEDULE SHIPMENT OF THE PRODUCT FOR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY OR THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY THE PATIENT IS AVAILABLE TO SIGN FOR THE PACKAGE
CONTINUE
456
CONTINUE
470

FIG. 4B


510
UPON DETERMINING THAT A PATIENT IS UNINSURED OR UNDERINSURED, A REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST EXPLAINS THE NORD PROGRAM TO THE PATIENT AND FAXES AN APPLICATION REQUEST FORM TO NORD FOR THE PATIENT
515
THE INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST DOCUMENTS IN CHIPS THAT AN APPLICATION HAS BEEN REQUESTED THROUGH NORD
520
NORD MAILS A PAP APPLICATION TO THE PATIENT WITHIN 1 BUSINESS DAY
525
IS THE PATIENT APPROVED?
NO
530
NORD SENDS A DENIAL LETTER TO THE PATIENT
YES
545
NORD SENDS AN ACCEPTANCE LETTER TO THE PATIENT AND FAXES A VOUCHER TO SDS INDICATING THE PATIENT'S APPROVAL FOR THE PROGRAM
540
SDS DOCUMENTS IN CHIPS THAT THE PATIENT WAS DENIED BY NORD
550
AN INTAKE/REIMBURSEMENT SPECIALIST SUBMITS A COVERAGE APPROVAL FORM TO THE PHARMACY TEAM AS NOTIFICATION THAT THE PATIENT HAS BEEN APPROVED FOR COVERAGE THROUGH NORD
A
555

FIG. 5



FIG. 6



FIG. 7



FIG. 8

900

PRESCRIPTION AND ENROLLMENT FORM

PRESCRIBER INFORMATION

PRESCRIBER'S NAME: ..... OFFICE CONTACT: .....
STREET ADDRESS: .....
CITY: ..... STATE: ..... ZIP: .....
PHONE: ..... FAX: .....
LICENSE NUMBER: ..... DEA NUMBER: .....
MD SPECIALTY: .....

PRESCRIPTION FORM

PATIENT NAME: ..... SS#: ..... DOB: ..... SEX M / F
ADDRESS: .....
CITY: ..... STATE: ..... ZIP: .....
Rx: XYREM ORAL SOLUTION (500 mg/mL) 180 ML BOTTLE QUANTITY: ..... MONTHS SUPPLY
SIG: TAKE ..... GMS P.O. DILUTED IN 60 mL WATER AT H.S. AND THEN AGAIN 2 1/2 TO 4 HOURS LATER
REFILLS (CIRCLE ONE): 0 1 2 (MAXIMUM OF 3 MONTH SUPPLY)
..... DATE: ...../...../.....
PRESCRIBER'S SIGNATURE

PHYSICIAN DECLARATION–PLEASE CHECK EACH BOX | TO BE COMPLETED AT INITIAL PRESCRIPTION ONLY

☐ I HAVE READ THE MATERIALS IN THE XYREM PHYSICIAN SUCCESS PROGRAM
☐ I VERIFY THAT THE PATIENT HAS BEEN EDUCATED WITH RESPECT TO XYREM PREPARATION, DOSING AND SCHEDULING.
☐ I UNDERSTAND THAT XYREM IS APPROVED FOR THE TREATMENT OF CATAPLEXY IN PATIENTS WITH NARCOLEPSY, AND THAT SAFETY OR EFFICACY HAS NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED FOR ANY OTHER INDICATION.
☐ I UNDERSTAND THAT THE SAFETY OF DOSES GREATER THAN 9gm/DAY HAS NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED

PATIENT INFORMATION

BEST TIME TO CONTACT PATIENT: ☐ DAY ☐ NIGHT
DAY #: ..... EVENING #: .....
INSURANCE COMPANY NAME: ..... PHONE #: .....
INSURED'S NAME: ..... RELATIONSHIP TO PATIENT: .....
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: ..... POLICY/GROUP NUMBER: .....
PRESCRIPTION CARD: ☐ NO ☐ YES IF YES, CARRIER: ..... POLICY #: ..... GROUP: .....

PLEASE ATTACH COPIES OF PATIENT'S INSURANCE CARDS

FAX COMPLETED FORM TO XYREM SUCCESS PROGRAM (TOLL-FREE) 1-866-470-1744
FOR INFORMATION, CALL THE XYREM TEAM (TOLL FREE) AT 1-866-XYREM88 (1-866-997-3688)

FIG. 9


1000
PATIENT ASSISTANCE APPLICATION REQUEST FORM
DATE:
TO: PATIENT ASSISTANCE ORGANIZATION
FROM: SDS
FAX #: 203-798-2291
PLEASE SEND A XYREM PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM APPLICATION TO:
PATIENT NAME
ADDRESS
TELEPHONE: ( )
PATIENT DOSAGE: (GRAMS) TWICE NIGHTLY FOR A TOTAL DOSAGE OF (GRAMS)
BOTTLES (THREE MONTHS SUPPLY)
BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

FIG. 10


SENSITIVE DRUG PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
1100
VOUCHER REQUEST FOR MEDICATION
PATIENT INFORMATION
<FIRST NAME><LAST NAME>
<ADDRESS 1>
<ADDRESS 2>
<CITY, STATE ZIP CODE>
PHONE: <123-456-7890
DOB: 01/01/1900
SSN: 123-45-6789
CASE CODE: ********
DRUG ALLOTMENT: 100%
LRD: 03/01/2001
PHYSICIAN INFORMATION
<PHYSICIAN NAME>
<ADDRESS 1>
<ADDRESS 2>
<CITY, STATE ZIP CODE>
PHONE: <123-456-7890
FIRST SHIPMENT THIS YEAR
DRUG
QUANTITY
XYREEM 180ml bll
1
VALIDATION DATE: 03/01/2001
EXPIRATION DATE: 05/31/2001
ISSUE DATE: 03/15/2001
APPROVED
PHARMACY USE
NORD COPY
(DETACH HERE)
PATIENT INFORMATION
<FIRST NAME><LAST NAME>
<ADDRESS 1>
<ADDRESS 2>
<CITY, STATE ZIP CODE>
PHONE: <123-456-7890
DOB: 01/01/1900
SSN: 123-45-6789
CASE CODE: ********
DRUG ALLOTMENT: 100%
LRD: 03/01/2001
PHYSICIAN INFORMATION
<PHYSICIAN NAME>
<ADDRESS 1>
<ADDRESS 2>
<CITY, STATE ZIP CODE>
PHONE: <123-456-7890
FIRST SHIPMENT THIS YEAR
DRUG
QUANTITY
XYREM 180ml bll
1
VALIDATION DATE: 03/01/2001
EXPIRATION DATE: 05/31/2001
ISSUE DATE: 03/15/2001
APPROVED
PHARMACY USE

FIG. 11


1200
SENSITIVE DRUG PHYSICIAN'S CERTIFICATE
OF MEDICAL NEED
PATIENT INFORMATION
DATE: .....
NAME: .....
LAST FIRST M
DATE OF BIRTH: .....
DRUG BEING PRESCRIBED: XYREM
DIAGNOSIS/CONDITION FOR WHICH DRUG IS BEING PRESCRIBED: .....
ICD-9: .....
PHYSICIAN INFORMATION
PHYSICIAN'S NAME (PLEASE PRINT): .....
PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE: ..... DATE: .....
PLEASE FAX BACK TO SENSITIVE DRUG SUCCESS PROGRAM: (1-800-TOLL FREE NUMBER)

FIG. 12

ACTIVITY REPORTS

	REPORT FREQUENCY		
	WEEKLY	MONTHLY	QUARTERLY
SALES			
Rx BY ZIP (NEW AND TOTAL)	X	X	X
Rx BY PHYSICIAN BY ZIP	X	X	
$ BY ZIP	X	X	X
REGULATORY			
# OF PHYSICIAN REGISTRIES		X	
# OF DENIED PHYSICIAN REGISTRIES AND REASON		X	
# OF COMPLETED PATIENT REGISTRIES		X	
# OF PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION & MANAGEMENT RISK DIVERSION REPORTS COMPLETED	X		
# OF CYCLE COUNTS PERFORMED & ACCURACY OF EACH		X	
QUALITY ASSURANCE			
# OF PRODUCT DEFECTS/COMPLAINTS REPORTED, TYPE AND LOT #		X	
CALL CENTER			
# OF CALLS RECEIVED		X	
# OF CALLS INITIATED		X	
# OF CALLS ANSWERED IN 30 SECONDS, ETC.		X	
PERCENTAGE OF CALLS ANSWERED IN 30 SECONDS		X	
# OF ABANDONED CALLS		X	
% OF ABANDONED CALLS		X	
AVERAGE CALL LENGTH		X	
PHARMACY			
# OF FAXED Rx/ENROLLMENT FORMS		X	
# OF MAILED Rx/ENROLLMENT FORMS		X	
# OF Rx'S SHIPPED WITH 1, 2, 3, 4 ETC. DAYS (FROM THE TIME INITIAL RECEIPT TO SHIPMENT OF Rx)		X	
# OF PATIENT SUCCESS PACKETS SHIPPED		X	

FIG. 13A

ACTIVITY REPORTS

PHARMACY		X	
# OF PHYSICIAN SUCCESS PACKETS SHIPPED		X	
# OF COMPLETED SHIPMENTS		X	
# OF INCOMPLETE SHIPMENTS AND REASON		X	
# OF SHIPPING ERRORS		X	
# OF PAP SHIPMENTS		X	
# OF PAP APPLICATIONS		X	
# OF PAP APPROVALS		X	
# OF CANCELED ORDERS		X	
# OF USPS ERRORS		X	
INVENTORY		X	
# OF RETURNED PRODUCTS AND REASON		X	
# OF OUTDATED BOTTLES OF PRODUCT		X	
INVENTORY COUNTS OF CONSIGNMENT & PRODUCTION INVENTORY		X	
# OF UNITS RECEIVED		X	
LOTS RECEIVED		X	
REIMBURSEMENT		X	
# OF PENDED AND WHY		X	
# OF APPROVALS		X	
# OF DENIALS		X	
# OF REJECTIONS		X	
PAYOR TYPES		X	

FIG. 13B

ACTIVITY REPORTS

PATIENT CARE		X	
# OF ADVERSE EVENTS REPORTED AND TYPE		X	
# OF ADVERSE EVENTS SENT TO OMI		X	
# OF DOSING PROBLEMS AND TYPE		X	
# OF NONCOMPLIANCE EPISODES AND REASON		X	
# OF PATIENT COUNSELED AND REASON		X	
# OF PATIENTS DISCONTINUED AND REASON		X	
PATIENT CARE		X	
# OF PATIENTS REFERRED TO PHYSICIAN AND REASON		X	
# OF ACTIVE PATIENTS		X	
# OF NEW PATIENTS		X	
# OF RESTART PATIENTS		X	
# OF DISCONTINUED PATIENTS AND REASON		X	
DRUG INFORMATION		X	
# OF DRUG INFORMATION REQUESTS AND TYPE		X	
# OF CALLS TRIAGED TO OMI		X	

FIG. 13C

SENSITIVE DRUG DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AND METHOD

RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a Division of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/013,680, filed on Jan. 25, 2011, which is a Continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 12/704,097, filed on Feb. 11, 2010 and issued on Feb. 22, 2011 as U.S. Pat. No. 7,895,059, which is a Continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 10/322,348, filed on Dec. 17, 2002 and issued on Feb. 23, 2010 as U.S. Pat. No. 7,668,730, which applications are incorporated by reference herein in their entirety.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to distribution of drugs, and in particular to the distribution of sensitive drugs.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Sensitive drugs are controlled to minimize risk and ensure that they are not abused, or cause adverse reactions. Such sensitive drugs are approved for specific uses by the Food and Drug Administration, and must be prescribed by a licensed physician in order to be purchased by consumers. Some drugs, such as cocaine and other common street drugs are the object of abuse and illegal schemes to distribute for profit. Some schemes include Dr. shopping, diversion, and pharmacy thefts. A locked cabinet or safe is a requirement for distribution of some drugs.

Certain agents, such as gamma hydroxy buterate (GHB) are also abused, yet also are effective for therapeutic purposes such as treatment of daytime cataplexy in patients with narcolepsy. Some patients however, will obtain prescriptions from multiple doctors, and have them filled at different pharmacies. Still further, an unscrupulous physician may actually write multiple prescriptions for a patient, or multiple patients, who use cash to pay for the drugs. These patients will then sell the drug to dealers or others for profit.

There is a need for a distribution system and method that directly addresses these abuses. There is a further need for such a system and method that provides education and limits the potential for such abuse.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A drug distribution system and method utilizes a central pharmacy and database to track all prescriptions for a sensitive drug. Information is kept in a central database regarding all physicians allowed to prescribe the sensitive drug, and all patients receiving the drug. Abuses are identified by monitoring data in the database for prescription patterns by physicians and prescriptions obtained by patients. Further verification is made that the physician is eligible to prescribe the drug by consulting a separate database for a valid DEA license, and optionally state medical boards to determine whether any corrective or approved disciplinary actions relating to controlled substances have been brought against the physician. Multiple controls beyond those for traditional drugs are imposed on the distribution depending on the sensitivity of the drug.

Education is provided to both physician and patient. Prior to shipping the drug for the first time, the patient is contacted to ensure that product and abuse related educational materials have been received and/or read. The patient may provide the name of a designee to the central pharmacy who is authorized to accept shipment of the drug. Receipt of the initial drug shipment is confirmed by contacting the patient. Either a phone call or other communication to the patient within a set time after delivery may be made to ensure receipt. Further, a courier service's tracking system is used to confirm delivery in further embodiments. If a shipment is lost, an investigation is launched to find it.

In one embodiment, the drug may be shipped by the central pharmacy to another pharmacy for patient pick-up. The second pharmacy's ability to protect against diversion before shipping the drug must be confirmed. This ability may be checked through NTIS and State Boards of Pharmacy.

Prescription refills are permitted in the number specified in the original prescription. In addition, if a prescription refill is requested by the patient prior to the anticipated due date, such refills will be questioned. A lost, stolen, destroyed or spilled prescription/supply is documented and replaced to the extent necessary to honor the prescription, and will also cause a review or full investigation.

The exclusive central database contains all relevant data related to distribution of the drug and process of distributing it, including patient, physician and prescription information. Several queries and reports are run against the database to provide information which might reveal potential abuse of the sensitive drug, such as early refills.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. **1** is a block diagram of a computer system for use in implementing the system and method of the present invention.

FIGS. **2**A, **2**B and **2**C are a flowchart describing a method for sensitive drug distribution at least partially utilizing a computer system such as that shown in FIG. **1**.

FIG. **3** is a flowchart of a physician success program at least partially implemented on a computer system such as that shown in FIG. **1**.

FIGS. **4**A and **4**B are a flowchart describing a method for handling refill requests at least partially utilizing a computer system such as that shown in FIG. **1**.

FIG. **5** is a flowchart of a process for requesting special reimbursement when a patient is uninsured or underinsured at least partially utilizing a computer system as that shown in FIG. **1**.

FIG. **6** is a flowchart of a process for inventory control at least partially utilizing a computer system such as that shown in FIG. **1**.

FIG. **7** is a block diagram of database fields.

FIG. **8** is a block diagram showing a list of queries against the database fields.

FIG. **9** is a copy of one example prescription and enrollment form.

FIG. **10** is a copy of one example of a NORD application request form for patient financial assistance.

FIG. **11** is a copy of one example voucher request for medication for use with the NORD application request form of FIG. **10**.

FIG. **12** is a copy of certificate of medical need.

FIGS. **13**A, **13**B and **13**C are descriptions of sample reports obtained by querying a central database having fields represented in FIG. **7**.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

In the following description, reference is made to the accompanying drawings that form a part hereof, and in which is shown by way of illustration specific embodiments in

which the invention may be practiced. These embodiments are described in sufficient detail to enable those skilled in the art to practice the invention, and it is to be understood that other embodiments may be utilized and that structural, logical and electrical changes may be made without departing from the scope of the present invention. The following description is, therefore, not to be taken in a limited sense, and the scope of the present invention is defined by the appended claims.

The functions or algorithms described herein are implemented in software or a combination of software and human implemented procedures in one embodiment. The software comprises computer executable instructions stored on computer readable media such as memory or other type of storage devices. The term "computer readable media" is also used to represent carrier waves on which the software is transmitted. Further, such functions correspond to modules, which are software, hardware, firmware of any combination thereof. Multiple functions are performed in one or more modules as desired, and the embodiments described are merely examples. The software is executed on a digital signal processor, ASIC, microprocessor, or other type of processor operating on a computer system, such as a personal computer, server or other computer system.

A sensitive drug is one which can be abused, or has addiction properties or other properties that render the drug sensitive. One example of such a drug is sodium oxybate, also known as gamma hydroxy butyrate (GHB $C_4H_7NaO_3$) which is useful for treatment of cataplexy in patients with narcolepsy. GHB is marketed under the trademark of Xyrem® (sodium oxybate oral solution), which trademark can be used interchangeably with GHB herein. Sensitive drugs also include narcotics or other drugs which require controls on their distribution and use to monitor behaviors to prevent abuse and adverse side effects.

In one embodiment, Xyrem® is subject to a restricted distribution program. One aspect of the program is to educate physicians and patients about the risks and benefits of Xyrem, including support via ongoing contact with patients and a toll free helpline. Initial prescriptions are filled only after a prescriber and patient have received and read the educational materials. Further, patient and prescribing physician registries are maintained and monitored to ensure proper distribution.

In a further embodiment, bulk sodium oxybate is manufactured at a single site, as is the finished drug product. Following manufacture of the drug product, it is stored at a facility compliant with FDA Schedule III regulations, where a consignment inventory is maintained. The inventory is owned by a company, and is managed by a central pharmacy, which maintains the consignment inventory. Xyrem® is distributed and dispensed through a primary and exclusive central pharmacy, and is not stocked in retail pharmacy outlets. It is distributed by overnight carriers, or by US mail in one embodiment to potentially invoke mail fraud laws if attempts of abuse occur.

FIG. **1** is a simplified block diagram of a computer system **100**, such as a personal computer for implementing at least a portion of the methods described herein. A central processing unit (CPU) **110** executes computer programs stored on a memory **120**. Memory **120** in one embodiment comprises one or more levels of cache as desired to speed execution of the program and access to data on which the programs operate. The CPU is directly coupled to memory **120** in one embodiment. Both CPU **110** and memory **120** are coupled to a bus **130**. A storage **140**, I/O **150** and communications **160** are also coupled to the bus **130**. Storage **140** is usually a long term storage device, such as a disk drive, tape drive, DVD, CD or other type of storage device. In one embodiment, storage **140** is used to house a database for use with the present invention. I/O **150** comprises keyboards, sound devices, displays and other mechanisms by which a user interacts with the computer system **100**. Communications **160** comprises a network, phone connection, local area network, wide area network or other mechanism for communicating with external devices. Such external devices comprise servers, other peer computers and other devices. In one embodiment, such external device comprises a database server that is used in place of the database on storage **140**. Other computer system architectures capable of executing software and interacting with a database and users may also be used. Appropriate security measures such as encryption are used to ensure confidentiality. Further, data integrity and backup measures are also used to prevent data loss.

FIGS. **2**A, **2**B and **2**C represent an initial prescription order entry process for a sensitive drug, such as Xyrem. At **202**, a medical doctor (MD) sends a Rx/enrollment form via mail, fax, email or other means to an intake/reimbursement specialist at **204**, who makes a copy of the RX/enrollment form that is stamped "copy". The original fax is forwarded to a pharmacy team. The enrollment form contains prescriber information, prescription information, checkboxes for the prescriber indicating they have read materials, educated the patient, understand the use in treatment, and understand certain safety information, and also contains patient information.

The prescriber information contains standard contact information as well as license number, DEA number and physician specialty. Patient and prescription information includes name, social security number, date of birth, gender, contact information, drug identification, patient's appropriate dosage, and number of refills allowed, along with a line for the prescriber's signature. Patient insurance information is also provided.

There are two workflows involved at the pharmacy team, intake reimbursement **206** and pharmacy workflow **208**, which may proceed in parallel or serially. The intake work flow **206** starts with an intake reimbursement specialist entering the patient and physician information into an application/database referred to as CHIPS, which is used to maintain a record of a client home infusion program (CHIP) for Xyrem®. A check is made to ensure the information is complete at **212**. If not, at **214**, an intake representative attempts to reach the MD or prescriber to obtain the missing information. If the missing information has not been obtained within a predetermined period of time, such as 24 hours at **216**, the Rx/Enrollment form is sent back to the MD with a rejection explanation. A note is entered in CHIPS that the application was rejected.

If the information is complete at **212**, the MD is contacted at **220** to verify receipt and accuracy of the patient's Rx. This contact is recorded in CHIPS. The intake and reimbursement specialist then sends a consent form and a cover letter to the patient at **224**. The insurance provider is contacted at **226** to verify coverage and benefits. At **228**, a determination is made regarding coverage for the drug. If it is not available, it is determined at **230** whether the patient is willing and able to pay. If not, a process is performed for handling patients who are uninsured or underinsured. In one embodiment, the process is referred to as a NORD process.

If the patient is willing and able to pay at **230**, the patient is informed of the cost of the product and is given payment options at **234**. At **236**, once payment is received, the intake reimbursement specialist submits a coverage approval form with the enrollment form to the pharmacy team as notification to process the patient's prescription. If coverage is approved

at **228**, the intake reimbursement specialist also submits the coverage approval form with the enrollment form to the pharmacy team as notification to process the patient's prescription. Processing of the prescription is described below.

Upon receipt and initial processing of the prescription enrollment form and sending an original to the pharmacy work flow block **208**, the patient is shipped a Xyrem® success packet via mail. In one embodiment, the Xyrem® success packet contains educational material for a patient that advises of the proper use, care and handling of the drug and consequences of diversion at **268**. The medical doctor's credentials are checked to determine if the physician has a current DEA license to prescribe controlled substances and if he or she has had any actions related to misuse/misprescribing of controlled drugs against him or her, within a predetermined time, such as three months at **270**. If they have, a pharmacist holds the prescription until receiving a coverage approval form from the intake reimbursement specialist at **272**.

If the credentials have not been recently checked, the pharmacist verifies the credentials and enters all findings in the database at **274**. If the credentials are approved at **276**, the physician is indicated as approved in a physician screen populated by information from the database at **280**. The prescription is then held pending coverage approval at **282**.

If any disciplinary actions are identified, as referenced at block **278**, management of the pharmacy is notified and either approves processing of the prescription with continued monitoring of the physician, or processing of the prescription is not performed, and the physician is noted in the database as unapproved at **284**. The enrollment form is then mailed back to the physician with a cover letter reiterating that the prescription cannot be processed at **288**. The patient is also sent a letter at **290** indicating that the prescription cannot be processed and the patient is instructed to contact their physician.

Actual filling of the approved prescription begins with receipt of the coverage approval form as indicated at **240**. The patient is contacted by the pharmacy, such as by a technician to complete a technician section of a patient counseling checklist. If a pharmacist verifies that the program materials were not read at **242**, the receipt of the material is confirmed at **244** and another call is scheduled to counsel the patient before the drug is shipped.

If the program materials, were read at **242**, the checklist is completed at **246** and the technician transfers the patient to the pharmacist who reviews the entire checklist and completes remaining pharmacist specified sections. At **248**, the pharmacists indicates in the database that the patient counseling and checklist was successfully completed, indicating the date completed.

At **250**, the pharmacist schedules the patient's shipment for the next business day or the next business day that the patient or designee is able to sign for the package. Further, as indicated at **252**, the shipment must be sent to the patient's home address unless the patient is traveling or has moved. In that event, the pharmacist may determine that an exception may be made. The patient or the patient's designee who is at least 18 years old, must sign for the package upon delivery.

At **254**, the pharmacist enters the prescription order in the database, creating an order number. The pharmacist then verifies at **256** the prescription and attaches a verification label to the hard copy prescription. At **258**, a pick ticket is generated for the order and the order is forwarded to the pharmacy for fulfillment. The shipment is confirmed in the database at **260**, and the order is shipped by USPS Express Mail. Use of the US mail invokes certain criminal penalties for unauthorized diversion. Optionally, other mail services may be used. Potential changes in the law may also bring criminal penalties into play. Following shipment, the patient is called by the central pharmacy to confirm that the prescription was received.

As noted at **266**, for the sensitive drug, Xyrem, all inventory is cycle counted and reconciled with the database system quantities before shipments for the day are sent. This provides a very precise control of the inventory.

A physician success program materials request process begins at **310** in FIG. **3**. At **320**, the MD calls to the central pharmacy to request program materials. A special phone number is provided. MD demographics, DEA number, and data or request are entered into the database at **330**. At **340**, a request is made to ship the materials to the MD via a fulfillment website, or other mechanism. The request process ends at **350**.

A refill request process begins at **302** in FIGS. **4**A and **4**B. There are two different paths for refills. A first path beginning at **404** involves generating a report from the central database of patients with a predetermined number of days or product remaining. A second path beginning at **406** is followed when a patient calls to request an early refill.

In the first path, a copy of the report is provided to an intake reimbursement specialist at **408**. No sooner than 8 days before the medication depletion, a pharmacy technician contacts the patient at **410** to complete the pre-delivery checklist. At **412**, if the patient is not reached, a message is left mentioning the depletion, and a return number at **414**. A note is also entered into the database indicating the date the message was left at **416**.

If the patient is reached at **412**, the next shipment is scheduled at **418**, the prescription is entered into the database creating an order at **420**, the pharmacist verifies the prescription and attaches a verification label at **422** and the shipment is confirmed in the database at **424**. Note at **426** that the inventory is cycle counted and reconciled with the database quantities before the shipments for a day or other time period are sent. A pick ticket is generated for the order and the order is forwarded for fulfillment at **428**, with the first path ending at **430**.

The second path, beginning at **406** results in a note code being entered into the database on a patient screen indicating an early refill request at **432**. The pharmacist evaluates the patient's compliance with therapy or possible product diversion, misuse or over-use at **436**. In one embodiment, cash payers are also identified. The pharmacist then contacts the prescribing physician to alert them of the situation and confirm if the physician approves of the early refill at **438**. If the physician does not approve as indicated at **440**, the patient must wait until the next scheduled refill date to receive additional product as indicated at **442**, and the process ends at **444**.

If the physician approves at **440**, the pharmacist enters a note in the database on a patient screen that the physician approves the request at **446**. The pharmacist notifies an intake reimbursement specialist to contact the patient's insurance provider to verify coverage for the early refill at **448**. If the insurance provider will pay as determined at **450**, the specialist submits the coverage approval form as notification that the refill may be processed at **452**. At **454**, the pharmacy technician contacts the patient to schedule shipment of the product for the next business day, and the process of filling the order is continued at **456** by following the process beginning at **240**.

If the insurance provider will not pay at **450**, it is determined whether the patient is willing and/or able to pay at **458**. If not, the patient must wait until the next scheduled refill date to receive additional product at **460**. If it was determined at **458** that the patient was willing and able to pay, the patient is informed of the cost of the product and is given payment

options at **462**. Once payment is received as indicated at **464**, the specialist submits a coverage approval form to the pharmacy team as notification that the refill request can be processed at **466**. At **468**, the pharmacy technician contacts the patient to schedule shipment. The process of filling the order is continued at **470** by following the process beginning at **240**.

A process, referred to as a NORD process in one embodiment is used to determine whether donated, third party funds are available for paying for prescriptions where neither insurance will, nor the patient can pay. The process begins at **510** upon determining that a patient is uninsured or underinsured. A reimbursement specialist explains the NORD program to the patient and faxes an application request form to NORD for the patient. At **515**, the intake reimbursement specialist documents in the database that an application has been received through NORD. At **520**, NORD mails an application to the patient within one business day.

A determination is made at **525** by NORD whether the patient is approved. If not, at **530**, NORD sends a denial letter to the patient, and it is documented in the database at **540** that the patient was denied by NORD. If the patient is approved, NORD sends an acceptance letter to the patient and faxes a voucher to the central pharmacy (SDS in one embodiment) to indicate the approval at **545**. At **550**, an intake reimbursement specialist submits a coverage approval form to the pharmacy team as notification that the patient has been approved for coverage. The process of filling the order is continued at **555** by following the process beginning at **240**.

An inventory control process is illustrated in FIG. **6** beginning at **610**. Each week, a responsible person at the central pharmacy, such as the director of the pharmacy transfers inventory for the week's shipments to a segregated warehouse location for production inventory. At **620**, a purchase order is generated for the inventory transferred to the production location and is sent, such as by fax, to a controller, such as the controller of the company that obtained approval for distribution and use of the sensitive drug. At **630**, the controller invoices the central pharmacy for the product moved to production. The process ends at **640**.

The central database described above is a relational database running on the system of FIG. **1**, or a server based system having a similar architecture coupled to workstations via a network, as represented by communications **160**. The database is likely stored in storage **140**, and contains multiple fields of information as indicated at **700** in FIG. **7**. The organization and groupings of the fields are shown in one format for convenience. It is recognized that many different organizations or schemas may be utilized. In one embodiment, the groups of fields comprise prescriber fields **710**, patient fields **720**, prescription fields **730** and insurance fields **740**. For purposes of illustration, all the entries described with respect to the above processes are included in the fields. In further embodiments, no such groupings are made, and the data is organized in a different manner.

Several queries are illustrated at **800** in FIG. **8**. There may be many other queries as required by individual state reporting requirements. A first query at **810** is used to identify prescriptions written by physician. The queries may be written in structured query language, natural query languages or in any other manner compatible with the database. A second query **820** is used to pull information from the database related to prescriptions by patient name. A third query **830** is used to determine prescriptions by frequency, and a n^{th} query finds prescriptions by dose at **840**. Using query languages combined with the depth of data in the central database allows many other methods of investigating for potential abuse of the drugs. The central database ensures that all prescriptions, prescribers and patients are tracked and subject to such investigations. In further embodiments, the central database may be distributed among multiple computers provided a query operates over all data relating to such prescriptions, prescribers and patients for the drug.

An example of one prescription and enrollment form is shown at **900** in FIG. **9**. As previously indicated, several fields are included for prescriber information, prescription information and patient information.

FIG. **10** is a copy of one example NORD application request form **1000** used to request that an application be sent to a patient for financial assistance.

FIG. **11** is a copy of one example application **1100** for financial assistance as requested by form **1000**. The form requires both patient and physician information. Social security number information is also requested. The form provides information for approving the financial assistance and for tracking assistance provided.

FIG. **12** is a copy of one example voucher request for medication for use with the NORD application request form of FIG. **10**. In addition to patient and physician information, prescription information and diagnosis information is also provided.

FIGS. **13**A, **13**B and **13**C are descriptions of sample reports obtained by querying a central database having fields represented in FIG. **7**. The activities grouped by sales, regulatory, quality assurance, call center, pharmacy, inventory, reimbursement, patient care and drug information. Each report has an associated frequency or frequencies. The reports are obtained by running queries against the database, with the queries written in one of many query languages.

While the invention has been described with respect to a Schedule III drug, it is useful for other sensitive drugs that are DEA or Federally scheduled drugs in Schedule II-V, as well as still other sensitive drugs where multiple controls are desired for distribution and use.

The invention claimed is:

1. A method of treatment of a narcoleptic patient with a prescription drug while controlling potential misuse, abuse or diversion of said prescription drug, comprising:

receiving in a computer processor all prescription requests, for any and all narcoleptic patients being prescribed the prescription drug, wherein the prescription drug is distributed by a company that obtained approval for distribution of the prescription drug, only at an exclusive central pharmacy from any and all medical doctors allowed to prescribe the company's prescription drug, the prescription requests containing information identifying narcoleptic patients, the prescription drug, and various credentials of the any and all medical doctors;

requiring entering of the information into an exclusive computer database associated with the exclusive central pharmacy for analysis of potential abuse situations, wherein the exclusive central pharmacy and the exclusive central database are the only pharmacy and database in existence for the company's prescription drug, and such that all prescriptions for the company's prescription drug are processed only by the exclusive central pharmacy using only the exclusive computer database;

checking with the computer processor the credentials of the any and all doctors to determine the eligibility of the doctors to prescribe the company's prescription drug;

confirming with a narcoleptic patient that educational material has been read prior to shipping the company's prescription drug;

checking the exclusive computer database for potential abuse of the company's prescription drug, wherein the

exclusive central pharmacy and the exclusive central database facilitate a determination of the potential abuse of the company's prescription drug;

providing the company's prescription drug to the narcoleptic patient only if no potential abuse is found by the narcoleptic patient to whom the company's prescription drug is prescribed and the doctor prescribing the company's prescription drug;

confirming receipt by the narcoleptic patient of the company's prescription drug; and

generating with the computer processor periodic reports via the exclusive computer database to evaluate potential diversion patterns.

2. The method of claim **1**, wherein one or more of the exclusive central pharmacy and the exclusive central database are distributed over multiple computers, and wherein a query operates over all data in all the distributed databases relating to the prescriptions, the doctors, and the narcoleptic patients.

3. The method of claim **1**, wherein the providing the company's prescription drug to the narcoleptic patient comprises the exclusive central pharmacy authorizing the company's prescription drug to be dispensed to the narcoleptic patient by another pharmacy.

4. The method of claim **1**, comprising delivering the company's prescription drug to the narcoleptic patient in order to treat the narcoleptic patient with the company's prescription drug.

5. The method of claim **1**, wherein the exclusive central pharmacy enters data into the exclusive computer database.

6. The method of claim **1**, comprising selectively blocking shipment of the company's prescription drug to the narcoleptic patient.

7. The method of claim **1**, wherein an abuse pattern is associated with the narcoleptic patient, and shipment of the company's prescription drug is blocked based upon such association.

8. The computerized method of claim **1**, wherein the company's prescription drug comprises a gamma hydroxy butyrate (GHB) drug product.

9. A method of treatment of a narcoleptic patient with a prescription drug while controlling potential misuse, abuse or diversion of said prescription drug, comprising:

receiving in a computer processor all prescription requests, for any and all narcoleptic patients being prescribed the prescription drug, wherein the prescription drug inventory is owned by a company, only at an exclusive central pharmacy from any and all medical doctors allowed to prescribe the company's prescription drug, the prescription requests containing information identifying narcoleptic patients, the prescription drug, and various credentials of the any and all medical doctors;

requiring entering of the information into an exclusive computer database associated with the exclusive central pharmacy for analysis of potential abuse situations, wherein the exclusive central pharmacy and the exclusive central database are the only pharmacy and database in existence for the company's prescription drug, and such that all prescriptions for the company's prescription drug are processed only by the exclusive central pharmacy using only the exclusive computer database;

checking with the computer processor the credentials of the any and all doctors to determine the eligibility of the doctors to prescribe the company's prescription drug;

confirming with a narcoleptic patient that educational material has been read prior to shipping the company's prescription drug;

checking the exclusive computer database for potential abuse of the company's prescription drug, wherein the exclusive central pharmacy and the exclusive central database facilitate a determination of the potential abuse of the company's prescription drug;

providing the company's prescription drug to the narcoleptic patient only if no potential abuse is found by the narcoleptic patient to whom the company's prescription drug is prescribed and the doctor prescribing the company's prescription drug;

confirming receipt by the narcoleptic patient of the company's prescription drug; and

generating with the computer processor periodic reports via the exclusive computer database to evaluate potential diversion patterns.

10. The method of claim **9**, wherein one or more of the exclusive central pharmacy and the exclusive central database are distributed over multiple computers, and wherein a query operates over all data in all the distributed databases relating to the prescriptions, the doctors, and the narcoleptic patients.

11. The method of claim **9**, wherein the providing the company's prescription drug to the narcoleptic patient comprises the exclusive central pharmacy authorizing the company's prescription drug to be dispensed to the narcoleptic patient by another pharmacy.

12. The method of claim **9**, comprising delivering the company's prescription drug to the narcoleptic patient in order to treat the narcoleptic patient with the company's prescription drug.

13. The method of claim **9**, wherein the exclusive central pharmacy enters data into the exclusive computer database.

14. The method of claim **9**, wherein an abuse pattern is associated with the narcoleptic patient, and shipment of the company's prescription drug is blocked based upon such association.

15. The method of claim **9**, wherein the company's prescription drug comprises a gamma hydroxy butyrate (GHB) drug product.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 8,457,988 B1
APPLICATION NO. : 13/595757
DATED : June 4, 2013
INVENTOR(S) : Reardan et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

On the Title page, in column 2, under "(57) Abstract", line 4, after "physicians", insert --who are--, therefor

Title page 3, in column 1, under "Other Publications", line 42, delete "Noninfringement" and insert --Non-Infringement--, therefor

Title page 3, in column 2, under "Other Publications", line 9, after "Jersey", insert --)--, therefor

Title page 3, in column 2, under "Other Publications", line 13, after "Jersey", insert --)--, therefor

Title page 3, in column 2, under "Other Publications", line 32, delete "Inital" and insert --Initial--, therefor

Title page 3, in column 2, under "Other Publications", line 36, delete "Sodiium" and insert --Sodium--, therefor

Title page 3, in column 2, under "Other Publications", line 39, delete "Sodiium" and insert --Sodium--, therefor

In the Drawings

On Sheet 2 of 16, Fig. 2A, reference numeral 204, line 1, delete "MAKE" and insert --MAKES--, therefor

On sheet 2 of 16, Fig. 2A, reference numeral 210, line 2, delete "INIO" and insert --INTO--, therefor

On sheet 2 of 16, Fig. 2A, reference numeral 216, line 3, delete "LETIER" and insert --LETTER--, therefor

Signed and Sealed this
Twenty-sixth Day of November, 2013

Margaret A. Focarino

Margaret A. Focarino
Commissioner for Patents of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

On sheet 4 of 16, Fig. 2C, reference numeral 250, line 1, delete "SCHEDULE" and insert --SCHEDULES--, therefor

On sheet 4 of 16, Fig. 2C, reference numeral 252, line 1, delete "PATIENTS" and insert --PATIENT'S--, therefor

On sheet 4 of 16, Fig. 2C, reference numeral 266, line 3, delete "DAYS" and insert --DAY'S--, therefor

On sheet 7 of 16, Fig. 4B, reference numeral 458, line 2, delete "WILL" and insert --WILLING--, therefor

On sheet 9 of 16, Fig. 6, reference numeral 236, line 1, delete "236" and insert --610--, therefor

On sheet 9 of 16, Fig. 6, reference numeral 236, line 6, delete "236" and insert --620--, therefor

On sheet 9 of 16, Fig. 6, reference numeral 236, line 11, delete "236" and insert --630--, therefor

On sheet 9 of 16, Fig. 6, reference numeral 350, line 14, delete "350" and insert --640--, therefor

On sheet 10 of 16, Fig. 9, line 6, delete "SPECIALTY" and insert --SPECIALITY--, therefor

On sheet 12 of 16, Fig. 11, line 12, delete "XYREEM" and insert --XYREM--, therefor

On sheet 14 of 16, Fig. 13A, line 2, delete "Rx/ENROLLEMENT" and insert --Rx/ENROLLMENT--, therefor

In the Specification

In column 3, line 35, delete "subject" and insert --subjected--, therefor

In column 3, line 61, after "speed", insert --the--, therefor

In column 4, line 21, delete "RX/enrollment" and insert --Rx/enrollment--, therefor

In column 4, line 37, delete "206" and insert --210--, therefor

In column 4, line 39, delete "206" and insert --210--, therefor

In column 5, line 10, before "the proper", delete "of", therefor

In column 5, line 24, after "held", insert --for--, therefor

In column 6, line 12, delete "data or" and insert --date of--, therefor

In column 6, line 16, delete "302" and insert --402--, therefor

In column 8, line 1, delete "subject" and insert --subjected--, therefor