

Ethan Blevins, Mont. Bar No. 37415893  
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION  
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
EBlevins@pacificlegal.org  
Telephone: (916) 419-7111

*Attorney for Plaintiff Do No Harm*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA  
HELENA DIVISION**

DO NO HARM,

Plaintiff,

v.

GREGORY GIANFORTE, in his  
official capacity as Governor of  
the State of Montana,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.: \_\_\_\_\_

COMPLAINT

## INTRODUCTION

1. Montana has dozens of state boards and commissions on which Montanans volunteer to serve the citizens of the state. While most eligibility requirements are designed to ensure qualified individuals are appointed to serve, an individual's candidacy also depends on factors outside of his or her control, like race and gender. Indeed, for nearly 33 years Montana governors have been required to consider an individual's race and gender when making appointments to the state's boards, commissions, committees, and councils.

2. The Montana Board of Medical Examiners is one such board. It regulates, licenses, and investigates health professionals in Montana to ensure they meet the high standards of the profession. Service on the Board generally requires that an individual be a licensed and practicing health professional in good standing in Montana for at least one year. However, state law also requires the Governor to consider the race and gender of potential members when making appointments to the Board.

3. Such blatant discrimination against individuals who could sit on the Board serves no legitimate government purpose. It is demeaning, patronizing, un-American, and unconstitutional.

4. Do No Harm is an organization of over 6,000 medical professionals, students, and policymakers dedicated to eliminating discrimination in healthcare. It has at least one member who is qualified, ready, willing, and able to be appointed to the Board. It brings this lawsuit to vindicate its members' constitutional rights, to ensure that every qualified doctor of medicine in Montana has the equal right to serve on the Board, and to ensure that Montanans are regulated by a Board that is not selected on the basis of race and gender.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. This action arises under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This Court has jurisdiction over this federal claim under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (federal question) and 1343(a) (redress for deprivation of civil rights). Declaratory relief is authorized by the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201–2202.

6. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because Defendant resides in this district, and under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred or will occur in this district.

## PARTIES

7. Plaintiff Do No Harm is a national nonprofit corporation headquartered in Glen Allen, Virginia. It is a membership organization of over 6,000 medical professionals, students, and policymakers. Its mission is focused on keeping divisive identity politics out of healthcare. Do No Harm's membership includes at least one individual who is a resident of this state, at least 18 years of age, and currently practicing as a doctor of medicine with an active physician license in good standing in this state for at least one year.

8. Specifically, Do No Harm Member "A" is a licensed dermatologist in Montana residing and practicing dermatology in Flathead County. She is a female who is not a member of a racial minority and is over 18 years of age. Member A has practiced as a dermatologist for 31 years. Member A is qualified, ready, willing, and able to be appointed to the Board of Medical Examiners.

9. Defendant Greg Gianforte is the Governor of the State of Montana. Governor Gianforte is required by Montana law to make all appointments to the Board of Medical Examiners. Mont. Code § 2-15-108(1) requires Governor Gianforte to consider gender and race when

making appointments to the Board. Governor Gianforte is sued in his official capacity.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

### **History of Gender and Racial Mandate on Montana Boards**

10. In 1989, the Montana legislature passed House Joint Resolution No. 28 “urging that all appointive boards, commissions, committees, and councils of the state be gender-balanced.”

11. Of concern to the 51st Legislature was the lack of an accurate “reflect[ion of] the contributions of all Montanans in public policymaking” on the basis of gender. According to the Joint Resolution, less than one-fourth of the various boards in the state were gender-balanced at the time.

12. In 1991, the 52nd Legislature considered HB 424, a bill that directed “all appointing authorities,” including the governor, “of all appointive boards, commissions, committees, and councils of state government” to “take positive action to attain gender balance and proportional representation of minorities resident in Montana to the greatest extent possible.”

13. Committee testimony revealed that the chief concern of lawmakers in considering HB 424 was a lack of gender balance on state boards and commissions due to alleged “bias.”

14. Throughout the legislative discussions, the governmental interest asserted was a desire for equal representation for women and racial minorities on boards and commissions.

15. HB 424 was enacted and is now codified at Mont. Code § 2-15-108.

### **The Board of Medical Examiners**

16. The Board of Medical Examiners was first established in 1889 to regulate the practice of medicine in Montana.

17. “To protect the health, safety and well being of Montana citizens,” the Board regulates the delivery of healthcare, licenses qualified health professionals, investigates allegations of misconduct, and disciplines health professionals that violate the Board’s rules or regulations.

18. The Board is comprised of 12 members appointed by the Governor. Five of those members must be doctors of medicine, “including one with experience in emergency medicine, none of whom may be from

the same county.” Mont. Code § 2-15-1731(2)(a). A qualified doctor must also be a Montana resident, at least 18 years old, and currently practicing medicine with an active Montana medical license in good standing for at least one year. Mont. Code § 37-1-123(4)(a).

19. The remaining seven seats on the Board must be filled with one doctor of osteopathy, one podiatrist, one nutritionist, one physician assistant, one emergency care provider, and two public members. Mont. Code § 2-15-1731(2)(b)–(g).

20. When making appointments to the Board, the Governor is required to “take positive action to attain gender balance and proportional representation of minorities resident in Montana to the greatest extent possible.” Mont. Code § 2-15-108(1).

21. So long as the mandates for gender balance and racial proportionality remain in place, Do No Harm Member A will not receive equal consideration for openings on the Board.

## **Appointments to the Board**

22. Two doctor of medicine seats on the Board opened in September 2023.<sup>1</sup> No appointments to fill those slots have been made.

23. Another doctor of medicine seat on the Board will open in September 2024, with another in September 2025, and yet another in September 2026.

24. Openings can also occur unpredictably in the event of a resignation or death of a Board member.

25. The Governor makes appointments to the Board at unpredictable times.

26. The 10 filled and unexpired Board seats are held by six women and four men. In order to maintain gender balance in compliance with Mont. Code § 2-15-108(1), the Governor must appoint two men to the current openings.

27. Only one of the 10 filled and unexpired seats on the Board is held by a member of a minority group. To achieve “proportional representation of minorities present in Montana,” § 2-15-108(1), the

---

<sup>1</sup> The three doctor-of-medicine Board members with unexpired terms reside in Gallatin, Hill, and Yellowstone Counties.



Governor must appoint one member of a minority group to one of the two openings.

28. Do No Harm has at least one member who is qualified, willing, and able to be appointed to the Board for the current doctor of medicine vacancies but for section 2-15-108's gender and racial balance mandates.

## **CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

### **Cause of Action**

#### **Mont. Code § 2-15-108(1) Violates the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution**

29. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs.

30. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff, its members, and Defendant. Plaintiff's members have the right to seek appointment to the Board of Medical Examiners free from consideration of their gender and race.

31. The Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution commands that "[n]o State shall ... deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." U.S. Const. amend. XIV, § 1.

32. Mont. Code § 2-15-108(1) requires the Governor to consider and make decisions on the basis of gender and race of potential Board members when making appointments to the Board of Medical Examiners.

33. Governmental classifications on the basis of race violate the Equal Protection Clause unless they are narrowly tailored to a compelling governmental interest.

34. The racial mandate in section 2-15-108(1) does not serve a compelling government interest.

35. The racial mandate in section 2-15-108(1) does not remediate any specific instances of racial discrimination that violated the Constitution or statutes.

36. Even if the racial mandate in section 2-15-108(1) served a compelling government interest, it is not narrowly tailored to remediating past, intentional discrimination.

37. Governmental classifications on the basis of gender violate the Equal Protection Clause unless they are substantially related to important governmental objectives.

38. The gender mandate in section 2-15-108(1) does not serve an important governmental objective.

39. Gender balance for the sake of gender balance is not an important governmental objective and section 2-15-108(1) does not remediate any specific instances of gender discrimination that violated the Constitution or statutes.

40. Even if the gender mandate in section 2-15-108(1) served an important governmental objective, it is not substantially related to remediating past, intentional discrimination.

41. The mandates in section 2-15-108(1) stereotype individuals on the basis of race and gender, treat all individuals of different races and genders as fungible, mandate racial proportionality, require gender balancing, and have no end date.

42. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to compensate for the loss of its members' equal protection rights and will suffer irreparable injury absent an injunction prohibiting Defendant's enforcement of section 2-15-108(1)'s gender and racial mandates.

43. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to prospective declaratory and permanent injunctive relief against continued enforcement of section 2-15-108(1).

### **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

A. A declaration that the gender and racial mandates in Mont. Code § 2-15-108(1) violate the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution;

B. A permanent injunction forbidding Defendant and Defendant's agents from enforcing, or attempting to enforce, the gender and racial mandates in Mont. Code § 2-15-108(1);

C. An award of attorney fees, costs, and expenses in this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988; and

D. Any further relief as the Court may deem just, necessary, or proper.

DATED: March 12, 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ethan W. Blevins

Ethan W. Blevins

Mont. Bar No. 37415893

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290

Sacramento, CA 95814

Telephone: (916) 419-7111

Facsimile: (916) 419-7477

Email: EBlevins@pacificlegal.org

*Attorney for Plaintiff Do No Harm*