

\*\* TEXT ONLY ORDER \*\* The court stayed this case pending the outcome of plaintiffs' petition for interlocutory appeal. Dkt. 236. That petition has been denied, Dkt. 237, so the stay is LIFTED. In light of the very short length of the stay, the court proposes that the schedule be reset using the most recent trial date, see Dkt. 175, as that still works for the court and, absent some recent developments, should still work for the parties. Also, because the court has simplified the case by denying class certification, the interim deadlines can be reset with slightly later dates and slightly shorter briefing schedules. The court is prepared to enter the following schedule absent well-founded objections from the parties: Dispositive motions due February 25, with a 28 / 14-day briefing schedule. Discovery cut-off on July 10, and settlement letters due July 17. All pretrial filings due July 24, with responses due 14 days later. First final pretrial conference on August 26 at 2:30 p.m., and second final pretrial conference on September 2 at 2:30 p.m. This schedule adheres to the court's typical spacing between dispositive motions and trial, which means there is very little wiggle room for extensions. Because the court of appeals issued its order on January 16, the parties' status report to this court is due January 23. See Dkt. 236. Plaintiffs have requested an extension, Dkt. 238, which the court will GRANT to allow the parties some additional time to address this order. The parties are ORDERED to submit a joint status report by January 30 and to address this proposed schedule in their report. Signed by Magistrate Judge Anita Marie Boor on 1/22/2026. (voc) (Entered: 01/22/2026)