

This court recently denied plaintiffs' motion for class certification after excluding the antitrust damages model proposed by plaintiffs' econometrics expert Jeffrey Leitzinger. Dkt. 230 . Plaintiffs now move for leave to file a supplemental expert report, contending that the deficiencies the court identified in the model are fixable. Dkt. 231 . Plaintiffs' motion will be denied. The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do "not authorize an expert to forage around for further support for his opinions" after the court has excluded them. See *Thoroughman v. Wisconsin Cent., Ltd.*, No. 15-cv-74-jdp, 2020 WL 6781729, at \*1 (W.D. Wis. Nov. 3, 2020). Nor is the court persuaded that Leitzinger could not have addressed the deficiencies in his model earlier. The court excluded the model largely because of inconsistencies in Leitzinger's own explanation of his model in his initial report compared with his rebuttal report, so its implausible that Leitzinger didn't know about these problems when he wrote the rebuttal report. Signed by District Judge James D. Peterson on 12/30/2025. (voc) (Entered: 12/30/2025)