# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

Nos. 21-3167, 21-3379

SANOFI AVENTIS U.S. LLC, Appellant in No. 21-3167

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; SECRE-TARY, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; GENERAL COUNSEL, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH HUMAN SERVICES; HEALTH RESOURCES SERVICES ADMINISTRATION; ADMINIS-TRATOR OF THE HEALTH RESOURCES SERVICES ADMINISTRATION, Appellants in No. 21-3379

> On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (D.C. No. 3:21-cv-00634) Chief District Judge: Honorable Freda L. Wolfson

> > Nos. 21-3168, 21-3380

NOVO NORDISK INC.; NOVO NORDISK PHARMA, INC. Appellants in No. 21-3168

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; SECRE-TARY, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; GENERAL COUNSEL, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HU-MAN SERVICES; HEALTH RESOURCES SERVICES ADMINISTRATION; AD-MINISTRATOR OF THE HEALTH RESOURCES SERVICES ADMINISTRATION, Appellants in No. 21-3380

> On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (D.C. No. 3:21-cv-00806)

Chief District Judge: Honorable Freda L. Wolfson

No. 22-1676

#### ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP

v.

SECRETARY, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SER-VICES; GENERAL COUNSEL, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH HU-MAN SERVICES; ADMINISTRATOR OF THE HEALTH RESOURCES AND SER-VICES ADMINISTRATION; UNITED STATES DEPARTMNET OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES ADMINISTRATION, Appellants

> On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware (D.C. No. 1:21-cv-00027) District Judge: Honorable Leonard P. Stark

> > Argued: November 15, 2022

Before: AMBRO, KRAUSE, and BIBAS, Circuit Judges

### JUDGMENT

This cause came to be considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware and the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey and was argued on November 15, 2022.

On consideration whereof, it is now **ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** that the District Court of Delaware's judgment entered on March 11, 2022, and the District of New Jersey's judgment entered on November 5, 2021, are hereby **AFFIRMED IN PART** and **RE-VERSED IN PART**. We **REMAND** with instructions to enjoin the agency from enforcing against these three drug makers its reading of Section 340B as requiring delivery of discounted drugs to an unlimited number of contract pharmacies. We also instruct the District Courts to enter declaratory relief to that effect. Costs will not be taxed. All of the above in accordance with the Opinion of this Court.

ATTEST:

<u>s/ Patricia S. Dodszuweit</u> Clerk

Dated: January 30, 2023

Case: 21-3168 Document: 84-2 Page: 1 Date Filed: 01/30/2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

# PATRICIA S. DODSZUWEIT

CLERK



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS 21400 UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE 601 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-1790 Website: www.ca3.uscourts.gov

TELEPHONE 215-597-2995

January 30, 2023

Daniel J. Aguilar United States Department of Justice Civil Division 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Room 7266 Washington, DC 20530

Cory L. Andrews Washington Legal Foundation 2009 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Steven L. Bennet Frier & Levitt 84 Bloomfield Avenue Suite A Pine Brook, NJ 07058

Nicole E. Bronnimann King & Spalding 1100 Louisiana Street Suite 4100 Houston, TX 77002

Toni-Ann Citera Jones Day 250 Vesey Street 13th Floor New York, NY 10281

Ronald S. Connelly Powers Pyles Sutter & Verville 1501 M Street NW Seventh Floor Washington, DC 20005 Israel Dahan King & Spalding 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

Noel J. Francisco Jones Day 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001

Matthew S. Freedus Feldesman Tucker Leifer & Fidell 1129 20th Street, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036

Jeffrey L. Handwerker Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer 601 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Suite 1121 Washington, DC 20001

Allon Kedem Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer 601 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Suite 1121 Washington, DC 20001

Clare E. Kindall Office of Attorney General of Connecticut 165 Capitol Avenue Hartford, CT 06141

Alisa B. Klein United States Department of Justice Civil Division 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20530

Jonathan E. Levitt Frier & Levitt 84 Bloomfield Avenue Suite A Pine Brook, NJ 07058

John M. Masslon II

Washington Legal Foundation 2009 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Conor R. McCabe Frier & Levitt 84 Bloomfield Avenue Suite A Pine Brook, NJ 07058

Todd Mizeski Frier & Levitt 84 Bloomfield Avenue Suite A Pine Brook, NJ 07058

Rajeev Muttreja Jones Day 250 Vesey Street 13th Floor New York, NY 10281

Ashley C. Parrish King & Spalding 1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, DC 20006

Sally L. Pei Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer 601 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Suite 1121 Washington, DC 20001

Andrew D. Prins Latham & Watkins 555 11th Street, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20004

Christopher S. Ross Sidley Austin 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

William A. Sarraille

Sidley Austin 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

William B. Schultz Zuckerman Spaeder 1800 M Street, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036

John D. Shakow King & Spalding 1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, DC 20006

Brett A. Shumate Jones Day 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001

Stephen K. Wirth Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer 601 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Suite 1121 Washington, DC 20001

Paul J. Zidlicky Sidley Austin 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Gregory B. in den Berken Latham & Watkins 555 11th Street, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20004

RE: Sanofi Aventis US LLC v. United States HHS, et al Case Numbers: 21-3167, 21-3168, 21-3379, 21-3380, 22-1676 District Court Case Number: 3-21-cv-00634

# ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Today, **January 30, 2023** the Court entered its judgment in the above-captioned matter pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 36.

If you wish to seek review of the Court's decision, you may file a petition for rehearing. The procedures for filing a petition for rehearing are set forth in Fed. R. App. P. 35 and 40, 3rd Cir. LAR 35 and 40, and summarized below.

<u>Time for Filing</u>: 14 days after entry of judgment. 45 days after entry of judgment in a civil case if the United States is a party.

Form Limits:

3900 words if produced by a computer, with a certificate of compliance pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 32(g).

15 pages if hand or type written.

<u>Attachments</u>: A copy of the panel's opinion and judgment only. Certificate of service. Certificate of compliance if petition is produced by a computer. No other attachments are permitted without first obtaining leave from the Court.

Unless the petition specifies that the petition seeks only panel rehearing, the petition will be construed as requesting both panel and en banc rehearing. Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 35(b)(3), if separate petitions for panel rehearing and rehearing en banc are submitted, they will be treated as a single document and will be subject to the form limits as set forth in Fed. R. App. P. 35(b)(2). If only panel rehearing is sought, the Court's rules do not provide for the subsequent filing of a petition for rehearing en banc in the event that the petition seeking only panel rehearing is denied.

A party who is entitled to costs pursuant to Fed.R.App.P. 39 must file an itemized and verified bill of costs within 14 days from the entry of judgment. The bill of costs must be submitted on the proper form which is available on the court's website.

A mandate will be issued at the appropriate time in accordance with the Fed. R. App. P. 41.

Please consult the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States regarding the timing and requirements for filing a petition for writ of certiorari.

s/ Pamela Batts- Case Manager