	Case 3:19-cv-02405-WHA Docum	ent 145	Filed 11/12/19	Page 1 of 3	
1	JOSEPH H. HUNT				
2	Assistant Attorney General DAVID L. ANDERSON				
3	United States Attorney MICHELLE R. BENNETT				
4	Assistant Branch Director				
5	Civil Division REBECCA KOPPLIN				
6	(California Bar No. 313970) VINITA B. ANDRAPALLIYAL				
7	BENJAMIN T. TAKEMOTO (California Bar No. 308075)				
8	Trial Attorneys				
9	United States Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch				
10	1100 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005				
11	Tel: (202) 514-3953 Fax: (202) 616-8470				
12	E-mail: Rebecca.M.Kopplin@usdoj.gov				
13	Counsel for Defendants				
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
15	SAN FRAN		DIVISION		
16	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, Plaintiff,	Case N	os. 3:19-cv-2405-	WHA	
17	V.		3:19-cv-2769- 3:19-cv-2916-	WHA	
18	ALEX M. AZAR II, in his official capacity as Secretary of Health and Human Services,		5.17-08-2710-		
19		DEFE			
17	et al., Defendants.	ORDE	NDANTS' RESP R RE USE OF T	ONSE TO	
20	et al., Defendants. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through	ORDE "ENTI	R RE USE OF T	ONSE TO	
20 21	<i>et al.</i> , Defendants. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA,	ORDE "ENTI	R RE USE OF T	ONSE TO ERM	
20 21 22	<i>et al.</i> , Defendants. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA, Plaintiff v.	ORDE "ENTI	R RE USE OF T TY"	ONSE TO ERM	
20 21 22 23	<i>et al.</i> , Defendants. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA, Plaintiff	ORDE "ENTI	R RE USE OF T TY"	ONSE TO ERM	
20 21 22 23 24	et al., Defendants. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA, Plaintiff v. ALEX M. AZAR, et al., Defendants. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al.	ORDE "ENTI	R RE USE OF T TY"	ONSE TO ERM	
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ul>	et al., Defendants. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA, Plaintiff v. ALEX M. AZAR, et al., Defendants. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al. Plaintiffs, v.	ORDE "ENTI Judge: 1	R RE USE OF T TY"	ONSE TO ERM	
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ul>	et al., Defendants. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA, Plaintiff v. ALEX M. AZAR, et al., Defendants. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al. Plaintiffs, v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, et al.,	ORDE "ENTI Judge: 1	R RE USE OF T TY"	ONSE TO ERM	
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	et al., Defendants. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA, Plaintiff v. ALEX M. AZAR, et al., Defendants. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al. Plaintiffs, v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND	ORDE "ENTI Judge: 1	R RE USE OF T TY"	ONSE TO ERM	
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ul>	et al., Defendants. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA, Plaintiff v. ALEX M. AZAR, et al., Defendants. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al. Plaintiffs, v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, et al.,	ORDE "ENTI Judge:	<b>R RE USE OF T TY"</b> Hon. William H. 4	ONSE TO ERM Alsup	

On November 8, 2019, the Court ordered the parties to "advise the Court of the extent to which
 HHS contends (or has contended) that 'entity' as used in the Church Amendment should be construed to
 include 'health care entity' as defined in the challenged rule." Order, ECF No. 144. Defendants
 respond as follows:

The Rule provides a two-pronged definition of "health care entity." 84 Fed. Reg. 23,264 (§ 88.2). One definition applies for purposes of the Coats-Snowe Amendment,<sup>1</sup> and one definition applies for purposes of the Weldon Amendment and section 1553 of the Affordable Care Act.<sup>2</sup> Neither definition of "health care entity" applies for purposes of the Church Amendments. In other words, HHS has not and does not contend that "entity" as used in the Church Amendments should be construed using the definition of "health care entity" in the Rule.

Separate from its definition of "health care entity," the Rule also provides a definition of "entity,"<sup>3</sup> which is not challenged by Plaintiffs. The Church Amendments contain the term "entity," but not the term "health care entity." *See, e.g.*, 42 U.S.C. § 300a-7(c)(1) ("No *entity* which receives a grant, contract, loan, or loan guarantee [under certain programs] may (A) discriminate in the employment, promotion, or termination of employment of any physician or other health care personnel, or . . . ." (emphasis added)). It is HHS's position that "entity" in the Church Amendments should be defined as provided by the definition of "entity" in the Rule. There may be examples which would satisfy both the definition of "health care entity" in the Rule and the definition of "entity" in the Rule, such as an individual physician. Whether such entities are considered "entities" under the Church Amendments

Defendants' Response to Order Re: Use of Term "Entity" Nos. 19-cv-2405-WHA, 19-cv-2769-WHA, 19-cv-2916-WHA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 84 Fed. Reg. 23,264 ("*Health care entity* includes: (1) For the purposes of the Coats-Snowe Amendment (42 U.S.C. 238n) and the subsections of this part implementing that law (§ 88.3(b)), an individual physician or other health care professional, . . . . [definition continues]").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See 84 Fed. Reg. 23,264 ("*Health care entity* includes . . . (2) For the purposes of the Weldon Amendment . . . Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act section 1553 (42 U.S.C. 18113), and to sections of this part implementing those laws (§ 88.3(c) and (e)), an individual physician or other health care professional . . . . [definition continues]").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See 84 Fed. Reg. 23,263 (§ 88.2) ("Entity means a 'person' as defined in 1 U.S.C. 1; the Department; a State, political subdivision of any State, instrumentality of any State or political subdivision thereof; any public agency, public institution, public organization, or other public entity in any State or political subdivision of any State; or, as applicable, a foreign government, foreign nongovernmental organization, or intergovernmental organization (such as the United Nations or its affiliated agencies).").

## Case 3:19-cv-02405-WHA Document 145 Filed 11/12/19 Page 3 of 3

would be determined by reference to the Rule's definition of "entity" and not the Rule's definition of
 "health care entity."

3		
4	Dated: November 12, 2019	Respectfully Submitted,
5		JOSEPH H. HUNT
6		Assistant Attorney General
7		MICHELLE R. BENNETT Assistant Branch Director
8		
9		<u>/s/ Rebecca M. Kopplin</u> REBECCA KOPPLIN
10		(California Bar No. 313970) VINITA B. ANDRAPALLIYAL
11		BENJAMIN T. TAKEMOTO (California Bar No. 308075)
12		Trial Attorneys United States Department of Justice
13		Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
14		1100 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005
15		Tel: (202) 514-3953 Fax: (202) 616-8470
16		E-mail: Rebecca.M.Kopplin@usdoj.gov
17		Counsel for Defendants
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Defendants' Respons Nos. 19-cv-2405-WHA	se to Order Re: Use of Term "Entity" A, 19-cv-2769-WHA, 19-cv-2916-WHA 2