

disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants.” *Landis v. North American Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254-55 (1936).

The appellate proceedings are relevant to, and could have a dispositive effect, on the district court litigation. For example, defendants have argued that a preliminary injunction is inappropriate because the Court lacks jurisdiction to entertain this suit. If the appellate court agrees, then any further proceedings in this Court will be unnecessary – and unwarranted. Moreover, the Third Circuit’s views of plaintiff’s likelihood of success on the merits could affect the Court’s view of defendants’ pending motion to dismiss and, if one becomes necessary, its summary judgment motion. Waiting for the court of appeals to weigh in before deciding these motions, then, could obviate the need for the Third Circuit to remand the case to this Court for additional proceedings if the appellate court views the case differently than this Court. A stay would, in short, protect the Court and the parties from unnecessarily, or imprudently, expending time or resources on this case. Plaintiff does not oppose this request.

For the above stated reasons, defendants ask the Court to stay the proceedings in this case, vacating all pending deadlines and hearings, until defendants’ appeal of the order instituting the preliminary injunction is resolved.

Dated: February 8, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

CHAD A. READLER
Acting Assistant Attorney General

ETHAN P. DAVIS
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

JENNIFER D. RICKETTS
Director, Federal Programs Branch

JOEL McELVAIN
Assistant Director, Federal Programs Branch

/s/ Justin M. Sandberg _____
JUSTIN M. SANDBERG (IL Bar# 6278377)
Senior Trial Counsel
U.S. Dep't of Justice, Civil Division
Federal Programs Branch
20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 514-5838
Justin.Sandberg@usdoj.gov
Counsel for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on February 8, 2018, a copy of the forgoing document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

DATED this 8th day of February, 2018.

s/ Justin M. Sandberg
JUSTIN M. SANDBERG
Senior Trial Counsel
Unite States Department of Justice

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

COMMONWEALTH OF)
PENNSYLVANIA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
)
DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official)
capacity as President of the United States;)
ALEX M. AZAR II, in his official)
capacity as Secretary of Health and)
Human Services; UNITED STATES)
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND)
HUMAN SERVICES; STEVEN T.)
MNUCHIN, in his official capacity as)
Secretary of the Treasury; UNITED)
STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE)
TREASURY; RENE ALEXANDER)
ACOSTA, in his official capacity as)
Secretary of Labor; and UNITED STATES)
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,)
)
Defendants.)
_____)

Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-04540 (WB)

PROPOSED ORDER

The Court, having considered Defendants’ Motion for Stay of District Court Proceedings Pending Appeal, hereby GRANTS the motion, ORDERS the proceedings stayed, and VACATES any existing deadlines and hearings.

The parties shall file a joint status report within fourteen days after the Court of Appeals issues its mandate in the Defendants’ appeal of the preliminary injunction issued in this action, or (if the Court of Appeals has not yet issued its mandate) within fourteen days after the Defendant agencies issue a new or modified rule or set of rules to finalize, replace, or amend the ones at issue in this litigation.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this _____ day of _____, 2018.

Dated: _____

HONORABLE WENDY BEETLESTONE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE