

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

URIEL PHARMACY HEALTH AND
WELFARE PLAN; URIEL PHARMACY,
INC.; HOMETOWN PHARMACY; AND
HOMETOWN PHARMACY HEALTH and
WELFARE BENEFITS PLAN, on their own
behalf and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ADVOCATE AURORA HEALTH, INC. and
AURORA HEALTH CARE, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-610

**PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS AND MOTION
TO FILE UNDER RESTRICTED ACCESS**

Plaintiffs Uriel Pharmacy Health and Welfare Plan, Uriel Pharmacy, Inc., Hometown Pharmacy, and Hometown Pharmacy Health and Welfare Benefits Plan (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), respectfully move the Court for leave to file their Response to Defendants' Motion to Restricted Access ("Response") under restricted access. In support of this motion ("Motion"), Plaintiffs state as follows:

1. This Motion seeks leave to file the Response as restricted only because the Response quotes from and relies upon documents that *Defendants* contend to be protected from disclosure. Plaintiffs are filing two versions of the Response: a redacted version attached hereto, and a restricted unredacted version. For the reasons listed in Plaintiffs' previous Motions to

Restrict, *see Uriel* Dkts. 210, 215, along with the reasons listed in the Response itself, Plaintiffs object to the continued restriction of any information in the Response.

2. Plaintiffs made a good faith attempt to avoid this motion or to limit the scope of the documents or materials subject to sealing under the motion. Specifically, beginning on May 19, 2026, Plaintiffs reached out to AAH to advise that they were going to be filing materials designated as confidential by AAH in connection with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification and *Daubert* motion, and that Plaintiffs wished to confer on the matter. Plaintiffs subsequently provided AAH with a list of preliminary documents to be filed under seal (even as Plaintiffs' drafting of the class certification and *Daubert* motions was under way) and conferred through several communications to discuss the scope of the restricted filing, with the most recent meeting having occurred on July 8, 2026. Where Plaintiffs object to the continued sealing of a document, Plaintiffs have provided that objection to AAH, pursuant to Local Rule 79(d)(7).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court: (1) grant them leave to preliminarily file the Response as restricted only to the parties; (2) upon resolving Plaintiffs' objections discussed therein, order that the Response be filed publicly and without redaction; and (3) grant them any other relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: July 14, 2026

/s/ Yinka Onayemi

Jamie Crooks
Yinka Onayemi
Michael Lieberman
FAIRMARK PARTNERS, LLP
400 7th Street NW, Ste. 304
Washington, DC 20004
Ph: (617) 642-5569
Email: jamie@fairmarklaw.com
yinka@fairmarklaw.com
michael@fairmarklaw.com

Eric L. Cramer
David F. Sorensen
Caitlin G. Coslett
Michaela L. Wallin
Sarah Zimmerman
BERGER MONTAGUE, P.C.
1818 Market Street, Suite 3600
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Tel: (215) 875-3000
ecramer@bergermontague.com
ccoslett@bergermontague.com
dsorensen@bergermontague.com
mwallin@bergermontague.com
szimmerman@bergermontague.com

Timothy Hansen
James Cirincione
John McCauley
HANSEN REYNOLDS, LLC
301 N. Broadway, Suite 400
Milwaukee, WI 53202
Tel: (414) 455-7676
thansen@hansenreynolds.com
jcirincione@hansenreynolds.com
jmccauley@hansenreynolds.com

Counsel for All Plaintiffs

Kevin M. St. John, SBN 1054815 5325
BELL GIFTOS ST. JOHN LLC
Wall Street, Suite 2200
Madison, WI 53718
Ph: (608) 216-7990
Email: kstjohn@bellgiftos.com

***Counsel for Uriel Pharmacy Inc., Uriel
Pharmacy Health and Welfare Plan***