UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, et al.)
Plaintiffs,)
V.)
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, et al.)))
Defendants.)

No. 1:21-cv-00081-SEB-MJD

AMENDED PARTIAL FINAL JUDGMENT¹

The Court, having this day granted summary judgment in favor of Plaintiffs on

Counts III and XII, and in favor of Defendants on Counts X, XI, and XIII, finds, pursuant

to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay. The Court

therefore hereby enters partial final judgment in favor of Defendants and against

Plaintiffs on Counts X, XI, and XIII, and in favor of Plaintiffs and against Defendants on

Counts III and XII.

Accordingly, the Court enters declaratory judgment as follows:

- (1) HHS's General Counsel's December 30, 2020 Advisory Opinion is arbitrary and capricious under 5 U.S.C. § 706;
- (2) HRSA's May 17, 2021 Enforcement Letter is arbitrary and capricious under 5 U.S.C. § 706;

¹ Pursuant to the Seventh Circuit's April 8, 2022 directive [Dkt. 155], we are entering this amended judgment "declaring specifically and separately the respective rights of the parties," *nunc pro tunc* to October 29, 2021.

- (3) HRSA's May 17, 2021 Enforcement Letter does not violate the notice-andcomment requirements of 5 U.S.C. § 553;
- (4) HRSA's May 17, 2021 Enforcement Letter does not exceed statutory authority under 5 U.S.C. § 706 because 42 U.S.C. § 256b, correctly construed, does not permit drug manufacturers, such as Plaintiffs, to impose unilateral extra-statutory restrictions on their offer to sell 340B drugs to covered entities utilizing multiple contract pharmacy arrangements;
- (5) HRSA's May 17, 2021 Enforcement Letter is not a taking under the Fifth Amendment's Takings Clause; and
- (6) HRSA's May 17, 2021 Enforcement Letter is not an unconstitutional condition on the receipt of benefits.

HHS's General Counsel's December 30, 2020 Advisory Opinion and HRSA's May

- 17, 2021 Enforcement Letter are hereby <u>SET ASIDE</u> and <u>VACATED</u>, and HRSA's May
- 17, 2021 Enforcement Letter is <u>REMANDED</u> for further action as Defendants may

determine consistent with these rulings.

Date: 4/14/2022

Paral Corrus Bar

SARAH EVANS BARKER, JUDGE United States District Court Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

AMERICA'S ESSENTIAL HOSPITALS

Nicholas Blake Alford FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP (Indianapolis) Nicholas.Alford@faegredrinker.com

Joseph Neal Bowling LEWIS WAGNER, LLP nbowling@lewiswagner.com

Andrea Butler KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP andrea.butler@kirkland.com

Ronald S. Connelly POWERS PYLES SUTTER & VERVILLE, P.C. ron.connelly@powerslaw.com

Kathryn Elias Cordell KATZ KORIN CUNNINGHAM, P.C. kcordell@kkclegal.com

Dina M. Cox LEWIS WAGNER, LLP dcox@lewiswagner.com

Margaret M. Dotzel ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER LLP mdotzel@zuckerman.com

Matthew Sidney Freedus FELDESMAN TUCKER LEIFER FIDELL LLP mfreedus@ftlf.com

Rosie Dawn Griffin FELDESMAN TUCKER LEIFER FIDELL LLP rgriffin@ftlf.com Casey Trombley-Shapir Jonas ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER LLP cjonas@zuckerman.com

Andrew A. Kassof KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP - Chicago akassof@kirkland.com

Jody D. Lowenstein United States Department of Justice jody.d.lowenstein@usdoj.gov

Alice McKenzie Morical HOOVER HULL TURNER LLP amorical@hooverhullturner.com

John C. O'Quinn KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP john.oquinn@kirkland.com

Matthew S. Owen KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP matt.owen@kirkland.com

Brian J. Paul FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP (Indianapolis) brian.paul@faegredrinker.com

Andrea Roberts Pierson FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP (Indianapolis) andrea.pierson@faegredrinker.com

Matthew D. Rowen KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP matthew.rowen@kirkland.com

William B. Schultz ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER LLP wschultz@zuckerman.com

Kate Talmor U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (Washington DC) kate.talmor@usdoj.gov FELDESMAN TUCKER LEIFER FIDELL LLP btyler@ftlf.com

Christopher D. Wagner HOOVER HULL TURNER LLP cwagner@hooverhullturner.com

Diana M. Watral KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP - Chicago diana.watral@kirkland.com